# Workers' Compensation Court of Existing Claims (369)

Lead Administrator: Michael J. Harkey

Presiding Judge - L. Brad Taylor

FY'18 Projected Division/Program Funding By Source								
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local	Other*	Total		
Administration (205)			\$3,188,704			\$3,188,704		
ISD Data Processing			\$382,296			\$382,296		
Total	\$0	\$0	\$3,571,000	\$0	\$0	\$3,571,000		
*Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.								

FY'17 Carryover and Refund by Funding Source							
Appropriations Federal Revolving Local Other* Total							
FY'17 Carryover							
FY'17 GR Refund**	\$0					\$0	

<sup>\*</sup>Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

1.) Are there any services no longer provided because of budget cuts?

No

2.) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user?

None

3.) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate?

N/A

4.) Did the agency provide any pay raises that were not legislatively/statutorily required?

Despite the transition we've been successful in both efficencey and delivery of services. Our staff is exceptional but heavy demands are being made of them.

We have operated on one half of our original staff with a countinuing heavy case load. Additional staff have been hired away by the Commission or have resigned.

Salary adjustments have been given to redistribute these task rather than new hires

FY'19 Requested Division/Program Funding By Source							
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Other	Total	% Change	
Administration			\$3,117,704		\$3,117,704	-2.23%	
ISD Data Processing			\$382,296		\$382,296	0.00%	
Total	\$0	\$0	\$3,500,000	\$0	\$3,500,000	-1.99%	
*Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each							

FY'19 Top Five Appropriation Funding Requests						
	\$ Amount					
Request 1: Case Management System	\$102,000					
Total Increase above FY-18 Request	102,000					

# How would the agency handle a 2% appropriation reduction in FY'19?

We are funded by a tax on insurance premiums and by a revolving fundsourced by diminishing filing and search fees. We are at absolute minimums.

Reductions in revenue would endanger the delivery of services due to furloughs ans suspension of dockets.

We already have a budget step down in place per 85A O.S. ¶122 (D) (2)which reduces the court by 14.3% effective FY19.

We receive no funding from general revenue

# How would the agency handle a 4% appropriation reduction in FY'193

We are funded by a tax on insurance premiums and by a revolving fundsourced by diminishing filing and search fees. We are at absolute minimums.

Reductions in revenue would endanger the delivery of services due to furloughs ans suspension of dockets.

We already have a budget step down in place per 85A O.S. ¶122 (D) (2)which reduces the court by 14.3% effective FY19.

We receive no funding from general revenue

# How would the agency handle a 6% appropriation reduction in FY'19?

We are funded by a tax on insurance premiums and by a revolving fundsourced by diminishing filing and search fees. We are at absolute minimums.

Reductions in revenue would endanger the delivery of services due to furloughs ans suspension of dockets.

We already have a budget step down in place per 85A O.S. ¶122 (D) (2)which reduces the court by 14.3% effective FY19.

We receive no funding from general revenue

	Is the agency seeking any fee increases for FY'19?						
		\$ Amount					
Increase 1	N/A	\$0					
Increase 2	N/A	\$0					
Increase 3	N/A	\$0					

# **Federal Government Impact**

- 1.) How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government? N/A
- 2.) Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate?

- 3.) What would the consequences be of ending all of the federal funded programs for your agency?
  4.) How will your agency be affected by federal budget cuts in the coming fiscal year?
  - N/A
- 5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases?

<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicate how the FY'17 General Revenue refund was budgeted

# Division and Program Descriptions

### Office of the Court Administrator

The office of the Court Administrator operates the day to day business and funtion of the Court system to handle the claims for the tens of thousnads of outstanding cases remaining to be resolved. The office is responsible for budgeting, hiring, purchasing and employee supervison.

# Judges

The Court currently had four full time Judgeswho are appointed by the Governor. The Judges travel at times between Oklahoma City and Tulsa, according to their docket settings. The Court utilizes and pays for Active Retired Judges registered with the Supreme Court as necessary to cover matters where full time Judges may have conflicts in hearing certain matters.

# **Docket Division**

responsible for scheduling case settings, notifying parties of Trials and hearingsand setting docket of Appeals.

#### Order Division

Writing and processing all Judgements and Orders in accordance with applicable laws.

### Court Clerk

Maintains all records, receives and accounts for all fees, accepts all Court fillings and mails all legal Orders, Notices and Judgements.

### Office of Management and Enterprise Services

The Court consolidated all its budgetary, accounting, business services and payroll with OMES for a savings of 200K per annum since 2014.

FY'18 Budgeted FTE							
	Supervisors	Classified	Unclassified	\$0 - \$35 K	\$35 K - \$70 K	\$70 K - \$\$\$	
Administration				6	13	5	
Total	0	0	0	6	13	5	

FTE History						
	2018 Budgeted	2017	2014	2011	2007	
Administration		26	28	29	73	
Total	0	26	28	29	73	

Performance Measure Review							
	FY'17	FY'16	FY'15	FY'14	FY'13		
Measure I							
Measure II							
Measure III							

Revolving Funds (200 Series Funds)								
	FY'15-17 Avg. Revenues	FY'15-17 Avg. Expenditures	June '17 Balance					
WCC of Existing Claims Revl Fd-200	\$985,160	\$277,358	\$2,129,009					
Workers' Comp Admin-205	\$3,750,000	\$3,343,604	\$812,792					