

Oklahoma Supreme Court (677)

Lead Administrator: Jari Askins, Administrator Director of the Courts

FY'17 Projected Division/Program Funding By Source						
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local	Other*	Total
Administration	\$2,657,978	\$700,000	\$5,315,039			\$8,673,017
Justices & Staff	\$5,585,602	\$0	\$2,495			\$5,588,097
Supreme Court Clerk	\$812,725	\$0	\$70,750			\$883,475
Court of Appeals - OKC	\$3,105,737	\$0	\$0			\$3,105,737
Court of Appeals - Tulsa	\$3,042,252	\$0	\$0			\$3,042,252
Alternative Dispute Res	\$131,973	\$0	\$1,028,982			\$1,160,955
MIS		\$0	\$14,544,795			\$14,544,795
Total	\$15,336,267	\$700,000	\$20,962,061	\$0	\$0	\$36,998,328

*Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

FY'16 Carryover and Refund by Funding Source						
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local	Other*	Total
FY'16 Carryover	\$1,026,303		\$18,859,668			\$19,885,971
FY'16 GR Refund**	\$179,185					\$179,185

*Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

**Indicate how the FY'16 General Revenue refund was budgeted
The FY16 General Revenue refund was budgeted for Alternative Dispute Resolution

What Changes did the Agency Make between FY'16 and FY'17?	
1.) Are there any services no longer provided because of budget cuts?	No
2.) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user?	The fee for Alternative Dispute Resolution was increased from \$2 to \$7, effective 7/01/16
3.) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate?	None
4.) Did the agency provide any pay raises that were not legislatively/statutorily required? If so, please provide a detailed description in a separate document.	N/A

FY'18 Requested Division/Program Funding By Source						
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Other	Total	% Change
Administration	\$4,800,537	\$525,000	\$2,164,568		\$7,490,105	-13.64%
Justices & Staff	\$5,633,640				\$5,633,640	0.82%
Supreme Court Clerk	\$862,955				\$862,955	-2.32%
Court of Appeals - OKC	\$3,109,591				\$3,109,591	0.12%
Court of Appeals - Tulsa	\$3,034,034				\$3,034,034	-0.27%
Alternative Dispute Res	\$1,072,246				\$1,072,246	-7.64%
MIS			\$14,615,477		\$14,615,477	0.49%
Total	\$18,513,003	\$525,000	\$16,780,045	\$0	\$35,818,048	-3.19%

*Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

FY'18 Top Five Appropriation Funding Requests	
	\$ Amount
No additional requests	
Total Increase above FY-18 Request	0

How would the agency handle a 5% appropriation reduction in FY'18?
Any reduction in the Supreme Court's appropriation would severely harm the Court's ability to perform the constitutional duties of the Judicial Branch.

How would the agency handle a 7.5% appropriation reduction in FY'18?
SAME AS ABOVE

How would the agency handle a 10% appropriation reduction in FY'18?

SAME AS ABOVE

Is the agency seeking any fee increases for FY'18?

		\$ Amount
Increase 1	N/A	\$0
Increase 2	N/A	\$0
Increase 3	N/A	\$0

What are the agency's top 2-3 capital or technology (one-time) requests, if applicable?

N/A

Federal Government Impact

1.) How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government?

None

2.) Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate?

n/a

3.) What would the consequences be of ending all of the federal funded programs for your agency?

If Oklahoma does not accept the CIP grant it would be the only state in the country that does not support the work the Juvenile Deprived Court does to help the most vulnerable children involved in the court system to have better outcomes. The grant supports the courts by providing updated computer and technology equipment, including video conferencing, which reduces the cost and trauma of transporting children across the state for various hearings. The grant also provides mandatory juvenile training (CLE hours) to the judges and attorneys across the state that work juvenile dockets.

4.) How will your agency be affected by federal budget cuts in the coming fiscal year?

If the cuts to the grant are significant, the Court will be required to reduce its support for the Juvenile Deprived Court in FY-18.

5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases?

No

Division and Program Descriptions

Administrative Services

The Administrative Director and staff assist the Chief Justice in the administrative duties of the Oklahoma judicial system, including accounting, payroll, training, data systems, research and other responsibilities. The Administrative Office of the Court also provides leadership and administrative support for various judicial boards and commissions.

Justices & Staff

The Supreme Court makes final determination of issues of a civil nature. The Supreme Court has administrative responsibility for the entire Oklahoma judicial system. Support staff is responsible for handling Justice's calendars, preparing dockets for Conferences and circulating proposed opinions and orders. Staff attorneys aid the Justices in research and drafting proposed opinions.

Supreme Court Clerk

As the Court's record-keeper the Clerk maintains official hearing records, operates recording and timing equipment, and ensures that proper courtroom procedures are observed. The Clerk's office maintains operational contact with the parties and attorneys for all cases and is the repository for all filings made in connection with any case. The Clerk also serves as the Clerk of the Court of Criminal Appeals, the Court of Civil Appeals, the Court of the Judiciary, and the Court of Tax Review.

Court of Civil Appeals

Responsible for the majority of appellate decisions, with offices in Oklahoma City and Tulsa.

Alternative Dispute Resolution

Administers the Dispute Resolution Act providing convenient access to conflict resolution (mediation) services to Oklahoma citizens using certified volunteer mediators. Promulgates rules and establishes jurisdictional guidelines for mediation programs.

Management Information Services

Responsible for the Oklahoma Supreme Court Network (OSCN), designed to provide the public and the Bar with access to the public legal documents of the state of Oklahoma. Media, business and private entities all benefit from the information provided by OSCN at no cost. OSCN provides access to thousands of published Oklahoma appellate cases, statutes, Attorney General's opinions, certiorari dispositions, court rules, forms, and many other documents.

FY'17 Budgeted FTE						
	Supervisors	Classified	Unclassified	\$0 - \$35 K	\$35 K - \$70 K	\$70 K - \$\$\$
Administration	6		19		12	7
Justices & Staff	2		39		7	32
Supreme Court Clerk	1		10		9	1
Court of Appeals - OKC	1		21		4	17
Court of Appeals - Tulsa	1		22		4	18
Alternative Dispute Res	1		6		5	1
MIS	9		68		48	20
Total	21	0	185	0	89	96

FTE History					
	2017 Budgeted	2016	2013	2010	2006
All FTE	185	186	189	188	
Total	185	186	189	188	0

Performance Measure Review					
	FY'16	FY'15	FY'14	FY'13	FY'12
The Court does not have performance measures					

Revolving Funds (200 Series Funds)			
	FY'14-16 Avg. Revenues	FY'14-16 Avg. Expenditures	June '16 Balance
Court Information System Revolving Fund (200) Provides for all IT equipment and data services for the Supreme & District Courts	\$16,238,771	\$17,256,906	\$14,936,415
Supreme Court Revolving Fund (205) For payments to jurors, refunds to bailbondsmen and other expenditures deemed necessary for unforeseen emergencies impacting the operation of state courts.	\$0	\$21,735	\$204,998
Supreme Court Admin Revolving Fund (210) For expenditures of the Supreme & District Courts.	\$4,420,000	\$3,847,007	\$1,913,343
Law Library Revolving Fund (215) For the purchase of books, periodicals, and other related materials and legal research services and for the establishment and maintenance of law libraries.	\$1,892,259	\$1,607,099	\$1,795,831
State Judicial Revolving Fund (230) For payment of recurring and nonrecurring administrative and operating expenses for the Supreme Court and the district courts	\$47,958,132	\$47,962,427	\$7,118
Judicial Center Fund (240) For revenue and expenditures related to rental of the Judicial Center facilities.	\$2,533	\$3,385	\$1,963