

# Supreme Court

**Lead Administrator: Jari Askins, Administrative Director of the Courts**

FY'16 Projected Division/Program Funding By Source					
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local	Other*
Administration	\$879,415	\$500,000	\$7,095,567		\$1,235,948
Justices & Staff	\$5,982,255				\$200
Supreme Court Clerk	\$83,607		\$822,479		
Alt Dispute Resolution			\$971,873		
Court of Civil App OKC			\$2,898,854		
Court of Civil App Tulsa			\$3,075,663		
Mgmt Info Services			\$18,308,584		
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$6,945,277</b>	<b>\$500,000</b>	<b>\$33,173,020</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$1,236,148</b>

\*Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

All "Other" is GR carryover

FY'15 Carryover by Funding Source					
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local	Other*
FY'15 Carryover	\$1,236,148		\$28,527,124		

\*Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

## What Changes did the Agency Make between FY'15 and FY'16?

**1.) Are there any services no longer provided because of budget cuts?**

No services have been cut to date.

**2.) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user?**

None

**3.) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate?**

None

**4.) Did the agency provide any pay raises that were not legislatively/statutorily required? If so, please provide a detailed separate document.**

No

FY'17 Requested Division/Program Funding By Source					
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Other	Total
Administration	\$6,625,667	\$500,000	\$2,152,820		\$9,278,487
Justices & Staff	\$5,978,455		\$4,000		\$5,982,455
Supreme Court Clerk	\$906,086				\$906,086
Alt Dispute Resolution			\$971,873		\$971,873
Court of Civil App OKC	\$2,898,854				\$2,898,854
Court of Civil App Tulsa	\$3,075,663				\$3,075,663
Mgmt Info Services			\$17,516,100		\$17,516,100
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$19,484,725</b>	<b>\$500,000</b>	<b>\$20,644,793</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$40,629,518</b>

\*Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

## FY'17 Top Five Appropriation Funding Requests

Request 1: Description      **No additional funding requested**

Request 2: Description

Request 3: Description

Request 4: Description

Request 5: Description

**Total Increase above FY-17 Request**

**How would the agency handle a 5% appropriation reduction in FY'17?**

We would cut back the Alternative Dispute Resolution Program by \$350,000

**How would the agency handle a 7.5% appropriation reduction in FY'17?**

We would cut the Alternative Dispute Resolution Program in half

**How would the agency handle a 10% appropriation reduction in FY'17?**

We would eliminate the Alternative Dispute Resolution Program

**Is the agency seeking any fee increases for FY'16?**

Increase 1 N/A  
Increase 2 N/A  
Increase 3 N/A

**What are the agency's top 2-3 capital or technology (one-time) requests, if applicable?**

N/A

**Federal Government Impact**

**1.) How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government?**

None

**2.) Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate?**

n/a

**3.) What would the consequences be of ending all of the federal funded programs for your agency?**

If Oklahoma does not accept the CIP grant it would be the only state in the country that does not support the work the Juvenile Deprived Court most vulnerable children involved in the court system to have better outcomes. The grant supports the courts by providing updated computer equipment, including video conferencing, which reduces the cost and trauma of transporting children across the state for various hearings. The mandatory juvenile training (CLE hours ) to the judges and attorneys across the state that work juvenile dockets.

**4.) How will your agency be affected by federal budget cuts in the coming fiscal year?**

Unless the cuts to the grant are significant (over 50%), the Court will be able to continue its support of the Juvenile Deprived Court

**5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases?**

No

**Division and Program Descriptions**

**Administrative Services**

The Administrative Office of the Courts

The Administrative Director and staff assist the Chief Justice in the administrative duties of the Oklahoma judicial system, including payroll, training, data systems, research and other responsibilities. The Administrative Office of the Court also provides leadership and administrative support for various judicial boards and commissions.

**Division Name**

Justices & Staff

The Supreme Court makes final determination of issues of a civil nature. The Supreme Court has administrative responsibilities in the Oklahoma judicial system. Support staff is responsible for handling Justice's calendars, preparing dockets for Conferences and proposed opinions and orders. Staff attorneys aid the Justices in research and drafting proposed opinions.

**Division Name**

Supreme Court Clerk

As the Court's record-keeper the Clerk maintains official hearing records, operates recording and timing equipment, and ensures courtroom procedures are observed. The Clerk's office maintains operational contact with the parties and attorneys for all case filings. The Clerk also serves as the Clerk of the Court of Criminal Appeals, the Court of Civil Appeals, the Court of the Judiciary, and the Court of Tax Review.

**Division Name**

Court of Civil Appeals

Responsible for the majority of appellate decisions, with offices in Oklahoma City and Tulsa.

**Division Name**

Alternative Dispute Resolution

Administers the Dispute Resolution Act providing convenient access to conflict resolution (mediation) services to Oklahoma citizens and certified volunteer mediators. Promulgates rules and establishes jurisdictional guidelines for mediation programs.

**Division Name**

Management Information Services

Provides access to thousands of published Oklahoma appellate cases, statutes, Attorney General's opinions, certiorari disposition forms, and many other documents.

FY'17 Budgeted FTE					
	Supervisors	Classified	Unclassified	\$0 - \$35 K	\$35 K - \$70 K
Administration	6		19		18
Justices & Staff	2		41		11
Supreme Court Clerk	1		9		9
Alt Dispute Resolution	1		2		2
Court of Civil App OKC	1		21		4
Court of Civil App Tulsa			21		3
Mgmt Info Services	9		70		59
<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>106</b>

FTE History				
	2016 Budgeted	2015	2014	2010
All FTE	200	196	203	188
<b>Total</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>188</b>

Performance Measure Review				
	FY'15	FY'14	FY'13	FY'12
The Court does not have performance measures				

Revolving Funds (200 Series Funds)		
	FY'13-15 Avg. Revenues	FY'13-15 Avg. Expenditure
<b>Court Information System Revolving Fund (200)</b> Provides for all IT equipment and data services for the Supreme & District Courts	\$14,000,000	\$14,000,000
<b>Supreme Court Revolving Fund (205)</b> For payments to jurors, refunds to bailbondsmen and other expenditures deemed necessary for unforeseen emergencies impacting the operation of state courts.	\$0	\$25,000
<b>Supreme Court Admin Revolving Fund (210)</b> For expenditures of the Supreme & District Courts.	\$0	\$9,100,000
<b>Law Library Revolving Fund (215)</b> For the purchase of books, periodicals, and other related materials and legal research services and for the establishment and maintenance of law libraries.	\$1,942,408	\$1,880,364
<b>State Judicial Revolving Fund (230)</b> For payment of recurring and nonrecurring administrative and operating expenses for the Supreme Court and the district courts	\$48,895,680	\$49,068,413
<b>Judicial Center Fund (240)</b> For revenue and expenditures related to rental of the Judicial Center facilities.	\$3,902	\$4,258



--

--

--

<b>\$ Amount</b>	
	\$0
	\$0
	\$0

--

<p>rt does to help the and technology e grant also provides</p> <p>Court in FY-17.</p>
--

cluding accounting,  
rship and

ty for the entire  
d circlating

es that proper  
es and is the  
ls, the Court of

citizens using

at no cost. OSCN  
is, court rules,

<b>\$70 K - \$\$\$</b>	
	7
	32
	1
	1
	18
	18
	20
	<b>97</b>

2005
0

FY'11

June '15 Balance
\$23,096,925
\$238,781
\$3,546,450
\$1,254,331
\$82,294
\$1,151