

District Attorneys Council

Lead Administrator: Suzanne McClain Atwood

Lead Financial Officer: Timothy B. Webster

FY'15 Budgeted FTE						
	Supervisors	Classified	Unclassified	\$0 - \$35 K	\$35 K - \$70 K	\$70 K - \$\$\$
Prosecutorial Services	26	0	728.5	274.1	311	143.4
General Administration	1	0	12.0	1.2	7.9	2.9
Child Support Services	0	0	139.7	106.3	25.9	7.5
Bogus Check Enforce/Restitute	1	0	111.9	72.6	34.6	4.7
Federal Grant Programs	0	0	68.2	25.9	40.4	1.9
Drug Asset Forfeiture	0	0	36.5	8.6	23.2	4.7
Federal Pass-Through Grants	0	0	2.3	0.5	1.7	0.1
Crime Victim Services	0	0	17.3	10.8	5.4	1.1
Information Technology	0	0	8.2	0.2	8	0
Total	28	0	1124.6	500.2	458.1	166.3

FTE History					
	2014 Budgeted	2013	2010	2009	2004
Prosecutorial Services	728.5	691.7	668.2	659.2	475.6
General Administration	12.0	12.7	17.6	18.9	14.9
Child Support Services	139.7	142.8	159.3	154.6	204.7
Bogus Check Enforce/Restitute	111.9	132.3	184.4	192.0	248.6
Federal Grant Programs	68.2	79.6	71.3	59.8	116.7
Drug Asset Forfeiture	36.5	23.5	33.6	36.3	35.8
Federal Pass-Through Grants	2.3	0.2	2.3	2.3	0.0
Crime Victim Services	17.3	15.9	13.8	12.8	10.9
Information Technology	8.2	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	1124.6	1105.0	1150.5	1135.9	1107.2

FY'14 Projected Division/Program Funding By Source						
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local	Other*	Total
Prosecutorial Services	\$37,856,928	\$0	\$21,777,783	\$0	\$0	\$59,634,711
General Administration	\$1,049,269	\$0	\$345,978	\$0	\$0	\$1,395,247
Child Support Services	\$0	\$0	\$8,256,198	\$0	\$0	\$8,256,198
Bogus Check Enforce/Restitute	\$0	\$0	\$6,518,228	\$0	\$0	\$6,518,228
Federal Grant Programs	\$0	\$2,949,034	\$1,866,989	\$0	\$0	\$4,816,023
Drug Asset Forfeiture	\$0	\$0	\$2,879,874	\$0	\$0	\$2,879,874
Federal Pass-Through Grants	\$0	\$9,411,551	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,411,551
Crime Victim Services	\$0	\$200,000	\$8,280,000			\$8,480,000
Information Technology	\$290,047	\$0	\$1,498,058			\$1,788,105
Total	\$39,196,244	\$12,560,585	\$51,423,108	\$0	\$0	\$103,179,937

*Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

FY'13 Carryover by Funding Source						
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local	Other*	Total
FY'13 Carryover	\$274,682	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$274,682

*Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

What Changes did the Agency Make between FY'13 and FY'14	
1.) Are there any services no longer provided because of budget cuts?	<i>No, the district attorneys of Oklahoma have maintained services through careful management and dedicated employees. The critical services provided by the District Attorney's offices will continue for the foreseeable future.</i>
2.) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user?	<i>There have been no changes in fees within the past fiscal year.</i>
3.) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate?	<i>The district attorneys are currently furloughing in one district which may affect certain non-critical services in that district. The increase in state appropriations for FY14 has provided some relief from budget cuts instituted in previous years and has provided welcome financial assistance to all of the districts.</i>

FY'15 Requested Division/Program Funding By Source						
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Other	Total	% Change
Prosecutorial Services	\$39,061,779	\$0	\$21,777,783	\$0	\$60,839,562	2.02%
General Administration	\$1,080,265	\$0	\$345,978	\$0	\$1,426,243	2.22%
Child Support Services	\$0	\$0	\$8,256,198	\$0	\$8,256,198	0.00%
Bogus Check Enforce/Restitute	\$0	\$0	\$6,518,228	\$0	\$6,518,228	0.00%
Federal Grant Programs	\$0	\$2,949,034	\$1,866,989	\$0	\$4,816,023	0.00%
Drug Asset Forfeiture	\$0	\$0	\$2,879,874	\$0	\$2,879,874	0.00%
Federal Pass-Through Grants	\$0	\$9,411,551	\$0	\$0	\$9,411,551	0.00%
Crime Victim Services	\$0	\$200,000	\$8,280,000	\$0	\$8,480,000	0.00%
Information Technology	\$290,047	\$0	\$1,498,058	\$0	\$1,788,105	0.00%
Total	\$40,432,091	\$12,560,585	\$51,423,108	\$0	\$104,415,784	1.20%

*Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

FY'14 Top Five Appropriation Funding Requests		\$ Amount
1. Critical Needs for District Attorneys		\$1,235,847
2. Impact Study Funding Issues		\$4,624,942
		\$0
		\$0
		\$0

How would the agency handle a 3% appropriation reduction in FY'15?

A reduction of 3% would reverse the gains of the additional appropriation provided to District Attorneys by the legislature in FY14. This appropriation recognized the critical funding needs of the District Attorneys. A reduction would require districts again evaluate non-critical services along with possibly not filling open positions, furloughs or salary reductions. Currently there is one district that is furloughing employees even with the additional funding. All districts are utilizing non-appropriated funding sources to their maximum potential to provide services required by the citizens of Oklahoma.

How would the agency handle a 5% appropriation reduction in FY'15?

A reduction of 5% could have a devastating impact on critical services as some if not all of the district attorneys are still struggling to recover from previous reductions. All are utilizing non-appropriated funding sources to replace the loss of appropriated funds, but in many instances, these funds are being depleted by the current ongoing reductions that have occurred since FY09.

Is the agency seeking any fee increases for FY'14? No		\$ Amount
		\$0

Federal Government Impact

1.) How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government?
All federal funding received by the District Attorneys Council is tied to strict grant guidelines established by the federal government. Inherent in the grant programs are rules which guide the use of the federal funds but there are no mandates prior to applying for and accepting federal grant funds. There is not a "mandate" per se which is required if federal funds are not available.

2.) Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate?
Funds are adequate to pay for the mandates that are in place once the grant is awarded.

3.) What would the consequences be of ending all of the federal funded programs for your agency?
Due to many types of grants administered by the agency, public safety would be significantly and negatively impacted. In addition, the District Attorneys Council would not be able to maintain the same level of services to crime victims. Many of the victims service agencies currently funded with federal funds would have to close or try to find resources in the community or through the legislature to comply with state mandates for victims services.

Federal Government Impact cont....

4.) How will your agency be affected by federal budget cuts in the coming fiscal year?

The Budget Control Act of 2011 requires major defense and non-defense funding sequestration to achieve certain budget levels beginning in January 2013. Sequestration is a specific process that is different from the usual across-the-board cuts or "rescissions".

This cut will likely have no impact on District Attorney Victim-Witness Services, as well as non-profit agencies in Oklahoma funded through this grant.

The other grant programs administered by the District Attorneys Council anticipate reductions but the amounts are unknown at this time. As fewer criminal justice projects are implemented due to cuts in federal funding, the impact on public safety will be significant and will have a negative impact on many of the state and local law enforcement agencies in Oklahoma.

5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases?

No. All grants are formula grants or discretionary grants. There is no provision in the federal guidelines to request earmarks or increases. In the event of a mass casualty incident, such as the bombing of the Murrah building, supplemental awards are possible.

Division and Program Descriptions

Prosecutorial Services

Consists of DA's, ADAs, and support staff in the general operation of the offices.

General Administration

DAC providing administrative services to all DA and their staffs, including payroll, benefits, travel, budgeting, accounting services, and training.

Child Support Services

Inter-agency agreements with DHS/OCSS to provide child support enforcement services.

Bogus Check Enforce/Restitute

Diversionsary program to keep bogus check offenders out of the court system, while making restitution to victims. Also, utilized to subsidize general operations of the office.

Federal Grant Programs

JAG, VOCA, VAWA, and miscellaneous federal grant programs.

Drug Asset Forfeiture

Funds are derived from seizing assets used in illegal drug activity.

Federal Pass-Through Grants

DAC is the state administering agency for JAG, VOCA, VAWA, and RSAT.

Crime Victim Services

DAC is the administrative home of the Victims Comp. Board. The Board administers two federal grants and receives court ordered assessments from violent offenders to assist victims.

IT Services

IT services to all DA Offices and DAC to include repair, network support, pc support and e-mail.

Performance Measure Review					
	FY13	FY12	FY'11	FY'10	FY'09
Prosecutorial Services					
Number of county drug courts	46	46	46	53	48
Bogus Check Enforce/Restitute					
Bogus Check Revenue	\$ 7,477,175.00	\$ 8,982,181.00	\$ 9,807,153.00	\$ 12,259,183.00	\$ 13,726,072.00
Probation Supervision Revenue	\$ 14,884,583.00	\$ 15,300,908.00	\$ 13,854,681.00	\$ 10,911,821.00	\$ 6,868,030.00
Drug Asset Forfeiture					
Drug Asset Forfeiture Revenue	\$ 3,588,962.00	\$ 2,288,004.00	\$ 3,229,957.00	\$ 3,340,331.00	\$ 3,087,609.00
Drug Asset Forfeiture Prosecutors/Investigators devoted to drug enforcement	23.5	24.3	28.7	37.9	36.3
Crime Victim Services					
Number of victims compensation program claims filed	1,408	1,524	1,510	1,658	1,691
Crime Victim Services					
Number of months to process claim	6.40	6.50	6.70		