FY 2025 Budget Performance Review

30600 - Pardon and Parole Board

Original Version Lead Administrator: Tom Bates

Date submitted Lead Financial Officer: Melinda Romero 9/28/2023

Agency Mission

Division and Program Descriptions

The mission of the Pardon and Parole Board is to serve the citizens of Oklahoma by making careful and informed decisions, focusing on public safety, offender accountability, and victims' rights.

Note: Please define any acronyms used in program descriptions.

1000001 - Executive, Administration, Field Services

The Oklahoma Pardon and Parole Board envisions a parole and pardon system that promotes and utilizes fair and equitable decision-making, reduces risk to the public, includes victim concerns, and encourages successful inmate re-entry.

	FY'24 Budgeted Department Funding By Source								
Dept. #	Department Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local ¹	Other ²	Total		
1000001	Administration	\$2,290,786					\$2,324,169		
8800001	Information Services	\$142,614					\$109,231		
							\$0		
Total		\$2,433,400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,433,400		

1. Please describe source of Local funding not included in other categories: 2. Please describe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding if applicable for each department:

	FY'23 Carryover by Funding Source									
Class Fund #	Carryover Class Fund Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local ¹	Other ²	Total			
19311	General Appropriation	\$1,718,824					\$1,718,824			
	note: has not been carried over yet, but will be in the new few weeks.						\$0			
	estimated amount						\$0			
1. Please desc	ribe source of Local funding not included in other categories:									
2. Please desc	ribe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding if applicable:									

What changes did the agency make between FY'23 and FY'24?

1.) Are there any services no longer provided because of budget cuts?

2.) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user? None

3.) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate? None

4.) Did the agency provide any pay raises that were not legislatively/statutorily required?

Yes, raises were provided to select investigators for incurring additional duties due to being short staffed. We also had two internal promotions who were provided with 5% raises.

	FY'25 Requested Funding By Department and Source								
Dept. #	Dept. # Department Name Appropriations Federal Revolving Other ¹ Total % Change								
1000001	Administration	\$2,634,082	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,634,082	13.33%		
8800001	Information Services	\$142,614	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$142,614	30.56%		
Total	Total \$2,776,696 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,776,696 14.11%								
1. Please des	Please describe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding for each department:								

e(s) a n depa ing for e

	FY'25 Top Five Operatio	nal Appropriation Funding Requests	
Request by Priority	Request Description		Appropriation Request Amount (\$)
Request 1:	Three additional investigators to conduct PPIs.		\$225,000
Request 2:	Security Costs for Board meeting		\$12,000
Request 3:	Increase to shared services		\$16,296
Request 4:	Agency wide 5% Raise		\$90,000
Request 5:			
		Top Five Request Subtotal:	\$343,296
Total Increas	e above FY-24 Budget (including all requests)		\$ 343,296
			40
Difference be	tween Top Five requests and total requests:		Ş0
(Does the agency have any costs associated wit	the Pathfinder retirement system and federal employees?	

No

How would the agency be affected by receiving the same appropriation for FY '25 as was received in FY '24? (Flat/ 0% change)

Receiving the same appropriation for FY '25 as we did in FY '24, with no change, would allow our agency to maintain its current operations at a status quo level

How would the agency handle a 2% appropriation reduction in FY '25?

A 2% appropriation reduction for FY '25 would present our agency with a challenging financial scenario. While we would strive to minimize the impact on our core services and staff, it's important to acknowledge that some adjustments and sacrifices may be necessary to manage this reduction. To achieve the necessary cost savings, we might need to consider measures such as a hiring freeze, reducing overtime, or, as you

Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 2 Increase 3 What are the agency's top 2-3 capital or technology (one-time) requests, if applicable? Description of request in order of priority.	
Lead Administrator: Tom Bates Lead Financial Officer: Melinda Romero Is the agency seeking any fee increases for FY '25? None Fee Increase Request (5) (Yes/No) Request (2) (Yes/No) What are the agency's top 2-3 capital or technology (one-time) requests, if applicable? Description of request in order of priority Appropriated Submitted to LRCPC?	
Fee Increase Statutory change require Request (S) (Yes/No) Increase 2 Increase 3 What are the agency's top 2-3 capital or technology (one-time) requests, if applicable? Description of request is order of priority. Appropriated Submitted to LRCPC?	
Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 2 Increase 3 What are the agency's top 2-3 capital or technology (one-time) requests, if applicable? Description of request in order of priority.	
Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 3 What are the agency's top 2-3 capital or technology (one-time) requests, if applicable? Description of request in order of priority.	
Description of request in order of priority. Appropriated Submitted to LRCPC?	
Description of request in order of priority. Appropriated Submitted to LRCPC?	
Amount (S) (Yes/No)	
Priority 1 Priority 2 Priority 3	
Federal Funds	
CFDA Federal Program Name Agency Dept. # FY 24 budgeted FY 23 FY 22 FY 21 FY 20	
Federal Government Impact	
1.) How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government?	
2.) Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate?	
Is the agency seeking any fee increases for FY '25? None Fee Increase Reauest (S) Reauest (S) (Yes/No) Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 3 What are the agency's top 2-3 capital or technology (one-time) requests, if applicable? Description of request in order of priority Priority 1 Priority 1 Priority 2 Federal Funds CEDA Federal Funds CEDA Federal Program Name Agency Dept. # FY 23 FY 22 FY 21 FY 20 Federal Government Impact 1.) How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government?	
4.) How will your agency be affected by federal budget cuts in the coming fiscal year?	
5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases?	

	FY 2024 Budgeted FTE							
Division #	Division Name	Supervisors	Non-Supervisors	\$0 - \$35 K	\$35 K - \$70 K	\$70 K - \$100K	\$100K+	
1000001 Administr	ation	6	19.5	0.5	20	1		
Total		6	17.1	0.5	20	1	2	
	FTE History by Fiscal Year							
Division #	Division Name	FY 2024 Budgeted	FY 2024 YTD	FY 2023	FY 2022	FY 2021	FY 2016	
1000001 Administr	ration		23.7	23.0	22.2	21.1	32.0	
Total		23.1	23.1	23.0	22.2	21.1	32.0	
	Performance Measure Review							
			FY 2023	FY 2022	FY 2021	FY 2020	FY 2019	
	Program Name							

	Revolving Funds (200 Se	ries Funds)				
lease provide fund number, fund name, description, and revenue source			FY'21-23 Avg. Revenues		Expenditures	June '23 Balance
Fund number: Fund name						
N/A - appropriated						
FY 2024 Current Employee Telework Summary						
List each agency location, then report the number of employees associated with that location in the teleworking categories indicated. Use "No specified location" to account for remote employees not associated with a site. Use actual current employees (headcount), not budgeted or actual FTE.				Full-time and	Part-time Employ	rees (#)
Agency Location / Address	City	County	Onsite Hybrid Remote (5 days onsite, (2-4 days onsite (1 day or less rarely remote) weekly) weekly onsite)			Total Employees
2501 N Lincoln Blvd, Suite 201	Oklahoma City	Oklahoma	0	0	23.1	23.1
						0
						0
					0	
	•			Total Agency	/ Employees	23.1