FY 2025 Budget Performance Review

Oklahoma Department of Commerce - 160

 Version
 Revision 02
 Date submitted
 11/14/2023

 Lead Administrator:
 Hopper Smith
 Lead Financial Officer: Stacie Willis

Agency Mission

To help create an environment where economic growth will occur

Division and Program Descriptions

Note: Please define any acronyms used in program descriptions.

43 - Community Services

Community Services fosters economic development by helping communities understand and implement infrastructure projects and comprehensive planning in order to maximize their resources.

43 - Main Street

The Main Street Program provides specific services and training to participating towns or neighborhoods as they begin the process of revitalizing their districts. It also offers education to non-Main Street communities on the benefits of historic preservation and community commercial development.

45 - Business Services

This division currently includes the Aerospace Commerce Economic Services and Automotive Initiative (Auto). The purpose of ACES and Auto is to create a partnership of education and training, research, and economic development in the Aerospace and Auto industries.

67 - Contracts for Community & Economic Development

Contracts for Community and Economic Development are contracts to local governments and community action agencies, which include ones that the Oklahoma Department of Commerce has been statutorily mandated to administer via annual appropriations.

70 - Operational Support Services

These services include Executive Leadership, Finance, Human Resources, Communications, Purchasing, General Counsel, and Research and Economic Analysis, which all provide the tools and support services necessary for the agency to operate. Business Group is also included in this division to provide for the creation and retention of jobs, to recruit business investment to Oklahoma, and to contribute to economic development through export trade and the attraction of foreign investment.

88 - ISD Data Processing

Information technology expenditures must be budgeted as a separate line item within agency budgets. (62 O.S. § 34.43) All IT expenses should be budgeted in division 88 unless otherwise approved.

3 - Rural Economic Action Plan

This is a continuing fund, not subject to fiscal year limitations, for economic development in rural areas with populations of less than 7,000. Funds have to be used for one of the following items: rural water quality, rural waste, rural sanitary sewage, rural roads, rural health services, rural fire protection, rural telecommunications, municipal energy employment projects, and other projects approved by 2/3 of the substate governing board. The Community Development Services Division annually monitors the REAP program at each COG.

		FY'24 Budgeted Department F	unding By Source				
Dept.#	Department Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local ¹	Other ²	Total
30	PREP Infrastructure Grants			\$10,000,000			\$10,000,000
40	PREP Infrastructure Grants			\$13,000,000			\$13,000,000
41	PREP Southern Regional Airpark			\$9,000,000			\$9,000,000
42	PREP State Fair Project			\$7,500,000			\$7,500,000
44	PREP International Recruiting			\$480,000			\$480,000
60	PREP Rural Dev Grants			\$12,000,000			\$12,000,000
43	Community Development	\$874,315	\$8,167,305	\$41,000			\$9,082,620
43	Main Street Program	\$850,814		\$23,000			\$873,814
45	Business Services	\$2,875,986					\$2,875,986
67	Contracts and Comm. & Economic Dev.	\$20,695,007	\$88,563,572	\$162,425,000			\$271,683,579
70	Operational Support	\$10,209,859	\$290,509	\$2,250,527			\$12,750,895
88	ISD Data Processing	\$871,992	\$946,270	\$378,350			\$2,196,612
93	REAP (Rural Economic Action Plan)			\$30,000,000			\$30,000,000
Total	·	\$36,377,973	\$97,967,656	\$247,097,877	\$0	\$0	\$381,443,506

Please describe source of Local funding not included in other categories:

2. Please describe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding if applicable for each department:

	FY'23 Carryover by Funding Source						
Class Fund #	Carryover Class Fund Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local ¹	Other ²	Total
19301	FY23 General Revenue Fund	\$5,405,079					\$5,405,079
1. Please descri	be source of Local funding not included in other categories:						
2. Please descri	be source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding if applicable:						

What changes did the agency make between FY'23 and FY'24?

1.) Are there any services no longer provided because of budget cuts? None

2.) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user? N/A

3.) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate?

The agency continually reviews processes to ensure we are operating efficiently and seeks out best value/lowest cost options when making purchases, allowing us to continue providing the same level of service to customers.

4.) Did the agency provide any pay raises that were not legislatively/statutorily required?

Please see tab labelled FY23 Salary Changes

		FY'25 Requested Funding By Dep	artment and Sour	ce			
Dept.#	Department Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Other ¹	Total	% Change
30	PREP Infrastructure Grants	\$0	\$0	\$10,000,000	\$0	\$10,000,000	0.00%
40	PREP Infrastructure Grants	\$0	\$0	\$13,000,000	\$0	\$13,000,000	0.00%
41	PREP Southern Regional Airpark	\$0	\$0	\$9,000,000	\$0	\$9,000,000	0.00%
42	PREP State Fair Project	\$0	\$0	\$7,500,000	\$0	\$7,500,000	0.00%
44	PREP International Recruiting	\$0	\$0	\$480,000	\$0	\$480,000	0.00%
60	PREP Rural Dev Grants	\$0	\$0	\$12,000,000	\$0	\$12,000,000	0.00%
43	Community Development	\$874,315	\$8,167,305	\$41,000	\$0	\$9,082,620	0.00%
43	Main Street Program	\$850,814	\$0	\$23,000	\$0	\$873,814	0.00%
45	Business Services	\$3,245,121	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,245,121	12.84%
67	Contracts and Comm. & Economic Dev.	\$31,359,265	\$88,563,572	\$152,425,000	\$0	\$272,347,837	0.24%
70	Operational Support	\$21,409,859	\$290,509	\$2,250,527	\$0	\$23,950,895	87.84%
88	ISD Data Processing	\$871,992	\$946,270	\$378,350	\$0	\$2,196,612	0.00%
93	REAP (Rural Economic Action Plan)	\$0	\$0	\$30,000,000	\$0	\$30,000,000	0.00%
Total		\$58,611,366	\$97,967,656	\$237,097,877	\$0	\$393,676,899	3.21%

1. Please describe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding for each department:

	FY'25 Top Five Operational Appropriation Funding Requests	
Request by Priority	Request Description	Appropriation Request Amount (\$)
Request 1:	Quick Action Closing Fund	\$20,000,000
Request 2:	Workforce Recruitment Marketing	\$10,000,000
Request 3:	ACES Partnership with Consulting Firm	\$260,000
Request 4:	Industrial Site Infrastructure Program	\$250,000
Request 5:	Automotive Initiative	\$109,135
	Top Five Request Subtotal:	\$30,619,135
Total Increase	above FY-24 Budget (including all requests)	\$ 32,233,393
Difference bet	ween Top Five requests and total requests:	\$1,614,258

Does the agency have any costs associated with the Pathfinder retirement system and federal employees?

Yes, we have costs associated with the Pathfinder retirement system and federal employees.

How would the agency be affected by receiving the same appropriation for FY '25 as was received in FY '24? (Flat/ 0% change)

Agency would be able to operate at the same level as FY '24.

How would the agency handle a 2% appropriation reduction in FY '25?

Agency would be able to operate at the same level as FY '24 and use carryover to cover any deficits related to one-time expenditures.

Is the agency seeking any fee increases for FY '25?		
	Fee Increase	Statutory change required?
	Request (\$)	(Yes/No)
Increase 1 N/A		
Increase 2 N/A		
Increase 3 N/A		

What are the agency's top 2-3 capital or technology (one-time) requests, if applicable?				
Description of request in order of priority	Appropriated	Submitted to LRCPC?		
Description of request morter of phoney	Amount (\$)	(Yes/No)		
Priority 1				
Priority 2				
Priority 3				

		Federal F	unds				
CFDA	Federal Program Name	Agency Dept. #	FY 24 budgeted	FY 23	FY 22	FY 21	FY 20
11.307	EDA - Economic Development Admin	70	0	24,000	898,000	0	0
14.228	CDBG - Community Development Block Grant	43, 67, and 88	32,670,550	33,589,026	15,872,196	13,859,918	12,098,310
14.231	ESG - Emergency Solutions Grant	43, 67, and 88	2,587,086	5,431,245	10,361,447	6,620,118	1,541,505
14.269	CDBGDR - Community Development Block Grant Disaster Reco	43, 67, and 88	2,500	0	76,943	282,646	139,028
59.061	STEP - State Trade and Export Promotion	70	66,575	350,928	451,444	232,164	4,850
81.041	SEP - State Energy Program	43, 67, and 88	2,987,430	731,772	645,534	582,214	344,403
81.042	DOE - Weatherization Assistance Program	43, 67, and 88	18,907,082	3,010,456	1,879,394	1,822,819	1,647,505
93.568	DHS - Low-Income Home Energy Assistance	43, 67, and 88	1,013,015	700,952	1,260,577	895,693	750,810
93.569	CSBG - Community Services Block Grant	43, 67, and 88	11,839,507	10,754,332	14,132,337	12,043,401	8,111,145
93.6	HS - Head Start Collaboration	43 & 67	175,000	162,264	138,145	131,740	171,490
17.278	WIOA - Dislocated Worker	43, 67, and 88	9,008,000	7,558,051	6,233,100	6,334,692	3,204,198
17.258	WIOA - Adult Statewide	43, 67, and 88	9,972,500	8,192,218	7,576,244	7,269,043	3,142,534
17.259	WIOA - Youth Statewide	43, 67, and 88	8,400,618	7,958,188	8,425,851	7,048,908	2,044,151
17.285	WIOA - Apprenticeship State Expansion	43, 67, and 88	92,859	294,328	406,504	355,235	17,526
	WIOA - Trade and Economic Transition National Dislocated	43 & 67					
17.277	Worker	43 & 67	0	151,442	676,001	685,594	0
21.019	Oklahoma Business Relief Program	45	0	0	0	143,434,041	0
21.027	ARPA Statewide Recovery Fund	30, 43, 67, 70	10,311,509	15,690,042	0	\$0	\$0

1.) How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government?

The majority of federal funds consist of formula money directly allocated to the State of Oklahoma. Only a small portion are grants that the Agency proactively applied for and received.

2.) Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate?

yes. Each of the Federal programs has an administrative percentage allowance for staff, as well as staff charges to the indirect rate. However, state appropriated funds are required to be used to supplement several of the programs since the allowable administrative percentage is not always sufficient to cover all salary and fringe costs.

3.) What would the consequences be of ending all of the federal funded programs for your agency?

It would essentially eliminate the majority of Commerce's Community Development and Workforce Development Divisions. There would additionally be statewide community impacts due to the elimination of funding used by local governments to improve streets and water treatment facilities and funds used by community action agencies to feed and educate low-income children and weatherize homes of low-income citizens.

4.) How will your agency be affected by federal budget cuts in the coming fiscal year?
Presently, Commerce will continue to draw on "older" money so the impact will not be severe unless a Federal shutdown or cut occurs over a long period of time because most draw processes stay functional during the shutdown. At this time, significant cuts are not expected to be applicable to current awards.

5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases?

Not at this time.

	FY 2024 Budgeted FTE						
Division #	Division Name	Supervisors	Non-Supervisors	\$0 - \$35 K	\$35 K - \$70 K	\$70 K - \$100K	\$100K+
43 Community Deve	elopment	7	46		42	9	2
43 Main Street Prog	ram	1	5		5	1	
45 Business Service	s	3	9.5		9	2.5	1
67 Contracts for Co	mmunity & Economic Dev.	0	0				
70 Operational Supp	oort	18	56	1	41	24	8
88 ISD Data Process	sing	0	0				
93 REAP (Rural Ec	onomic Action Plan)	0	0				
Total		29	116.5	1	97		11

			buildly only: Does not mediate benefits.					
	FTE History by Fiscal Year							
Division #	Division Name	FY 2024 Budgeted	FY 2024 YTD	FY 2023	FY 2022	FY 2021	FY 2016	
43	Community Development	53.0	45.0	45.0	43.0	43.0	26.0	
43	Main Street Program	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.0	5.0	
45	Business Services	12.5	11.5	10.5	3.0	3.0	20.0	
67	Contracts for Community & Economic Dev.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
70	Operational Support	74.0	64.0	66.0	69.0	63.0	41.0	
88	ISD Data Processing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
93	REAP (Rural Economic Action Plan)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
67	NACEA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	
Total		145.5	126.5	127.5	121.0	114.0	96.0	

	Performance Measur	e Review				
		FY 2023	FY 2022	FY 2021	FY 2020	FY 2019
	Program Name					
KPM #1	Direct New Jobs facilitated by Commerce	5,763	8,117	6,225	3,977	8,730
KPM #2	Average Annual Wage of Direct New Jobs	\$55,028	\$62,010	\$51,083	\$58,862	\$63,332
KPM #3	New Private Investment facilitated by Commerce	\$2.13 Billion	\$4.5 Billion	\$2.1 Billion	\$1.8 Billion	\$1.9 Billion
KPM #4	New Business Projects Added to the Pipeline	210	185	281	N/A	N/A
KPM #5	Community Capacity Improvement Projects	539	253	226	225	237

Revolving Funds (200 Series Funds)						
Please provide fund number, fund name, description, and revenue source	FY'21-23 Avg. Revenues	FY'21-23 Avg. Expenditures	June '23 Balance			
OK Department of Commerce Revolving Fund - 205 Continuing fund and subject to the administrative direction of the Oklahoma Department of Commerce. Approximately 67% of revenue is reimbursement of grant expenditures previously disbursed from Fund 205. Two of the grants end September 2022 and another January 2023.	\$719,958	\$929,221	\$948,634			
Rural Economic Action Plan Revolving Fund - 225						
Funds are for the purpose of economic development in rural areas with populations of less than 7,000. Appropriation only	\$19,533,939	\$19,536,160	\$2,590,909			
Oklahoma Main Street Fund - 250						
The Main Street Fund is used for the purpose of providing specific services and training to participating towns or neighborhoods as they begin the process of revitalizing their districts and offering education on the benefits of historic preservation and community commercial development.	\$10,000	\$8,986	\$16,995			
Quick Action Closing Revolving Fund - 255						
Funds are to be expended by the Governor for economic development and related infrastructure development in instances in which expenditure of such funds would likely be a determining factor in locating a high-index business project or facility in Oklahoma or in retaining such a project or facility within the state. Revenue includes Appropriation	\$669,439	\$3,345,667	\$37,682,313			
Disaster Mitigation Fund - 260						
This fund was created to help counties and municipalities impacted by natural disasters or at risk for future loss in their application for recovery and mitigation project financing. The new fund is an opportunity to match federal grant programs like those from FEMA and others. Appropriation only FY23.	\$1,666,667	\$0	\$5,000,000			
Work Based Learning Fund - 270						
Funds are to be expended by Workforce Development to develop and maintain a Work-based Learning Program within its jurisdiction to be focused on increasing the number of registered apprenticeships and internship programs, defined as paid and unpaid work experience by the United States Department of Labor as contained in 20 CFR 681.600, in this state	\$0	\$20,476	\$172,246			

FY 2024 Current Employee Telework Summary							
List each agency location, then report the number of employees associated with that location in the teleworking categories indicated. Use "No specified location" to account for remote employees not associated with a site. Use actual current employees (headcount), not budgeted or actual FTE.			Full-time and Part-time Employees (#)				
Agency Location / Address	City	County	Onsite (5 days onsite, rarely remote)	Hybrid (2-4 days onsite weekly)	Remote (1 day or less weekly onsite)	Total Employees	
900 N. Stiles Ave.	Oklahoma City	Oklahoma	43	79	7	129	
						0	
						0	
						0	
						0	
						0	
						0	
						0	
						0	
						0	
						0	
						0	
				Total Agency E	mployees	129	