FY23 Budget Performance Review Court of Criminal Appeals 199 Lead Administrator: Honorable Scott Rowland							
D			d Department F			041 2	m
Dept. #	Department Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local ¹	Other ²	Total
1000001	General Operations	\$4,022,707	Φ.Ο.	фо	фо	ΦΦ.	\$4,022,707
Total		\$4,022,707 EV'21 C	\$0 arryover by Fun	·	\$0	\$0	\$4,022,707
Class Fund #	Carryover Class Fund Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local ¹	Other ²	Total
19111	Carryover	\$179,117		Revolving	Local	Other	\$179,117
19021	Carryover	\$8,301					\$8,301
19111	Carryover	\$103,982					\$103,982
What changes did the agency make between FY'21 and FY'22?							
1.) Are there any services no longer provided because of budget cuts? No No No No No No No No No N							
3.) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate? N/A							
4.) Did the agency provide any pay raises that were not legislatively/statutorily required? Yes							
		FY'23 Requested	Funding By Dep	partment and			
Dept. #	Department Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Other ¹	Total	% Change
1000001	General Operations	\$4,100,297	\$0	·			1.93%
Total	IN.	\$4,100,297		\$0	·	\$4,100,297	1.93%
FY'23 Top Five Operational Appropriation Funding Requests Request by							
Priority	Request Description						Request Amount
Request 1:	Judicial Salary Increase						\$77,590
Top Five Request Subtotal:							\$77,590
Total Increas	se above FY-21 Budget (including	g all requests)					\$ 77,590
Difference between Top Five requests and total requests: Does the agency have any costs associated with the Pathfinder retirement system and federal employees?							
No							
How would the agency be affected by receiving the same appropriation for FY '23 as was received in FY '22? (Flat/ 0% change)							
This Court's operations would not be negatively affected by a flat budget. Our carry over for the past few years has been in the range of 250,000; additionally,							
one of our five Judges was named to the Supreme Court effective Aug. 1st, and the vacancy in her position and that of her administrative assistant and two							
staff attorneys will add to our carryover. Her replacement will be named in the coming months, but would not likely begin her until at least Feb. 1st, 2022.							
How would the agency handle a 2% appropriation reduction in FY '23?							
Assuming our carryover remains approximmately the same as it has in the past few years, we would not alter our staff attorney salaries and we would rely							
upon existing funds to in all liklihood continue operations without negative effect.							
Is the agency seeking any fee increases for FY '23?							
N/A What are the agency's top 2-3 capital or technology (one-time) requests, if applicable?							
N/A	What are the a	igency's top 2-3 cap	oital or technolog	gy (one-time) i	requests, if app	plicable?	
11/11			Federal Fund	<u> </u>			
			FY 22				
CFDA	Federal Program Name	Agency Dept. #	Budgeted	FY 21	FY 20	#DIV/0!	FY 18
N/A							
Federal Government Impact							
1.) How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government? No 2.) Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate? N/A							N/A
							N/A
							N/A
5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases? No							
			Y'22 Budgeted				
Division #	Division Name	Supervisors	Classified	Unclassified	\$0 - \$35 K	\$35 K - \$70 K	
1000001 Total	General Operations	5 5	0 0		0	7	20 20
TULAI		5	FTE History		U	/	
Division #	Division Name		2022 Budgeted	2021	2020	2018	2013
			27.0				
Total			27.0		27.0	27.0	
		g Funds (200 Se				T 104 D	
	200 CCA Davalaina E I	FY'19-21 Avg	g. Kevenues	FY'19-21 A	vg. Expends	June '21 Balance	
This fund con	200 - CCA Revolving Fund asists of all monies received by the						
	all other monies so designated for						
1 * *	ed upon the Court by law.	\$22	2.5	\$	0	\$1,555	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							