Oklahoma Water Resources Board

Lead Administrator: Julie Cunningham

Lead Financial Officer: Cleve Pierce

Agency Mission

To protect and enhance the quality of life for Oklahomans by managing and improving the state's water resources to ensure clean and reliable water supplies, a strong economy, and a safe and healthy

Division and Program Descriptions

Note: Please define any acronyms used in program descriptions.

Dam Safety

Conducts construction permitting, inspection, breach analysis, emergency action planning and response, and dam owner assistance activities to ensure the safety of more than 4,700 jurisdictional dams

Water Rights Administration

Administers a system of water appropriation permitting, use accounting, legal availability determination, inspection, interference investigation, mediation, and cancellation assessment Permits must be obtained all uses of water with the exception of domestic use. Permitted beneficial uses of water include Public Water Supply, Irrigation, Agriculture, Industrial, and Oil & Gas Mining.

Planning

Oklahoma statutes provide that the OWRB develop and implement long term water resources planning, conduct decennial updates, and provide local assistance. Working with the public and water use and economic sectors, the Oklahoma Comprehensive Water Plan quantifies supply and demand projections, conducts studies on supply options, develops planning tools and data, and identifies priority needs and emerging issues, and makes recommendations on future policy for the state to identify innovative solutions to Oklahoma's water challenges for the future.

Floodplain Management

As the designated coordinating agency for the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and State Floodplain Board, the OWRB partners with other state and federal agencies and local governments to prevent and mitigate the catastrophic effects of flooding disasters in Oklahoma. Activities include facilitating local compliance with NFIP, which offers low-cost flood insurance for participants, and permitting of construction activities on state-owned or operated property.

Well Drillers

Ensure the integrity of water well construction and prevent potential pollution of state groundwaters

Technical Studies Program

The Technical Studies Program provides in-depth analysis of water quantity in the state's surface and groundwater, including maximum annual yield studies and stream water allocation modeling.

Water Quality Division--Monitoring, Assessment, and Technical Studies

Collects data on state's groundwater, rivers, and lakes to assess and provide information regarding the health of the state's water resources for a variety of uses, including water supply, recreation, agriculture, and fishing. Provides quality, up-to-date information for decision-makers.

Water Quality Division--Data Management and Dissemination

Manages the data collected through the various Water Quality monitoring programs and studies in order to disseminate this information to the public

Water Quality Division--Water Quality Standards

Water Quality Division - Sets state water quality standards and antidegradation policy for waters of the state

Clean Water State Revolving Fund

Provides lower than market interest rate loans to qualifying communities to construct wastewater treatment and collection systems, make system improvements, construct green infrastructure, or wastewater system improvements in order to come into compliance with the Federal Clean Water Act. This program establishes a revolving loan fund so that loan monies will be available in the future.

Drinking Water State Revolving Fund

The OWRB, in conjunction with the OK Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), provides low-interest rate loans to eligible communities to fund drinking water projects. The OWRB provides the financial services for the program while DEQ provides the engineering and technical services. This program establishes a revolving loan fund to that loan monies will be available in the future.

State Loan Program Revenues Bonds (FAP)

Provides low cost funding for improvements to qualified water and wastewater projects. The purpose of the program is to protect the health and safety of Oklahomans by providing safe adequate drinking water supplies and adequate wastewater treatment.

Support Services

Provides the agency with the Administrative support needed to fulfil the agency's mission. This support includes: Legal, Accounting, Human Resources, GIS, OnBase, Public Information, and executive leadership.

	FY'21 Budgeted Department Funding By Source								
Dept. #	Department Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local ¹	Other ²	Total		
1001001	Administrative Services	1,799,223		868,390		90,532	\$2,758,145		
2002001	Water Quality Administration	308,027	28,000	250,000			\$586,027		
2002020	Water Quality Standards	159,046	78,800				\$237,846		
2002041	Ground Water Monitoring	411,560	252,876	162,141			\$826,577		
2002042	Stream Monitoring	275,396	211,265	546,246			\$1,032,907		
2002043	Lake Monitoring	266,073	343,750	448,887			\$1,058,710		
2002050	USGS Coop Agreement	113,400	301,300	-			\$414,700		
4004030	Clean Water State Revolving Fund		2,287,350				\$2,287,350		
4004040	Financial Assistance			395,087			\$395,087		
4004050	Drinking Water State Revolving Fund		1,032,708				\$1,032,708		
7003090	Floodplain Management	96,970	695,946				\$792,916		
7003120	Dam Safety	66,991	475,544	25,600			\$568,135		
7003130	Oklahoma Comprehensive Water Plan			1,780,048			\$1,780,048		
7005010	Water Permitting			607,438			\$607,438		
7005030	Well Drillers' Program	119,118		147,722			\$266,840		
7005040	Technical Studies			908,473			\$908,473		
7007001	Planning and Management Administration	94,730		99,005			\$193,735		
8800010	Administrative Services IT	138,966		674,808			\$813,774		
8800020	Water Quality IT	118,972	455,600	29,918			\$604,490		
8800040	Financial Assistance IT		351,273	61,557			\$412,830		
8800070	Planning and Management IT	26,138	72,230	257,918			\$356,286		
99	*REAP Transfer from GR to 22500 fund	1,210,713			(1,210,713)		\$0		

Oklahoma Water Resources Board

Lead Financial Officer: Cleve Pierce Lead Administrator: Julie Cunningham Sub-Total Total Operational: 5,205,323 6,586,642 7,263,238 (1,210,713)90,532 \$17,935,022 $REAP\ is\ part\ of\ the\ OWRB's\ GR,\ however\ a\ portion\ must\ be\ transferred\ to\ support\ REAP\ Grants.\ See\ Local^{1\ for\ the\ adjustment.}$ Capital Accounts associated with Financial Assistance Bond, Loan, and Grant **Programs** 9404030 Clean Water SRF Loans 5,661,669 168,574,142 \$174,235,811 Drinking Water SRF Loans 9404050 3,418,495 159,381,055 \$162,799,550 9404040 Financial Assistance Program Grants 150,000,000 700,000 \$150,700,000 9904040 **Emergency Grants** 937,845 \$937,845 9904910 **ASCOG** Funding Transfer from GR 210,554 \$210,554 9904911 COEDD Funding Transfer from GR 200,353 \$200,353 Funding Transfer from GR 9904912 EODD 155,185 \$155,185 9904913 **GGEDA** Funding Transfer from GR 165,609 \$165,609 9904914 Funding Transfer from GR \$133,018 KEDO 133,018 9904915 NODA Funding Transfer from GR 199,994 \$199,994 9904916 OEDA Funding Transfer from GR 195,056 \$195,056 9904917 Funding Transfer from GR 182,842 \$182,842 SODA

Total 1. Please describe source of Local funding not included in other categories:

Total Bond, Loan, and Grant Funding

9904918

9904919

9904920

SWODA

ACOG

INCOG

2. Please describe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding if applicable for each department:

FY'20 Carryover by Funding Source								
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local ¹	Other ²	Total		
Carryover	\$179,649	\$67,170	\$1,960,230	\$0	\$0	\$2,207,049		
	\$0					\$0		
1. Please describe source of Local funding not included in other categories:								
2. Please describe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding if applicable:								

\$5,205,323

159,080,164

\$165,666,806

200,914

146,590

114,738

-\$1,210,713

331,497,895

\$338,761,133

\$200,914

\$146,590

\$114,738

490,578,059

\$508,513,081

\$90,532

Funding Transfer from GR

Funding Transfer from GR

Funding Transfer from GR

What changes did the agency make between FY'20 and FY'21?

1.) Are there any services no longer provided because of budget cuts?

Woodward field office was closed and services transferred to Oklahoma City office

2.) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user?

None for FY20

3.) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate?

None for FY20

4.) Did the agency provide any pay raises that were not legislatively/statutorily required?

Vac

		Yes					
	FY'22 I	Requested Funding By Dep	artment and Sourc	e			
Dept. #	Department Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Other ¹	Total	% Change
1001001	Administrative Services	\$1,799,223	\$0	\$868,390	\$90,532	\$2,758,145	0.00%
2002001	Water Quality Administration	\$308,027	\$28,000	\$250,000	\$0	\$586,027	0.00%
2002020	Water Quality Standards	\$159,046	\$78,800	\$0	\$0	\$237,846	0.00%
2002041	Ground Water Monitoring	\$411,560	\$252,876	\$162,141	\$0	\$826,577	0.00%
2002042	Stream Monitoring	\$275,396	\$211,265	\$546,246	\$0	\$1,032,907	0.00%
2002043	Lake Monitoring	\$266,073	\$343,750	\$448,887	\$0	\$1,058,710	0.00%
2002050	USGS Coop Agreement	\$113,400	\$301,300	\$0	\$0	\$414,700	0.00%
4004030	Clean Water State Revolving Fund	\$0	\$2,287,350	\$0	\$0	\$2,287,350	0.00%
4004040	Financial Assistance	\$0	\$0	\$395,087	\$0	\$395,087	0.00%
4004050	Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	\$0	\$1,032,708	\$0	\$0	\$1,032,708	0.00%
7003090	Floodplain Management	\$96,970	\$695,946	\$0	\$0	\$792,916	0.00%
7003120	Dam Safety	\$66,991	\$475,544	\$25,600	\$0	\$568,135	0.00%
7003130	Oklahoma Comprehensive Water Plan	\$0	\$0	\$1,780,048	\$0	\$1,780,048	0.00%
7005010	Water Permitting	\$0	\$0	\$607,438	\$0	\$607,438	0.00%
7005030	Well Drillers' Program	\$119,118	\$0	\$147,722	\$0	\$266,840	0.00%
7005040	Technical Studies	\$0	\$0	\$908,473	\$0	\$908,473	0.00%
7007001	Planning and Management Administration	\$94,730	\$0	\$99,005	\$0	\$193,735	0.00%
8800010	Administrative Services IT	\$138,966	\$0	\$674,808	\$0	\$813,774	0.00%
8800020	Water Quality IT	\$118,972	\$455,600	\$29,918	\$0	\$604,490	0.00%
8800040	Financial Assistance IT	\$0	\$351,273	\$61,557	\$0	\$412,830	0.00%
8800070	Planning and Management IT	\$26,138	\$72,230	\$257,918	\$0	\$356,286	0.00%
Sub-Total	Total Operational:	\$5,205,323	\$6,586,642	\$7,263,238	\$90,532	\$17,935,022	0.00%
	Capital Accounts associated with Financial Assistance Bond, Loan, and Grant Programs						
9404030	Clean Water SRF Loans	-	5,661,669	168,574,142	\$0	174,235,811	0.00%
9404050	Drinking Water SRF Loans	-	3,418,495	159,381,055	\$0	162,799,550	0.00%
9404040	Financial Assistance Program Grants	-	150,000,000	700,000	\$0	150,700,000	0.00%
9904040	Emergency Grants		0	937,845	\$0	937,845	0.00%
9904910	ASCOG	Funding Transfer from GR	-	210,554	\$0	210,554	0.00%
9904911	COEDD	Funding Transfer from GR	-	200,353	\$0	200,353	0.00%
9904912	EODD	Funding Transfer from GR	-	155,185	\$0	155,185	0.00%
9904913	GGEDA	Funding Transfer from GR	-	165,609	\$0	165,609	0.00%
9904914	KEDO	Funding Transfer from GR	-	133,018	\$0	133,018	0.00%
9904915	NODA	Funding Transfer from GR	-	199,994	\$0	199,994	0.00%
9904916	OEDA	Funding Transfer from GR	-	195,056	\$0	195,056	0.00%
9904917	SODA	Funding Transfer from GR	-	182,842	\$0	182,842	0.00%
9904918	SWODA	Funding Transfer from GR	-	200,914	\$0	200,914	0.00%
9904919	ACOG	Funding Transfer from GR	-	146,590	\$0	146,590	0.00%
9904920	INCOG	Funding Transfer from GR	-	114,738	\$0	114,738	0.00%
Subtotal	Total Bond, Loan, and Grant Funding	ф# 20# 222	159,080,164	331,497,895	фор Т ор	490,578,059	-
Grand Tot	a Total Operational and Bond/Loan Programs	\$5,205,323	\$165,666,806	\$338,761,133	\$90,532	\$508,513,081	0.00%

Oklahoma Water Resources Board

Lead Administrator: Julie Cunningham

Lead Financial Officer: Cleve Pierce

FY'22 Top Five Operational Appropriation Funding Requests	

Appropriation Request

Amount (\$)

\$0

\$0

Request 1: No Requests

Request 2:

Request 3:

Request

by Priority

Request 4:

Request 5:

Total Increase above FY-21 Budget (including all requests)

Difference between Top Five requests and total requests:

Top Five Request Subtotal:

Does the agency have any costs associated with the Pathfinder retirement system and federal employees?

Yes. However, those costs are minimal. They are removed from our calculations for federal reimbursements.

Request Description

How would the agency be affected by receiving the same appropriation for FY '22 as was received in FY '21? (Flat/ 0% change)

For FY 21 we have delayed rehiring critical positions which inevitably will slow delivery of services and minimize field investigations. In addition, FY 20 legislation assigned projects including a multi-year hydrologic study on the Arbuckle Simpson aquifer and creation of a State Flood Plan with no additional funding and the agency is in the process of identifying potential alternate funding for these.

How would the agency handle a 2% appropriation reduction in FY '22?

The agency would first review its non-spend from the previous year and determine if the scope of work had changed or efficiency improvements had been implemented such that budgeted dollars could be lowered for the next year in order to offset the percentage reduction of 2%. Next, the agency would look to categories where the budget might be lowered. This would include assessing the under-filling of vacant positions and reducing travel expenditures further. Results of cuts would include slower permit and license issuance, reduction in water quality and quantity monitoring data sets, reduced water infrastructure grant dollars to small communities, slowed completion of hydrologic studies, reduced customer assistance, reduced dam and well inspections, and public education regarding dam and water well maintenance and floodplain and drought management.

Is the agency seeking any fee increases for FY '22?						
		Statutory change required?				
	Request (\$)	(Yes/No)				
Increase 1						
Increase 2						
Increase 3						
What are the agency's top 2-3 capital or technology (one-time) requests, if applicable?						
Description of request in order of priority	Appropriated	Submitted to LRCPC?				

Description of request in order of priority	Amount (\$)	(Yes/No)
Priority 1		
Priority 2	1	
Priority 3		
Federal Funds		

CFDA	Federal Program Name	Agency Dept. #	FY 21 budgeted	FY 20	FY 19	FY 18	FY 17
15.504	Title XVI Water Reclamation and Reuse	7003130	0	13,927	37,507	146,201	0
15.980	National Groundwater Monitoring Network	2002041	90,000	8,944	86,733	62,498	20,231
15.981	Water Use and Data Research	7005010	0	51,237	24,313	75,361	8,659
66.419	Water Pollution Control State and Interstate	2002042 & 2002043	563,000	479,263	582,645	569,629	328,547
66.454	Water Quality Management Planning	2002020	70,000	70,016	58,654	83,403	84,352
66.458	Capitalization Grants - Clean Water State Revolving Fund	4004030	14,194,908	15,707,765	20,428,691	5,959,067	5,811,283
66.468	Capitalization Grants - Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	4004050	180,000	180,000	180,000	180,000	180,000
66.461	Wetland Grants	2002043	110,000	106,810	77,666	73,275	50,502
66.608	Environmental Information Exchange Network	2002001	330,000	396,310	34,554	39,866	33,219
97.023	Community Assistance Program State Support	7003090	388,000	368,663	396,195	426,064	409,772
97.041	National Dam Safety	7007120	525,000	352,506	349,785	346,839	280,027
97.045	Cooperating Technical Partners	7003090	435,000	476,135	414,856	505,291	459,801

1.) How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government?

<u>Water Quality</u> - We receive \$64,000 federal dollars to explicitly be used for development of water quality standards and implementation. Total cost for meeting that mandated work is approximately \$265,000 plus legal staff expense for research and development of standards and implementation and promulgating rules.

Federal Government Impact

<u>Planning and Management</u> - We receive approximately \$500,000 through FEMA to support the National Dam Safety Act and \$650,000 from the National Flood Insurance Program for Community Assistance Visits and the Cooperating Technical Partners Program.

2.) Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate?

No

3.) What would the consequences be of ending all of the federal funded programs for your agency?

Water Quality- Without available federal funds (\$64,000), the Oklahoma Water Quality Standards (OWQS) program would function at a reduced level. To keep the OWQS program functional, cuts to other programs would need to occur (including eliminating FTE positions). Federal monitoring monies are also used by the OWRB to support agency monitoring priorities. These monies in the current fiscal year total approximately \$1.3 million, and include direct funding to the OWRB, as well as contractual monies from other agencies that come from federal grants to those agencies. Without these moneys, cuts in our monitoring program approximating that total would need to occur. Additionally, the OWRB receives approximately \$350,000-450,000 from grants that are contracted through to other entities but enhance OWRB water management tools such as databases and remote sensing.

<u>Financial Assistance</u> - If the CWSRF and DWSRF programs were eliminated from our agency, OWRB would potentially have to pay back all the capitalization grants we have received to date. This would mean returning \$376.5 million and \$347.85 million for the respective programs. It would also mean fewer programs for our communities to utilize for water and wastewater infrastructure financing which would result in higher interest rates to the community.

Planning and Management - The state would lose over \$1,150,000 in federal funds used to implement Oklahoma's Dam Safety Act and administer Oklahoma's Floodplain Management Act to prevent/reduce costly flooding to protect human health and environment. This action would eliminate funding for technical assistance to 403 communities; dam breach and floodplain mapping; and training for dam owners and community officials. Approximately \$300,000 are currently funding crucial, drinking water reservoir management studies by the U.S. Dept. of Interior on Upper Red River and the Upper Washita River and Oklahoma Water for 2060 Act initiatives in chronically drought-stricken western Oklahoma. Statutory provisions requiring the OWRB to appropriate water based on water availability studies and implement water planning recommendations of Oklahoma Comprehensive Water Plan would be greatly hampered. The OCWP update has began with completion estimated in State FY 2024. Expected funding of \$3,300,000 over 5 years of federal match from the USACE Planning Assistance to States could be lost greatly affecting current water planning efforts.

Oklahoma Water Resources Board

Lead Financial Officer: Cleve Pierce

Lead Administrator: Julie Cunningham

4.) How will your agency be affected by federal budget cuts in the coming fiscal year?

Water Quality - Any cuts to federal funding that come in conjunction with additional cuts in state funding require large cuts to the division's monitoring and OWQS programs. Program cuts would include FTE and seasonal personnel, laboratory services, and replacement of aging infrastructure, such as trucks, boats, and monitoring equipment.

5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases?

Water Quality - The Water Quality Division continues to support and increase in federal funding for the United States Geological Survey's (USGS) Cooperative Program. This program is a cooperative effort between USGS and Oklahoma (OWRB) to collect water quality and quantity data across the state to aid in flood forecasting, collect needed data for the Comprehensive Water Plan and for water planning by municipalities, water districts, and conservancy districts.

<u>Planning and Management</u>-To continue to make progress on the Water For 2060 Act initiatives and statutorily mandated hydrologic and water planning and drought studies, we have identified additional federal and state funding and other project partners that could contribute matching dollars for federal dollars available through the US Army Corp of Engineers, US Geological Survey, Department of Interior Bureau of Reclamation and Federal Emergency Management Administration.

	FY'21 Budgeted	FTE					
Division # Division Name	Supervisors	Classified	Unclassified	\$0 - \$35 K	\$35 K - \$70 K	\$70 K - \$\$\$	
10 Administrative Services	7	14.25	6	2.25	12		(
20 Water Quality	9	15	15	0	24		
40 Financial Assistance 70 Planning and Management	6	19 18.01	3	1.5	23.51		
70 I faining and Management	0	10.01	12	1.3	23.31		
Total	30	66.26	36	3.75	73.51		2
	FTE History						
Division # Division Name	_	2021 Budgeted	2020	2019	2017	2012	
10 Administrative Services		20.3		19.0			17.
20 Water Quality 40 Financial Assistance		30.0 22.0		28.0 19.0			32. 24.
70 Planning and Management		30.0		28.0			34.
Total		102.3	97.5	94.0	102.0		107.
	Performance Measur			777.10	777.47		
Oklahoma Comprehensive Water Plan		FY 20	FY 19	FY 18	FY 17	FY 16	
•	nompletion)	Base Year for KPM					
Update previous OCWP (2012) of all data, maps, and projections for 2025 OCWP (Measure - % of COWP Vision and Tools Davidsment	completion)						
OCWP Vision and Tools Development OCWP 2025 Recommendations and Penants Development		Base Year for KPM					
OCWP 2025 Recommendations and Reports Development Dam Safety		Base Year for KPM					
Ţ.							
Identify existing and new high hazard dams in the state. Assist the dam owners to develop EAP by pr	oviding breach inundation	070/	0.60/	Base Year for			
maps, EAP template, and guidelines. (% Identified)		97%	96%	KPM			
Develop and implement technical O&M workshop series for engineers and owners, and implement no		21	20	Base Year for KPM			
mechanism through dam inspection and breach mapping effort for targeted dams' types. (# of Project	is)	21	20	KPIVI			
Well Driller & Pump Installer Licensing							
Provide several statewide continuing education classes for well drillers, increase the awareness of the	importance of the license						
renewal, increase field inspections, and improve the efficiency of the well drillers program to address	-			Base Year for			
regulations violations. (# of classes)		10	8	KPM			
Work to rebuild the Well Drillers license and well record database. The current database has served to	1 0						
twenty years, but it is becoming increasingly difficult to maintain and fix when issues arise. The progr database capable of meeting the needs and demands of our customers in the internet age. (% of comp							
distribute expuse of meeting the needs and demands of our editioners in the internet age. (% of comp	ion)	Base Year for KPM					
Floodplain Management			1				
Provide participating and non-participating communities in Oklahoma with NFIP information including the state of the state	_	5	5	Base Year for KPM			
information, flood risk reduction options, flood insurance regulations and effective local floodplain m	ianagement methods.	3	3	KPIVI			
	• •			D W C			
Conduct training workshops, community meetings, community assistance visits and general technical community knowledge on flood risk reduction and floodplain management.	assistance to increase	65	60	Base Year for KPM			
community knowledge on nood risk reduction and noodplain management.		0.5	00	KIWI			
As required by SB 1269, develop a State Flood Plan to identify flood risk state-wide and a produce a	ranked list of potential flood						
reduction projects	rained not of potential flood	5	Base Year for KPM				
Hydrologic Studies (Technical Studies)							
				Base Year for			
Have digital datasets from published hydrologic investigations online in aquifer specific web viewers		6	6	KPM			
Publish 12 groundwater hydrologic basin yield investigations between FY 2018-24		25%	25%	Base Year for KPM			
Water Rights Administration (Permitting)		25 /0	2370	KIW			
(and August Landing (a a manage)							
Identify and contract with a database performance management firm to further enhance and update the							
This will build upon the WISR project that expanded the collection and storage of data on the annual	water use reporting forms.	D V C IZDM					
		Base Year for KPM	D W C KDW				
Complete 6 Maximum Annual Yield Orders by FY 2024.		17%	Base Year for KPM				
Ensure the best accuracy in administering water right applications for out-of-basin transfers through o	close examination and						
necessary changes to data and calculation methodologies in the next revision of the OCWP, as wells a							
water rights available water calculations.		Base Year for KPM					
Oklahoma Water Quality Standards			I	l			
Review and update Oklahoma's Water Quality Standards and Anti-degradation policy at least once ev	very 3 years as required by	_	_	_			
the Environmental Protection Agency. Number of informal and formal public meetings held to solicit		3	3	3	Base Year for KPM		
Technical support documents will be researched and prepared as needed.							
Woton Quality Manitoning and Taskwical Studies							
Water Quality - Monitoring and Technical Studies							
Assess the number of site visits to waterbodies made annually as part of the OWRB Monitoring and	Technical Studies programs						
and make changes as staffing and money allow.		72,500	71,500	70,000	70,000	Base Year for KP	PM
			·				

FY22 Budget Perform	ance Review				
Oklahoma Water Reso	ources Board				
Lead Administrator: Julie Cunningham			j	Lead Financial Officer	r: Cleve Pierce
Water Quality Data Management and Dissemination					
Provide monitoring data collected and reports generated from that data on the web in an easily-readable format that can be quickly accessed by the public. Number of unique data points.	3,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	1,000,000	Base Year for KPM
Update current or create new databases for managing information. Update current or create new web services for disseminating	3,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	1,000,000	Dusc Teal for Krivi
information to the public.	3	2			
Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) Continue an effort to address Oklahoma's projected \$82 billion water and wastewater infrastructure needs. The goal is to disburse		I			
more than federal funds received which may require additional leveraging of the program as demand for the program grows.	344%	313%	388%	372%	350%
Increase disbursements as a % of executed loans compared to the national average (national average 89% for FY 19)	80%	79%	89%	84%	79%
Decrease ratio of undisbursed funds to disbursements compared to national average (National average 3.12 years in FY 2019)	1.61	3.83	4.33	4.87	Base Year for KPM
Increase the Total Net as compared to national average (national average \$58.5M in FY 2019)	7.9 Million	16.2 Million	38.38 Million	Base Year for KPM	
increase the Net Interest Margin as compared to national average (national average 0.80% in FY 2019)	Not Available	0.38%	0.10%	Base Year for KPM	
Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF)					
Increase disbursements as a % of Assistance Provided compared to national average (National average 88.7% for FY 2019)	452.40%	409.70%	388.00%	372.00%	350.00%
Eineneiel Assistance Ducquem (EAD)					
Financial Assistance Program (FAP) Maintain AAA rating by Standard and Poor's	100.40%	100.40%	100.40%	100.00%	100.00%
Maintain AAA Tating by Standard and 1 001 5	100.40%	100.40%	100.40%	100.00%	100.00%
Support Services - Administrative Services - (Information Technology)					
Develop internal IT plan with consultation and guidance by OMES_ISD to upgrade and modernize OWRB IT systems. Conduct decision making based upon how OMES_ISD is able to assist and what outside vendors can provide. Begin actual implementation and completion of the plan.	5%	Base Year for KPM	NA	NA	NA
Revolving Funds (200 S	eries Funds)				
Please provide fund number, fund name, description, and revenue source	FY'18-20 A	Avg. Revenues	FY'18-20 A	vg. Expenditures	June '20 Balance
21000: Drillers and Installers Indemnity Fund	1				
Use: Emergency Plugging of Water Wells. Fund is not used unless there is a well emergency Revenue Source: Fees from Well Drilling Operators	\$2	6,043		\$0	\$50,000
21500: OWRB Revolving Fund Use: General Operation and to cover indirect costs related to projects					
Revenue Source: Payments from other agencies, local governments, indirect cost reimbursements, copies, and, (in late FY2019)	*\$6,	631,741	**\$.	3,358,930	\$10,149,696
22500: REAP Fund					
Use: REAP Grant Awards]				
Revenue Source: Transfers from the agency's general appropriations and reimbursements from unused REAP Grant monies.	\$1,2	15,799	\$960,750		\$2,453,300
24000: OWRB Revolving Fee Fund					
Use: Operational funding for the Water Rights Administration, Dam Safety, and Water Well Drillers Programs	\$78	31,539	\$8	371,852	\$193,482
Revenue Source: Water Use and Well Drillers Fees					
24500: Well Drillers and Installers Regulatory Funds Use: Education and Training of Well Driller Program	\$2	0,845	¢	36,851	\$45,254
Revenue Source: Transfer from the Drillers and Installers Indemnity Fund for any amount over \$50,000	, s	0,643	φ	30,831	\$4J,2J 4
Use: Used for direct and indirect costs associated with the Planning and Management Division of the OWRB for	4				
recommendations in Oklahoma's Comprehensive Water Plan in answer to the Water for 2060 Act.	\$2,9	49,795	\$1,	712,134	\$4,182,328
* In FY2019 the agency received a settlement agreement in the amount of \$12.5 million. This was a one time payment and is not part of the agency's normal revenues for this fund. Without this payment normal revenues would have averaged approximately \$2.5 million.					
** In FY2020, as a result of the settlement payment from FY2019, the agency had to reimburse the agency's loan fund as payments had been made from this fund to pay the US Army Corps of Engineers for expenses related to the Sardis Reservoir. Without this one-time reimbursement, the 3-year expenditures average for normal operations would have been around \$2.4 million.					