## **FY'22 Budget Performance Review District Courts 219**

Lead Administrator: Jari Askins Lead Financial Officer: Marilyn Anderson

#### **Agency Mission**

The mission of the District Courts is to provide a fair and impartial justice system

## **Division and Program Descriptions**

Operations - Includes travel and general operating costs - less than 1% of total budget

Payroll & Benefits - Over 99% of total budget

FY'21 Budgeted Department Funding By Source									
Dept. #	Department Name	App	ropriations	Federal		Revolving	Local <sup>1</sup>	Other <sup>2</sup>	Total
1000001	Operations	\$	384,935		\$	20,000			\$ 404,935
1000001	Payroll & Benefits	\$	67,595,426		\$	3,000,000			\$ 70,595,426
Total		\$	67,980,361	\$0	\$	3,020,000	\$0	\$0	\$ 71,000,361
	FY'20 Carryover by Funding Source								
		App	ropriations	Federal		Revolving	Local <sup>1</sup>	Other <sup>2</sup>	Total
Carryover		·	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

#### What changes did the agency make between FY'20 and FY'21?

1.) Are there any services no longer provided because of budget cuts?

No None

2.) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user?

3.) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate?

None

4.) Did the agency provide any pay raises that were not legislatively/statutorily required?

Yes

FY'22 Requested Funding By Department and Source								
Dept. #	<b>Department Name</b>	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Other <sup>1</sup>	Total	% Change	
1000001	Operations	\$ 384,935		\$ 20,000		\$ 404,935	0%	
1000001 F	Payroll & Benefits	\$ 69,595,426		\$ 3,000,000		\$ 72,595,426	2.83%	
Total		\$ 69,980,361	\$0	\$ 3,020,000	\$0	\$ 73,000,361	2.82%	

**FY'22 Top Five Operational Appropriation Funding Requests** 

Priority	Request Description		Request	1
Thornty	Request Description		Amount	ı
Request 1: Decrease	n State Judicial Fund Collections		\$ 12,000,000	ı
		<b>Top Five Request Subtotal:</b>	\$ 12,000,000	ı

**Total Increase above FY-21 Budget (including all requests)** 

\$ 12,000<del>,000</del>

Difference between Top Five requests and total requests:

Does the agency have any costs associated with the Pathfinder retirement system and federal employees?

No

# How would the agency be affected by receiving the same appropriation for FY '22 as was received in FY '21? (Flat/ 0% change)

State Judicial Fund collections are continuing to decrease so appropriation will need to be increased to make up the difference

## How would the agency handle a 2% appropriation reduction in FY '22?

We would be forced to layoff Special Judges, Secretary Bailiffs & court reporters

		-
FV'21	Budgeted FTE	
	Duugeieurib	

Division #	Division Name	Supervisors	Classified	Unclassified	\$0-\$35K	\$35K-\$70K	\$70K+
Operat	ions	2		395	188	212	2
Judges				241			241
Total		2	0	636	188	212	243
	ETE History						

FTE History							
	2021						
Division # Division Name	Budgeted	2020	2019	2017	2012		
Operations	395.0		396.0	392.0	400.0		
Judges	241.0		241.0	241.0	241.0		
Total	636.0	0.0	637.0	633.0	641.0		

**Revolving Funds** 

	<b>FY'18-20 Avg. Revs.</b>	FY'18-20 Avg. Expends	June '20 Bal.
230: District Court Revolving Fund			
Revenue sources: administrative fees collected on certain fines.	\$3,042,325	\$2,779,296	\$791,547
Fund uses: duties imposed upon the district courts.			
235: Lengthy Trial Fund		\$25,771	
Revenue sources: civil case filing fees.	\$1,130,832	\$4.2 Million Transferred to Interagency Reimbursement	\$232,815
Fund uses: full or partial wage replacement for certain jurors.		Fund	