| | FY22 Budget I | Performance | Review | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Department o | of Commerce | - 16000 | | | |
| Lead Administrator: Bre | nt Kisling | | | Lead | Financial Officer: S | Stacie Willis |
| | Age | ency Mission | | | | |
| To h | nelp create an environmen | nt where econor | nic growth will | occur. | | |
| | Division and | Program Descri | otions | | | |
| ote: Please define any acronyms used in program description | ns. | | | | | |
| B - Community Services | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| ommunity Services fosters economic development by helping o | communities understand and i | mplement infrastru | icture projects and | l comprehensive pl | lanning in order to m | aximize their resources. |
| | | | | | | |
| 3 - Main Street | | | | | | |
| he Main Street Program provides specific services and trainin | | • | ey begin the proce | ss of revitalizing th | heir districts. It also o | ffers education to non- |
| lain Street communities on the benefits of historic preservation | <i>i</i> and community commercial | development. | | | | |
| 5 - Business Services | | | | | | |
| his division currently includes the Aerospace Commerce Econ | comic Services and Auto. The | purpose of ACES | and Auto is to crea | te a partnership o | f education and traini | ing. research. and |
| conomic development in the Aerospace and Auto industries. | | purpose of mezo | | ie a parmersnip o | | ng, research, and |
| 7 - Contracts for Community & Economic Development | | | | | | |
| - Contracts for Community & Economic Development | | | | | | |
| ontracts for Community and Economic Development are cont een statutorily mandated to administer via annual appropriati | - | d community actio | n agencies, which | include ones that t | he Oklahoma Departi | ment of Commerce has |
| een statutority manaalea to aaminister via annual appropriati | Uns. | | | | | |
|) - Operational Support Services | | | | | | |
| hese services include Executive Leadership, Finance, Human | | | | | | |
| pport services necessary for the agency to operate. Business ad to contribute to economic development through export trad | - | - | e for the creation d | and retention of jo | <i>bs, to recruit business</i> | investment to Oklanoma |
| B - ISD Data Processing | | | | | | |
| 3 - Rural Economic Action Plan his is a continuing fund, not subject to fiscal year limitations, y | for economic development in a | rural areas with po | ppulations of less th | han 7000. Funds | have to be used for on | ne of the following items: |
| ral water quality, rural waste, rural sanitary sewage, rural re ojects approved by 2/3 of the substate governing board. The | | · · | | - | | projects, and other |
| ojecis approved by 275 of the substate governing bourd. The | FY'21 Budgeted De | | • | KEM program a | <i>each</i> 000. | |
| Dept. # Department Name | Appropriations | Federal | Revolving | Local ¹ | Other ² | Total |
| 43 Community Development | \$801,670 | \$8,636,171 | \$216,280 | Local | | \$9,654,12 |
| 43 Main Street Program | \$550,207 | \$0 \$145,000,000 | \$4,957 \$251,140 | | | \$555,10 \$146,416,0 |
| 45 Business Services67 Contracts and Comm. & Economic Dev. | \$1,165,800 \$7,209,143 | \$145,000,000 \$79,865,567 | \$251,149 \$18,413,997 | | | \$146,416,9 \$105,488,7 |
| 70 Operational Support | \$7,415,044 | <i><i><i>ϕ</i>,,,,,,,,,,,,,</i></i> | \$1,931,073 | | | \$9,346,1 |
| 88 ISD Data Processing | \$597,816 | \$346,000 | \$200,963 | | | \$1,144,7 |
| 93 REAP (Rural Economic Action Plan) | | | \$13,133,480 | | | \$13,133,4 |
| tal Please describe source of Local funding not included in other | \$17,739,680 | \$233,847,738 | \$34,151,899 | \$0 | \$0 | \$285,739,3 |
| Please describe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding if | | | | | | |
| | FY'20 Carryo | ver by Funding S | ource | | | |
| | Appropriations | Federal | Revolving | Local ¹ | Other ² | Total |
| rryover | \$3,364,415 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,364,4 |
| Please describe source of Local funding not included in other | \$0 | | | | | |
| Please describe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding if | 2 | | | | | |
| | What changes did the ager | ncy make betwe | en FY'20 and FY' | 21? | | |
| | | | | | | |
|) Are there any services no longer provided because of bud | lget cu None | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| .) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user? | N/A | | | | | |
| , | 1 V 1 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | _ | | |
| .) What services are still provided but with a slower respon | ise rai The agency continual | lly reviews proce | sses to ensure we | e are operating ef | ficiently and seeks | out best value/lowest |

cost options when making purchases, allowing us to continue providing the same level of service to customers. 4.) Did the agency provide any pay raises that were not legislatively/statutorily required?

Please see tab labelled FY20 Salary Changes

| | | FY22 Budget | t Performance | e Review | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | Department | of Commerce | e - 16000 | | | |
| | Lead Administrator: Brent Kisl | ing | | | Le | ad Financial Offi | icer: Stacie Willis |
| | FY | '22 Requested Fu | nding By Departm | ent and Source | | | |
| Dept. # | Department Name | Appropriations | Federal | Revolving | Other ¹ | Total | % Change |
| 43 | Community Development | \$801,670 | . , , | \$216,280 | \$0 | \$9,654,121 | 0.00% |
| 43 45 | Main Street Program Business Services | \$1,560,207 \$1,165,800 | | \$4,957 \$251,149 | \$0 \$0 | \$1,565,164 \$1,416,949 | 181.93% -99.03% |
| 67 | Contracts and Comm. & Economic Dev. | \$7,209,143 | | \$28,413,997 | \$0 \$0 | \$115,488,707 | 9.48% |
| 70 | Operational Support | \$8,704,044 | \$0 | \$1,931,073 | \$0 | \$10,635,117 | 13.79% |
| 88 | ISD Data Processing | \$756,816 | | \$200,963 | \$0 | \$1,303,779 | 13.89% |
| 93 | REAP (Rural Economic Action Plan) | \$0 | \$0 | \$13,126,817 | \$0 | \$13,126,817 | -0.05% |
| Total | - | \$20,197,680 | \$88,847,738 | \$44,145,236 | \$0 | \$153,190,654 | -46.39% |
| 1. Please d | escribe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding for each | | | | | | |
| | | Top Five Operatio | nal Appropriation | n Funding Requ | ests | | |
| Request by Priority | y Request Description | | | | | | Appropriation Request Amount (\$) |
| | Five State Recruitment Strategy | | | | | | \$1,000,000 |
| - | E-Commerce Business Assistance General Administrative Expenses | | | | | | \$250,000 \$198,000 |
| - | Innovation Initiative | | | | | | \$198,000 |
| | Small Business Development Center | | | | | | \$760,000 |
| | | | | | Top Five Reques | st Subtotal: | \$2,458,000 |
| Total Incr | ease above FY-21 Budget (including all requests) | | | | | | \$ - |
| | | | | | | | Ŧ |
| Difference | between Top Five requests and total requests: | | | | | | -\$2,458,000 |
| | Does the agency have any co | sts associated with | n the Pathfinder r | etirement syste | em and federal | employees? | |
| Yes, we ha | we costs associated with the Pathfinder retirement system an | d federal employees. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | How would the agency be affected by i | receiving the same | e appropriation fo | or FY '22 as was | received in FY ' | 21? (Flat/ 0% ch | ange) |
| Agency wo | | receiving the same | e appropriation fo | or FY '22 as was | received in FY ' | 21? (Flat/ 0% ch | ange) |
| Agency wc | ould be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. | | | | | 21? (Flat/ 0% ch | ange) |
| | ould be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would | I the agency hand | le a 2% appropriat | tion reduction i | n FY '22? | 21? (Flat/ 0% ch | ange) |
| | ould be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. | I the agency hand | le a 2% appropriat | tion reduction i | n FY '22? | 21? (Flat/ 0% ch | ange) |
| | build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca | I the agency hand | le a 2% appropriat | tion reduction i ne-time expenditu | n FY '22? | 21? (Flat/ 0% ch | ange) |
| | build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca | I the agency hand | le a 2% appropriat | tion reduction i ne-time expenditu | n FY '22? | Fee Increase | Statutory change required? |
| Agency wo | ould be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca | I the agency hand | le a 2% appropriat | tion reduction i ne-time expenditu | n FY '22? | | |
| Agency wo | ould be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would ould be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca | I the agency hand | le a 2% appropriat | tion reduction i ne-time expenditu | n FY '22? | Fee Increase | Statutory change required? |
| Agency wo Increase 1 Increase 2 | ould be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca N/A N/A N/A | I the agency hand arryover to cover any s the agency seeki | le a 2% appropriat deficits related to or ng any fee increas | tion reduction i me-time expenditu ses for FY '22? | n FY '22? Ires. | Fee Increase Request (\$) | Statutory change required? |
| Agency wo Increase 1 Increase 2 | ould be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca N/A N/A | I the agency hand arryover to cover any s the agency seeki | le a 2% appropriat deficits related to or ng any fee increas | tion reduction i me-time expenditu ses for FY '22? | n FY '22? Ires. | Fee Increase Request (\$) | Statutory change required? (Yes/No) |
| Agency wo Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 3 | ould be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca N/A N/A N/A What are the agend | I the agency hand arryover to cover any s the agency seeki | le a 2% appropriat deficits related to or ng any fee increas | tion reduction i me-time expenditu ses for FY '22? | n FY '22? Ires. | Fee Increase Request (\$) | Statutory change required? (Yes/No) Submitted to LRCPC? |
| Agency wo Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 3 Description | ould be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca N/A N/A N/A | I the agency hand arryover to cover any s the agency seeki | le a 2% appropriat deficits related to or ng any fee increas | tion reduction i me-time expenditu ses for FY '22? | n FY '22? Ires. | Fee Increase Request (\$) | Statutory change required? (Yes/No) |
| Agency wo Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 3 Descriptio Priority 1 Priority 2 | ould be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca N/A N/A N/A What are the agend | I the agency hand arryover to cover any s the agency seeki | le a 2% appropriat deficits related to or ng any fee increas | tion reduction i me-time expenditu ses for FY '22? | n FY '22? Ires. | Fee Increase Request (\$) | Statutory change required? (Yes/No) Submitted to LRCPC? |
| Agency wo Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 3 Descriptio Priority 1 Priority 2 | ould be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca N/A N/A N/A What are the agend | I the agency hand arryover to cover any s the agency seeki cy's top 2-3 capita | le a 2% appropriat deficits related to o ng any fee increas | tion reduction i me-time expenditu ses for FY '22? | n FY '22? Ires. | Fee Increase Request (\$) | Statutory change required? (Yes/No) Submitted to LRCPC? |
| Agency wo Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 3 Descriptio Priority 1 Priority 2 Priority 3 | build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can N/A N/A N/A N/A Mhat are the agend n of request in order of priority | I the agency hand arryover to cover any s the agency seeki cy's top 2-3 capita | le a 2% appropriat deficits related to or ng any fee increas or technology (or ederal Funds | tion reduction i me-time expenditu ses for FY '22? ne-time) reques | n FY '22? Ires. sts, if applicable | Fee Increase Request (\$) ?? Appropriated Amount (\$) | Statutory change required? (Yes/No) Submitted to LRCPC? (Yes/No) |
| Agency wo Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 3 Description Priority 1 Priority 2 Priority 3 CFDA | puld be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca N/A N/A N/A What are the agend n of request in order of priority Federal Program Name | I the agency hand arryover to cover any s the agency seeki cy's top 2-3 capita f Agency Dept. # | e a 2% appropriat deficits related to or ng any fee increas or technology (or ederal Funds FY 21 budgeted | tion reduction i me-time expenditu ses for FY '22? ne-time) reques FY 20 | n FY '22? Ires. sts, if applicable FY 19 | Fee Increase Request (\$) ?? Appropriated Amount (\$) FY 18 | Statutory change required? (Yes/No) Submitted to LRCPC? (Yes/No) |
| Agency wo Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 3 Description Priority 1 Priority 2 Priority 3 CFDA 11.307 | puld be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can N/A N/A N/A N/A What are the agene n of request in order of priority Federal Program Name EDA - Economic Development Admin | I the agency hand arryover to cover any s the agency seeki cy's top 2-3 capita F Agency Dept. # 45 | le a 2% appropriate deficits related to or ng any fee increas or technology (or ederal Funds FY 21 budgeted 0 | tion reduction i one-time expenditu ses for FY '22? ne-time) reques FY 20 0 | n FY '22? ares. sts, if applicable FY 19 31,606 | Fee Increase Request (\$) Appropriated Amount (\$) FY 18 91,774 | Statutory change required? (Yes/No) Submitted to LRCPC? (Yes/No) FY 17 193,716 |
| Agency wo Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 3 Description Priority 1 Priority 2 Priority 3 CFDA | puld be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca N/A N/A N/A What are the agend n of request in order of priority Federal Program Name | I the agency hand arryover to cover any s the agency seeki cy's top 2-3 capita f Agency Dept. # | e a 2% appropriat deficits related to or ng any fee increas or technology (or ederal Funds FY 21 budgeted | tion reduction i me-time expenditu ses for FY '22? ne-time) reques FY 20 | n FY '22? Ires. sts, if applicable FY 19 | Fee Increase Request (\$) ?? Appropriated Amount (\$) FY 18 | Statutory change required? (Yes/No) Submitted to LRCPC? (Yes/No) FY 17 |
| Agency wo Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 3 Description Priority 1 Priority 2 Priority 3 CFDA 11.307 14.228 | build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can be able at the same level as FY '21 | I the agency hand arryover to cover any s the agency seeki cy's top 2-3 capita f Agency Dept. # 45 43 & 67 | le a 2% appropriat deficits related to or ng any fee increas or technology (or ederal Funds FY 21 budgeted 0 33,934,351 | tion reduction i me-time expenditu ses for FY '22? ne-time) reques FY 20 0 12,500,176 | n FY '22? ares. sts, if applicable FY 19 31,606 13,369,153 | Fee Increase Request (\$) Provide the set of the set o | Statutory change required? (Yes/No) Submitted to LRCPC? (Yes/No) FY 17 193,716 14,307,803 |
| Agency wo Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 3 Description Priority 1 Priority 2 Priority 3 CFDA 11.307 14.228 14.231 14.269 59.061 | build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can be able to operate at the same level at the s | I the agency hand arryover to cover any s the agency seeki cy's top 2-3 capita Explore the agency seeki cy's top 2-3 capita F Agency Dept. # 45 43 & 67 43 & 67 43 & 67 70 | le a 2% appropriat deficits related to or ng any fee increas or technology (or ederal Funds FY 21 budgeted 0 33,934,351 10,468,340 272,701 299,000 | tion reduction i one-time expenditu ses for FY '22? ne-time) reques FY 20 0 12,500,176 1,537,009 2,170,602 4,850 | n FY '22? ares. sts, if applicable FY 19 31,606 13,369,153 1,696,486 3,218,654 0 | Fee Increase Request (\$) Appropriated Amount (\$) FY 18 91,774 10,954,133 1,623,671 15,771,834 14,375 | Statutory change required? (Yes/No) Submitted to LRCPC? (Yes/No) FY 17 193,716 14,307,803 1,563,915 34,289,155 176,896 |
| Agency wo Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 3 Description Priority 1 Priority 2 Priority 2 Priority 3 CFDA 11.307 14.228 14.231 14.269 59.061 81.041 | build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca N/A N/A N/A N/A What are the agence n of request in order of priority Federal Program Name EDA - Economic Development Admin CDBG - Community Development Block Grant ESG - Emergency Solutions Grant CDBGDR - Community Development Block Grant Disas STEP - State Trade and Export Promotion SEP - State Energy Program | I the agency hand arryover to cover any s the agency seeki cy's top 2-3 capita Agency Dept. # 45 43 & 67 43 & 67 43 & 67 70 43 & 67 | le a 2% appropriat deficits related to or ng any fee increas or technology (or ederal Funds FY 21 budgeted 0 33,934,351 10,468,340 272,701 299,000 825,480 | tion reduction i one-time expenditu ses for FY '22? ne-time) reques FY 20 0 12,500,176 1,537,009 2,170,602 4,850 444,045 | n FY '22? Ires. sts, if applicable FY 19 31,606 13,369,153 1,696,486 3,218,654 0 967,980 | Fee Increase Request (\$) Appropriated Amount (\$) FY 18 91,774 10,954,133 1,623,671 15,771,834 14,375 299,540 | Statutory change required? (Yes/No) Submitted to LRCPC? (Yes/No) FY 17 193,716 14,307,803 1,563,915 34,289,155 176,896 409,554 |
| Agency wo Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 3 Description Priority 1 Priority 2 Priority 3 CFDA 11.307 14.228 14.231 14.269 59.061 81.041 81.042 | build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use ca NAME N/A What are the agend N/A What are the agend mode of priority What are the agend Mode of priority Federal Program Name EDA - Economic Development Admin CDBGD Community Development Block Grant Disas STEP - State Trade and Export Promotion | I the agency hand arryover to cover any s the agency seeki cy's top 2-3 capita EXAGENCY DEPT. # 45 43 & 67 43 & 67 | le a 2% appropriat deficits related to or ng any fee increas or technology (or ederal Funds FY 21 budgeted 0 33,934,351 10,468,340 272,701 299,000 825,480 2,200,394 | tion reduction i one-time expenditu ses for FY '22? ne-time) reques FY 20 0 12,500,176 1,537,009 2,170,602 4,850 444,045 1,658,101 | n FY '22? ares. sts, if applicable FY 19 31,606 13,369,153 1,696,486 3,218,654 0 967,980 2,726,665 | Fee Increase Request (\$) Appropriated Amount (\$) FY 18 91,774 10,954,133 1,623,671 15,771,834 14,375 299,540 2,434,774 | Statutory change required? (Yes/No) Submitted to LRCPC? (Yes/No) FY 17 193,716 14,307,803 1,563,915 34,289,155 176,896 409,554 2,630,858 |
| Agency wo Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 3 Description Priority 1 Priority 2 Priority 3 CFDA 11.307 14.228 14.231 14.269 59.061 81.041 81.042 93.568 | build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can be able to operate at the same level at the sa | l the agency hand arryover to cover any s the agency seeki cy's top 2-3 capita Agency Dept. # 45 43 & 67 43 & 67 | e a 2% appropriat deficits related to or ng any fee increas or technology (or ederal Funds FY 21 budgeted 0 33,934,351 10,468,340 272,701 299,000 825,480 2,200,394 1,550,000 | tion reduction i me-time expenditu ses for FY '22? ne-time) reques FY 20 0 12,500,176 1,537,009 2,170,602 4,850 444,045 1,658,101 886,758 | n FY '22? ares. sts, if applicable FY 19 31,606 13,369,153 1,696,486 3,218,654 0 967,980 2,726,665 1,336,868 | Fee Increase Request (\$) Appropriated Amount (\$) FY 18 91,774 10,954,133 1,623,671 15,771,834 14,375 299,540 2,434,774 2,255,570 | Statutory change required? (Yes/No) Submitted to LRCPC? (Yes/No) FY 17 193,716 14,307,803 1,563,915 34,289,155 176,896 409,554 2,630,858 2,445,804 |
| Agency wo Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 3 Description Priority 1 Priority 2 Priority 3 CFDA 11.307 14.228 14.231 14.269 59.061 81.041 81.041 81.042 93.568 93.569 | build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can be able to operate at the same level as FY at the approximate at the same level as FY at the able to operate at the same level as FY at the approximate at the same level as FY at the able to operate at the same level as FY at the able to operate at the same level as FY at the same level as | I the agency hand arryover to cover any s the agency seeki cy's top 2-3 capita EXPLOSE Agency Dept. # 45 43 & 67 43 & 67 | e a 2% appropriat deficits related to or ng any fee increas or technology (or ederal Funds FY 21 budgeted 0 33,934,351 10,468,340 272,701 299,000 825,480 2,200,394 1,550,000 14,160,393 | tion reduction i one-time expenditu ses for FY '22? ne-time) reques FY 20 0 12,500,176 1,537,009 2,170,602 4,850 444,045 1,658,101 886,758 8,018,890 | n FY '22? ares. sts, if applicable FY 19 31,606 13,369,153 1,696,486 3,218,654 0 967,980 2,726,665 1,336,868 8,197,029 | Fee Increase Request (\$) Appropriated Amount (\$) FY 18 91,774 10,954,133 1,623,671 15,771,834 14,375 299,540 2,434,774 2,255,570 8,198,475 | Statutory change required? (Yes/No) Submitted to LRCPC? (Yes/No) Submitted to LRCPC? (Yes/No) 193,716 14,307,803 1,563,915 34,289,155 176,896 409,554 2,630,858 2,445,804 7,928,672 |
| Agency wo Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 3 Description Priority 1 Priority 2 Priority 3 CFDA 11.307 14.228 14.231 14.269 59.061 81.041 81.042 93.568 93.569 93.6 | And be able to operate at the same level as FY '21. How would be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can build be able to operate at the same level as FY '21 and use can N/A N/A N/A N/A M/A Model are the agene n of request in order of priority Federal Program Name EDA - Economic Development Admin CDBG - Community Development Block Grant ESG - Emergency Solutions Grant CDBGDR - Community Development Block Grant Disas STEP - State Trade and Export Promotion SEP - State Energy Program DOE - Weatherization Assistance Program DHS - Low-Income Home Energy Assistance CSBG - Community Services Block Grant HS - Head Start Collaboration | L the agency hand arryover to cover any s the agency seeki cy's top 2-3 capita Exp's top 2-3 capita Agency Dept. # 45 43 & 67 43 & 67 | e a 2% appropriat deficits related to or ng any fee increas or technology (or ederal Funds FY 21 budgeted 0 33,934,351 10,468,340 272,701 299,000 825,480 2,200,394 1,550,000 14,160,393 175,000 | tion reduction i one-time expenditu ses for FY '22? ne-time) reques FY 20 0 12,500,176 1,537,009 2,170,602 4,850 444,045 1,658,101 886,758 8,018,890 167,742 | n FY '22? ares. sts, if applicable FY 19 31,606 13,369,153 1,696,486 3,218,654 0 967,980 2,726,665 1,336,868 | Fee Increase Request (\$) Propriated Amount (\$) FY 18 91,774 10,954,133 1,623,671 15,771,834 14,375 299,540 2,434,774 2,255,570 | Statutory change required? (Yes/No) Submitted to LRCPC? (Yes/No) FY 17 193,716 14,307,803 1,563,915 34,289,155 176,896 409,554 2,630,858 2,445,804 |
| Agency wo Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 3 Description Priority 1 Priority 2 Priority 3 CFDA 11.307 14.228 14.231 14.269 59.061 81.041 81.041 81.042 93.568 93.569 93.6 17.278 | Provide a server of the same level as FY '21. How would Provide a server of the same level as FY '21 and use of the same level as for the sam | I the agency hand arryover to cover any s the agency seeki cy's top 2-3 capital EXPLOYED CONTRACT Agency Dept. # 45 43 & 67 43 & 67 | e a 2% appropriat deficits related to or ng any fee increas or technology (or cederal Funds FY 21 budgeted 0 33,934,351 10,468,340 272,701 299,000 825,480 2,200,394 1,550,000 14,160,393 175,000 6,995,310 | tion reduction i one-time expenditu ses for FY '22? ne-time) reques FY 20 0 12,500,176 1,537,009 2,170,602 4,850 444,045 1,658,101 886,758 8,018,890 167,742 2,558,542 | n FY '22? ares. sts, if applicable FY 19 31,606 13,369,153 1,696,486 3,218,654 0 967,980 2,726,665 1,336,868 8,197,029 | Fee Increase Request (\$) Appropriated Amount (\$) FY 18 91,774 10,954,133 1,623,671 15,771,834 14,375 299,540 2,434,774 2,255,570 8,198,475 | Statutory change required? (Yes/No) Submitted to LRCPC? (Yes/No) Submitted to LRCPC? (Yes/No) 193,716 14,307,803 1,563,915 34,289,155 176,896 409,554 2,630,858 2,445,804 7,928,672 |
| Agency wo Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 3 Description Priority 1 Priority 2 Priority 3 CFDA 11.307 14.228 14.231 14.269 59.061 81.041 81.042 93.568 93.569 93.6 17.278 17.259 | N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A What are the agend n of request in order of priority What are the agend EDA - Economic Development Admin CDBG - Community Development Block Grant ESG - Emergency Solutions Grant CDBGDR - Community Development Block Grant Disas STEP - State Trade and Export Promotion SEP - State Energy Program DOE - Weatherization Assistance Program DHS - Low-Income Home Energy Assistance CSBG - Community Services Block Grant HS - Head Start Collaboration WIOA - Dislocated Worker WIOA - Youth Statewide | Lithe agency hand arryover to cover any sithe agency seeki cy's top 2-3 capita Explored by the agency seeki for a for | e a 2% appropriat deficits related to or ng any fee increas or technology (or ederal Funds FY 21 budgeted 0 33,934,351 10,468,340 272,701 299,000 825,480 2,200,394 1,550,000 14,160,393 175,000 6,995,310 8,273,823 | tion reduction i one-time expenditu ses for FY '22? ne-time) reques FY 20 0 12,500,176 1,537,009 2,170,602 4,850 444,045 1,658,101 886,758 8,018,890 167,742 2,558,542 826,097 | n FY '22? ares. sts, if applicable FY 19 31,606 13,369,153 1,696,486 3,218,654 0 967,980 2,726,665 1,336,868 8,197,029 | Fee Increase Request (\$) Propriated Amount (\$) FY 18 91,774 10,954,133 1,623,671 15,771,834 14,375 299,540 2,434,774 2,255,570 8,198,475 171,321 | Statutory change required? (Yes/No) Submitted to LRCPC? (Yes/No) FY 17 193,716 14,307,803 1,563,915 34,289,155 176,896 409,554 2,630,858 2,445,804 7,928,672 150,424 0 |
| Agency wo Increase 1 Increase 2 Increase 3 Description Priority 1 Priority 2 Priority 3 CFDA 11.307 14.228 14.231 14.269 59.061 81.041 81.042 | Provide a server of the same level as FY '21. How would Provide a server of the same level as FY '21 and use of the same level as for the sam | I the agency hand arryover to cover any s the agency seeki cy's top 2-3 capital EXPLOYED CONTRACT Agency Dept. # 45 43 & 67 43 & 67 | e a 2% appropriat deficits related to or ng any fee increas or technology (or cederal Funds FY 21 budgeted 0 33,934,351 10,468,340 272,701 299,000 825,480 2,200,394 1,550,000 14,160,393 175,000 6,995,310 | tion reduction i one-time expenditu ses for FY '22? ne-time) reques FY 20 0 12,500,176 1,537,009 2,170,602 4,850 444,045 1,658,101 886,758 8,018,890 167,742 2,558,542 | n FY '22? ares. sts, if applicable FY 19 31,606 13,369,153 1,696,486 3,218,654 0 967,980 2,726,665 1,336,868 8,197,029 | Fee Increase Request (\$) Appropriated Amount (\$) FY 18 91,774 10,954,133 1,623,671 15,771,834 14,375 299,540 2,434,774 2,255,570 8,198,475 171,321 0 | Statutory change required? (Yes/No) Submitted to LRCPC? (Yes/No) FY 17 193,716 14,307,803 1,563,915 34,289,155 176,896 409,554 2,630,858 2,445,804 7,928,672 150,424 0 |

FY22 Budget Performance Review

Department of Commerce - 16000

Lead Administrator: Brent Kisling

Lead Financial Officer: Stacie Willis

Federal Government Impact

1.) How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government?

The majority of federal funds consist of formula money directly allocated to the State of Oklahoma. Only a small portion are grants that the Agency proactively applied for and received.

2.) Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate?

Yes. Each of the Federal programs has an administrative percentage allowance for staff, as well as staff charges to the indirect rate. However,

state appropriated funds are required to be used to supplement several of the programs since the allowable administrative percentage is not

always sufficient to cover all salary and fringe costs.

3.) What would the consequences be of ending all of the federal funded programs for your agency?

It would essentially eliminate the majority of Commerce's Community Development and Workforce Development Divisions. There would additionally be statewide community impacts due to the elimination of funding used by local governments to improve streets and water treatment facilities and funds used by community action agencies to feed and educate

low-income children and weatherize homes of low-income citizens.

4.) How will your agency be affected by federal budget cuts in the coming fiscal year?

Presently, Commerce will continue to draw on "older" money so the impact will not be severe unless a Federal shutdown or cut occurs over a

long period of time because most draw processes stay functional during the shutdown. At this time, significant cuts are not expected to be

applicable to current awards.

5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases?

Not at this time.

| FY'21 Budgeted FTE | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|-------------|------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Division # | Division Name | Supervisors | Classified | Unclassified | \$0 - \$35 K | \$35 K - \$70 K | \$70 K - \$\$\$ |
| 43 | Community Development | 8 | | 47 | 0 | 37 | 10 |
| 43 | Main Street Program | 1 | | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 45 | Business Services | 1 | | 3 | 0 | 0.5 | 2.5 |
| 67 | Contracts for Community & Economic Dev. | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 70 | Operational Support | 16 | | 66.3 | 0 | 46.3 | 20 |
| 88 | ISD Data Processing | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 93 | REAP (Rural Economic Action Plan) | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | - | 26 | 0 | 121.3 | 0 | 88.8 | 32.5 |

| | Salary only. Does not include benefits. | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | FTE History | | | | |
| Division # | | 2021 Budgeted | 2020 | 2019 | 2017 | 2012 |
| | Community Development | 47.0 | | | 27.0 | 37.0 |
| | Main Street Program | 5.0 | | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| | Business Services | 3.0 | | 2.5 | 24.0 | 25.0 |
| | Contracts for Community & Economic Dev. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 |
| | Operational Support | 66.3 | 63.8 | 64.55 | 43.0 | 62.0 |
| | ISD Data Processing | 0.0 | | 0.0 | - | 0.0 |
| | REAP (Rural Economic Action Plan) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 |
| | NACEA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 11.0 |
| Total | | 121.3 | | 97.1 | 104.0 | 140.0 |
| | Perfor | mance Measure Re | | | | |
| | | FY 20 | FY 19 | FY 18 | FY 17 | FY 16 |
| | Program Name | | | | | |
| KPM #1 | Direct New Jobs facilitated by Commerce | 4,257 | 8,730 | 12,320 | 5,083 | 4,539 |
| | | | | | | |
| KPM #2 | Average Annual Wage of Direct New Jobs | \$61,042 | \$63,332 | \$44,205 | \$46,881 | \$62,463 |
| | | | | | | |
| KPM #3 | New Private Investment facilitated by Commerce | \$2.1 Billion | \$1.9 Billion | \$1 Billion | \$2.3 Billion | \$2.4 Billion |
| | | | | | | |
| KPM #4 | Community Capacity Improvement Projects Completed | 242 | 237 | 234 | 207 | 221 |

| FY22 Budget | Performance Review | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| Department of Commerce - 16000 | | | | | | |
| Lead Administrator: Brent Kisling Lead Financial Officer: Stacie Willis | | | | | | |
| Revolving Funds (200 Series Funds) | | | | | | |
| Please provide fund number, fund name, description, and revenue source | FY'18-20 Avg. Revenues | FY'18-20 Avg. Expenditures | June '20 Balance | | | |
| OK Department of Commerce Revolving Fund - 205 Continuing fund and subject to the administrative direction of the Oklahoma Department of Commerce. Approximately 62.7% of revenue is reimbursement of grant expenditures previously disbursed from Fund 205. The grant ends September 2021. | \$338,502 | \$334,750 | \$1,058,954 | | | |
| Rural Economic Action Plan Revolving Fund - 225 Funds are for the purpose of economic development in rural areas with populations of less than 7,000. <i>Appropriation only</i> | \$10,816,019 | \$10,813,798 | \$1,681,882 | | | |
| Oklahoma Main Street Fund - 250 The Main Street Fund is used for the purpose of providing specific services and training to participating towns or neighborhoods as they begin the process of revitalizing their districts and offering education on the benefits of historic preservation and community commercial development. | \$6,667 | \$6,014 | \$1,958 | | | |
| Quick Action Closing Revolving Fund - 255 Funds are to be expended by the Governor for economic development and related infrastructure development in instances in which expenditure of such funds would likely be a determining factor in locating a high-impact business project or facility in Oklahoma or in retaining such a project or facility within the state. | \$7,723,649 | \$1,127,025 | \$23,015,997 | | | |
| Work Based Learning Fund - 270 Funds are to be expended by Workforce Development to develop and maintain a Work- based Learning Program within its jurisdiction to be focused on increasing the number of registered apprenticeships and internship programs, defined as paid and unpaid work experience by the United States Department of Labor as contained in 20 CFR 681.600, in this state to at least an aggregate of twenty thousand positions by the end of the calendar year 2020. Based on FY2020 only. Workforce moved to the Department of Commerce the end of December 2019. | \$0 | \$68,819 | \$243,651 | | | |