	FY21 B	udget Performance	e Review					
		District Courts - 21	9					
Lead Administrator: Jari Askins Lead Financial Officer:							Marilyn Anderson	
		Agency Mission					U	
	To provide	a fair and impartial j	justice system	n				
		n and Program Des	criptions					
Division or Program Number a								
The district courts have no divisi								
	FY'20 Budget	ed Department Fun	ding By Sou	irce				
Dept. #	Department Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local	Other ²	Total	
1000001	District Court Operations	18,801,829	t a	3,020,000		43,487,000	\$65,308,829	
Total		\$18,801,829	\$0	\$3,020,000	\$0	\$43,487,000	\$65,308,829	
	l funding not included in other categories:		_		2	~ ~		
2. Please describe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding if applicable for each department: Interagency Reimbursement from Supreme Court State Judicial Revolving Fund								
	FY'19 C	Carrvover by Fundin			- -1	2		
		Appropriations		Revolving	Local	Other ²	Total	
Carryover		\$0		\$2,142,980	\$0	\$1,175,860	, ,	
1 Discussion of Lease		\$0					\$0	
1. Please describe source of Local funding not included in other categories:								
2. Please describe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding if applicable: Interagency Reimbursement from Supreme Court State . What changes did the agency make between FY'19 and FY'20?								
1) Are there any services no lo	nger provided because of budget cuts?	No	ween FT 19	anu FT 20:				
•								
2.) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user? None								
3.) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate? None								
4.) Did the agency provide any	pay raises that were not legislatively/statutori	ly required? d Funding By Depar	Yes					
Dept. #	Department Name	Appropriations		Revolving	Other ¹	Total	% Change	
1000001	District Court Operations	\$29,536,053		\$3,520,000		\$72,556,053	0	
1000001	District Court Operations	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$3,520,000	\$0	\$0	0.00%	
		ΨΟ	ψυ	Ψΰ	ψŪ	ψυ	0.0070	
Total		\$29,536,053	\$0	\$3,520,000	\$39,500,000	\$72,556,053	11.10%	
	% of total of "Other" funding for each department	. , ,	+ 0					
FY'21 Top Five Operational Appropriation Funding Requests								
							Appropriation Request	
	Request Description							
Request by Priority	Request Description						Amount (\$)	
Request by Priority Request 1:	Decrease in State Judicial Fund Collect	tions						
		tions					\$4,000,000 \$3,743,000	

					Top Five Ree	quest Subtotal:	\$7,748,000
Total Increase above	FY-20 Budget (including all requests)						\$ 7,748,000
Difference between To	op Five requests and total requests:						\$0
	Does the agency have any costs associa	ated with the Pathfinde	r retirement	t system and	federal emplo	yees?	
No							
	How would the agency be affected by receiving t	the same appropriation	for FY '21 as	s was receive	d in FY '20? (F	lat/ 0% change)	
We would not be able	to make payroll, primarily due to the decrease in state ju	udicial fund collections					
	How would the agen	cy handle a 2% approp	riation reduc	ction in FY '21	?		
The district court costs	s are over 99% payroll. Any appropriation reduction wo	uld further increase the s	shortage				
	Is the agen	ncy seeking any fee incr	eases for FY	'21?			
						Fee Increase Request (\$)	Statutory change required? (Yes/No)
Increase 1	No						
	What are the agency's top 2-	3 capital or technology	(one-time) r	requests, if ap	plicable?		
Description of reques	st in order of priority					Appropriated Amount (\$)	Submitted to LRCPC? (Yes/No)
Priority 1	None						
Priority 2							
Priority 3							
CFDA	Federal Program Name	Federal Funds Agency Dept.	FY 20	FY 19	FY 18	FY 17	FY 16
	The district courts do not receive for		F I 20	F I 17	F I 10		F I I 0
		Federal Government Ir	npact		1		
1.) How much federa	I money received by the agency is tied to a mandate	by the Federal Govern	ment?				
n/a							
2.) Are any of those f	unds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate?						
n/a							
3.) What would the c	onsequences be of ending all of the federal funded p	rograms for your agen	cy?				
n/a							
4.) How will your age	ency be affected by federal budget cuts in the coming	g fiscal year?					
n/a							
5.) Has the agency re	equested any additional federal earmarks or increase	es?					
n/a							

FY21 Budget Performance Review									
District Courts - 219									
Lead Administrator: Jari Askins				Lead Financial Officer: Marilyn Anderson					
FY'20 Budgeted FTE									
Division #	Division Name	Supervisors	Classified	Unclassified	\$0 - \$35 K	\$35 K - \$70 K	\$70 K - \$\$\$		
	Administrative	2		363	165	196	2		
	Judges			241			241		
Total		2	0	604	165	196	243		
FTE History									
Division #	Division Name	20	020 Budgete	2019	2018	2016	2011		
	Administrative		363.0	396.0	392.0	396.0	400.0		
	Judges		241.0	241.0	241.0	241.0	241.0		
Total			604.0	637.0	633.0	637.0	641.0		
	Perforr	mance Measure F	Review						
Program Name			FY 19	FY 18	FY 17	FY 16	FY 15		
The Courts do not have performance measures									
Revolving Funds (200 Series Funds)									
		FY'17-19 A	vg. Revenues	FY'17-19 Avg	g. Expenditures	June '19 Balance			
Fund number: Fund name District Court Revolving Fund (230)			\$2,427,232		\$2,083,838		\$1,030,185		
Lengthy Trial Fund (235)		\$1,086,414		\$122,094		\$1,112,795			