## Workers' Compensation Court of Exiting Claims (369)

Administrator - Michael J Harkey

Presiding Judge - L. Brad Taylor

		FV217 Projected	l Division/Progran	n Kunding By Son	irce	
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local	Other*	Total
General Court			\$3,188,704			\$3,188,704
ISD Data Processing			\$382,296			\$382,296
`						\$0
						\$0
						\$0
						. \$0
Total	\$0	\$0	\$3,571,000	\$0	\$0	\$3,571,000
*Source of "Other" and	% of "Other" total for each	1.				

		FY'16 Carry	over and Refund	by Funding Sourc		
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local	Other*	Total
FY'16 Carryover	0					
FY'16 GR Refund**	\$0					\$0

<sup>\*</sup>Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

#### What Changes did the Agency Make between FY 16 and FY 17?

No

- 1.) Are there any services no longer provided because of budget cuts?
- 2.) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user?
- 3.) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate?

4.) Did the agency provide any pay raises that were not legislatively/statutorily required? If so, please provide a detailed description in a separate documen Despite the transition we've been successful in both efficiency and delivery of services. Our staff is exceptional but heavy demans are being made of them. When the Court and the Commission divided, most of our staff was taken. We have operated on one-half of our original staff with a continuing heavy case load. Some essential staff have been hired by the Commission or have retired. We have had no legal counsel. Salary increases totalling \$15,000 have been

given to fill these tasks rather than new hires. This resulted in an annual savings of \$184,063,60. We are in line with our budget step-down in 85AOS \$122D2 FY'18 Requested Division/Program Funding By Source Appropriations Federal Revolving Other Total % Change -100.00% \$3,117,704 Administration -100.00% \$382,296 ISD Data Processing \$0 \$0 -100.00% **S**0 \$3,500,000 Total

FY'18 Top Five Appropriation Funding Requests	
	S Amount
Request 1: Description	
Request 2: Description	
Request 3: Description	-
Request 4: Description	
Request 5: Description	

Total Increase above FY-18 Request

How would the agency handle a 5% appropriation reduction in FY 18?

We are funded by a tax on insurance premiums and by a revolving fund sourced by diminishing filing and search fees. We are at absolute minimums. Reductions in revenue would endanger the delivery of services due to furloghs and suspension of dockets.

We are already taking a 12.5% reduction from FY2016, per HB 2238.

We receive no funding from general revenue.

\*Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

### How would the agency handle a 7.5% appropriation reduction in FY 18?

We are funded by a tax on insurance premiums and by a revolving fund sourced by diminishing filing and search fees. We are at absolute minimums. Reductions in revenue would endanger the delivery of services due to furloghs and suspensioin of dockets.

We are already taking a 12.5% reduction from FY2016, per HB 2238.

We receive no funding from general revenue.

### How would the agency handle a 10% appropriation reduction in FY!18?

We are funded by a tax on insurance premiums and by a revolving fund sourced by diminishing filing and search fees. We are at absolute minimums. Reductions in revenue would endanger the delivery of services due to furloghs and suspension of dockets.

We are already taking a 12.5% reduction from FY2016, per HB 2238.

We receive no funding from general revenue.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Indicate how the FY'16 General Revenue refund was budgeted

Is the agency seeking any fee increases for FY 18?	
	S Amount
Increase 1 'N/A	\$0
increase 2 N/A	\$0]
Increase 3 N/A	\$0

What are the ageney's tor		

#### Federal Government Impact

- 1.) How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government?
- 2.) Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate?
- 3.) What would the consequences be of ending all of the federal funded programs for your agency?
- 4.) How will your agency be affected by federal budget cuts in the coming fiscal year?
- 5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal carmarks or increases?

#### Division and Program Descriptions

# Office of the Court Administrator

The office of the Court Administrator operates the day to day business and funciton of the Court System to handle the claims for the tens of thousands of outstanding cases remaining to be resolved. This office is responsible for budgeting, hiring, purchasing, and employee supervison.

Docketing Division - Responsible for scheduling casse settings, notifying parties of trials and hearings and setting and docketing of Appeals

Judges - The Court currently has 4 full time Judges who were appointed by the Governor. The Judges travel at times between Oklahoma City and Tulsa, O according to their docket settings. The Court, utilizes and pays for Active Retired Judges registered with the Oklahoma Supreme Court as necessary to cov matters where full time Judges may have conflicts in hearing certain matters.

Order Division - Writing and processing all Judgements and Orders in accordance with applicable laws.

Court Clerk - Maintains all records, receives and accounts for all fees, acceptss and processes all rfiling and mails all legal Orders, Notices and Judgments to parties.

Office of Management and Enterprise Services - The Court has consolidated all of its budgetary, accounting, business services, and payrolli with OMES fo and savings since 2014 of 200K per annum.

Court Reporters - The Court currently employes full time reporters pursuant to Title 20 of the Oklahoma Statutes. Reporters travel to and from Tulsa according to the dockets of their Judges.

			FY 17 Budgeted	KUK	Arra (astronom	
	Supervisors	Classified	Unclassified	S0 - S35 K	S35 K - S70 K	\$70 K - S\$\$
Administration				7	17	7
ISD Data Processing						
_					:	
					:	
Total	0	0	0	7	17.	7

Total 31

FTE History

2017 Budgeted 2016 2013 2010 2006

Administration 73

ISD Data Processing 73

Total	0	0	73	0	0
				Constitution of the constitution of the proper makes and a series of the constitution	Total 73
	l'¢i	formance Measu	e Redigits		
Measure I	FY16	FY'15	FY14	FY'13	PY'12
Measure II	,				
Measure III					

	Revolving Funds (200 Sc	ries Funds)	
	FY'14-16 Avg. Revenues	FY'14-16 Avg. Expenditures	June '16 Balance
Revolving Fund I Court, litigation, sales of service fees	\$1,315,197	\$904,589	\$1,224,850
Revolving Fund II Brief Description			

.