FY 2026 Budget Performance Review 12500 Department of Mines

 Version
 Original
 Date submitted
 10/1/2024

 Lead Administrator: Suzen Rodesney
 Lead Financial Officer: Benita Jose-Mathew

Agency Mission

The mission of the Oklahoma Department of Mines (ODM) is to protect the environment of the state, to protect the life, health and safety of the miners and to protect affected citizens and their property through enforcement of state mining and reclamation laws.

Division and Program Descriptions

Note: Please define any acronyms used in program descriptions.

Administration 0100001

This program includes the Director, executive assistant and support staff, comprised of the financial and legal staff. Their responsibility is to provide agency leadership and support services for the operation of the Department.

Minerals 0300001

This program includes all activities of the Minerals Division. It includes all permitting activities, reclamation inspections and all health and safety inspections on mine sites and the enforcement of non-mining blasting. There are over 750 permitted sites in 74 counties of the state. The non-mining blasting program is responsible for the regulation of blasting, and the use, transfer, or sale of explosives for non-mining purposes. Responsibilities include the issuance of blasting permits, the issuance of blasting exemptions, the inspection of blasting sites and the seller's records, the investigation of blasting complaints, the issuance of violations and the assessment of penalties for non-compliance, and supporting legal actions.

Oklahoma Miner Training Institute 1000010

The Oklahoma Miner Training Institute (OMTI) is responsible for the statutorily mandated training of all miners on the mine sites in the state, as outlined under Title 45. The OMTI trains and certifies miners from other states and independent contractors. They are statutorily mandated to be located at Eastern Oklahoma State College in Wilburton, OK.

Data Processing/IT 8800088

This is now a mandated separate activity of the agency. In previous fiscal years, these costs were recorded as part of the administration costs. Interagency contractual agreement pays for the IT support services of the agency. Communication and digitization of agency records is costed in this program as well.

	FY'25 Budgeted Department Funding By Source									
Dept.#	Department Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local ¹	Other ²	Total			
1	Administration	\$67,339		\$687,755			\$755,094			
3	Minerals Division	\$1,048,144		\$554,782			\$1,602,926			
10	Okla. Miner Training Inst.		\$203,500	\$203,500			\$407,000			
88	Data Processing	\$33,200		\$16,000			\$49,200			
Total	·	\$1,148,683	\$203,500	\$1,462,037	\$0	\$0	\$2,814,220			

- 1. Please describe source of Local funding not included in other categories:
- 2. Please describe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding if applicable for each department:

	Balances of Appropriated Funds from Prior Fiscal Years								
3-digit Class Fund #	Class Fund Name	GA Bill # and Section #	Fiscal Year of Original Appropriation	Original Appropriation Amount (\$)	Total Expended Amount as of 8/31/2024 (\$)	Balance as of 8/31/2024 (\$)			
19401	Appropriations		FY24	\$844,933	\$770,200	\$74,733			
	Total remaining prior year appropriation balance:								

Report appropriations that have existing balances from all prior fiscal years at the 3-digit class fund number (i.e. 193, 194). Do not report carryover class funds seperately. Include appropriations located in disbursing funds. Report PREP, but not ARPA/SRF, appropriations.

What changes did the agency make between FY'24 and FY'25? 1.) Are there any services no longer provided because of budget cuts?

1.7 Are there any services no longer provided because or budget cuts:

N/A

2.) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user?

N/A

3.) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate?

None

4.) Did the agency provide any pay raises that were not legislatively/statutorily required?

Yes

Appropriation Increase Review									
		ppropriation Increase to Agency Base App		Expenditures					
Appropriation Increase Purpose	FY 2023	FY 2024	Total Amount Received FY 2023-2024	Total Expenditure of Increase as of 6/30/2024	If funds have not been spent, please explain why.				
Digitizing Project		\$75,000	\$75,000	\$31,930	OCI has not been able to complete				
Total:	\$0	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$31,930					
List appropriation increases that the agency has received in the r	rior two years List	amounts received in	each vear Include I	PREP hut not ARPA/S	RF appropriations				

	FY'26 Requested Funding By Department and Source									
Dept. #	Department Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Other ¹	Total	% Change			
1	Administration	\$217,339	\$0	\$687,755	\$0	\$905,094	19.87%			
3	Minerals Division	\$1,048,144	\$0	\$554,782	\$0	\$1,602,926	0.00%			
10	Okla. Miner Training Inst.	\$0	\$203,500	\$203,500	\$0	\$407,000	0.00%			
88	Data Processing	\$133,200	\$0	\$16,000	\$0	\$149,200	203.25%			
Total		\$1,398,683	\$203,500	\$1,462,037	\$0	\$3,064,220	8.88%			
1. Please desc	cribe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding fo	r each department:								

	FY'26 Top Five Operational Appropriated Funding Increase	Requests		
Request by Priority	Request Description	Is this a Supplemental Request? (Yes/No)	(One-Time or	Appropriation Request Increase Amount (\$)
Request 1:	Reclamation Team - Hydrologist	No	Recurring	\$150,000
Request 2:	Database Upgrade	No	One-Time	\$100,000
		Top Five Request Su	ıbtotal:	\$250,000
Total Increase	above FY-25 Budget (including all requests)			\$250,000
Difference be	ween Top Five requests and total requests:			\$0

	What are the agency's top 2-3 capital or technology (one-time) requests, if applicable?		
Description of	of requested increase in order of priority	Needed State Funding for Project (\$)	Submitted to LRCPC or OCAMP? (Yes/No)
Priority 1	Database Upgrade	\$100,000	NO
Priority 2			

List any requests for new construction from the Legacy Capital Fund		
Description of requested increase in order of priority	Needed State Funding for Project (\$)	Submitted to LRCPC? (Yes/No)
Priority 1		
Priority 2		

Does the agency have any costs associated with the Pathfinder retirement system and federal employees?

How would the agency be affected by receiving the same appropriation for FY '26 as was received in FY '25? (Flat/ 0% change)

Agency would continue on with the agency mission with the resources provided and therefore no anticipated reduction in services.

How would the agency handle a 2% appropriation reduction in FY '26?

Agency would eliminate our part time inspector (\$23,000)

Is the agency seeking any fee increases for FY '26?						
Description of requested increase in order of priority	Fee Increase Request (\$)	Statutory change required? (Yes/No)				
Increase 1 N/A						
Increase 2						

			Federal Funds				
CFDA	Federal Program Name	Agency Dept. #	FY 25 budget (\$)	FY 24 actuals (\$)	FY 23 actuals (\$)	FY 22 actuals (\$)	FY 24 budgeted FTE (#)
	Mine Safety and Health Administration (U.S. Dept. of Labor) - Oklahoma Miner Training						
17.6	Institute Grant		203,500	187,616	182,331	126165	0

Federal Government Impact

1.) How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government?

None

2.) Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate?

NA

3.) What would the consequences be of ending all of the federal funded programs for your agency?

The Dept. of Mines would cease training of the federal mining statutes to miners. The miners would have to obtain their federal training from an outside vendor at a significant cost.

4.) How will your agency be affected by federal budget cuts in the coming fiscal year?

There would be no change; as no cuts are forthcoming.

5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases?

No

	FY 2025 Budgeted FTE								
Division #	Division Name	Supervisors	Non-Supervisors	\$0 - \$35 K	\$35 K - \$70 K	\$70 K - \$100K	\$100K+		
1 Admi	inistration	3	5	0	5	1	2		
3 Mine	erals Program	3	11	2	10	2	0		
Total		6	16	2	15	3	2		

	FTE History by Fiscal Year								
Division #	Division Name	FY 2025 Budgeted	FY 2025 YTD	FY 2024	FY 2023	FY 2022	FY 2016		
1 A	Administration	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	6.0		
3 N	Minerals Program	14.0	13.0	11.5	10.5	10.4	11.2		
Total	•	21.0	20.0	18.5	17.5	17.4	17.2		

Perfo	ormance Measure l	Review			
	FY 2024	FY 2023	FY 2022	FY 2021	FY 2020
Ensure and enforce the reclamation of mined lands in Oklahoma					
This measurement is based upon the number of mining permit applications	100% approval rate	88% approval rate	97% approval rate	100% approval rate	100% approval rate
received and issued.					
Monitor and promote the protection of miners at Oklahoma mine sites.					
This measurement is based upon the mining permit inspections and the		3,827	3,760	3,802	3,980
annual frequency on mine sites. The current statutes require 4-6 times a					
year , or as designated by the agency Director. The goal was for 5 times/yr.					
To provide miner training and refresher training to the mining industry resulting					
in a safer workplace, with fewer workplace accidents.					
This measurement is based upon the number of miners trained by		4,647	4,458	4,276	3,913
the Oklahoma Miner Training Institute, located at Eastern Oklahoma					
State College via on-site, classroom or remote training.					
llect all production fees due and owed to the Dept. of Mines in accordance with T					
This measurement is based upon the percentage of fees owed to the	99.9%	97.95%	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Department how much was collected per year.					
To schedule and hold mining conferences as soon as possible					
This measurement is based upon he number of business days from receipt	6	6	8	20	15
of the referral to the date the informal conference is scheduled.					

Revolving Funds (200 Series Funds)						
	FY'22-24 Avg. Revenues	FY'22-24 Avg. Expenditures	June '24 Balance			
Fund: 200 Fund - Department of Mines Revolving Fund						
Main Revenue source ar minerals production fees (0.0115/ton) and permit fees (5175/year)	\$1,362,645	\$1,398,391	\$79,981			
205 Fund - Oklahoma Miner Training Institute						
Main revenue source are minerals production fees (.001/ton and fees	\$247,020	\$226,564	\$12,316			

FY 2025 Current Employee Telework Summary									
List each agency physical location (not division), then report the number of employees associated with that location in the teleworking categories indicated. Use "No specified location" to account for remote employees not associated with a site. Use actual current employees (headcount), not budgeted or actual FTE.			Full-time and Part-time Employees (#)						
Agency Location / Address	City	County	Onsite (5 days onsite, rarely remote)	Hybrid (2-4 days onsite weekly)	Remote (1 day or less weekly onsite)	Total Employees			
2915 N. Classen Blvd., Suite 213	Oklahoma City	OK	11			11			
No specified location (home based inspectors)	Various	Various			9	9			
				Total Agency Emp	oloyees	20			