

## FY23 Budget Performance Review

### Oklahoma Water Resources Board

Lead Administrator: Julie Cunningham

Lead Financial Officer: Cleve Pierce

#### Agency Mission

To protect and enhance the quality of life for Oklahomans by managing and improving the state's water resources to ensure clean and reliable water supplies, a strong economy, and a safe and healthy environment

#### Division and Program Descriptions

**Note: Please define any acronyms used in program descriptions.**

##### Clean Water State Revolving Fund

Provides lower than market interest rate loans to qualifying communities to construct wastewater treatment and collection systems, make system improvements, construct green infrastructure, or wastewater system improvements in order to come into compliance with the Federal Clean Water Act. This program establishes a revolving loan fund so that loan monies will be available in the future.

##### Drinking Water State Revolving Fund

The OWRB, in conjunction with the OK Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), provides low-interest rate loans to eligible communities to fund drinking water projects. The OWRB provides the financial services for the program while DEQ provides the engineering and technical services. This program establishes a revolving loan fund to that loan monies will be available in the future.

##### State Loan Program Revenues Bonds (FAP)

Provides low cost funding for improvements to qualified water and wastewater projects. The purpose of the program is to protect the health and safety of Oklahomans by providing safe adequate drinking water supplies and adequate wastewater treatment.

##### Dam Safety

Conducts construction permitting, inspection, breach analysis, emergency action planning and response, and dam owner assistance activities to ensure the safety of more than 4,700 jurisdictional dams

##### Water Rights Administration

Administers a system of water appropriation permitting, use accounting, legal availability determination, inspection, interference investigation, mediation, and cancellation assessment. Permits must be obtained all uses of water with the exception of domestic use. Permitted beneficial uses of water include Public Water Supply, Irrigation, Agriculture, Industrial, and Oil & Gas Mining.

##### Planning

Oklahoma statutes provide that the OWRB develop and implement long term water resources planning, conduct decennial updates, and provide local assistance. Working with the public and water use and economic sectors, the Oklahoma Comprehensive Water Plan quantifies supply and demand projections, conducts studies on supply options, develops planning tools and data, and identifies priority needs and emerging issues, and makes recommendations on future policy for the state to identify innovative solutions to Oklahoma's water challenges for the future.

##### Floodplain Management

As the designated coordinating agency for the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and State Floodplain Board, the OWRB partners with other state and federal agencies and local governments to prevent and mitigate the catastrophic effects of flooding disasters in Oklahoma. Activities include facilitating local compliance with NFIP, which offers low-cost flood insurance for participants, and permitting of construction activities on state-owned or operated property.

##### Well Drillers

Ensure the integrity of water well construction and prevent potential pollution of state groundwaters

##### Technical Studies Program

The Technical Studies Program provides in-depth analysis of water quantity in the state's surface and groundwater, including maximum annual yield studies and stream water allocation modeling.

##### Water Quality Division--Monitoring, Assessment, and Technical S

Collects data on state's groundwater, rivers, and lakes to assess and provide information regarding the health of the state's water resources for a variety of uses, including water supply, recreation, agriculture, and fishing. Provides quality, up-to-date information for decision-makers.

##### Water Quality Division--Data Management and Dissemination

Manages the data collected through the various Water Quality monitoring programs and studies in order to disseminate this information to the public

##### Water Quality Division--Water Quality Standards

Water Quality Division - Sets state water quality standards and antidegradation policy for waters of the state

##### Support Services

Provides the agency with the Administrative support needed to fulfil the agency's mission. This support includes: Legal, Accounting, Human Resources, GIS, OnBase, Public Information, and executive leadership.

#### FY'22 Budgeted Department Funding By Source

Dept. #	Department Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local <sup>1</sup>	Other <sup>2</sup>	Total
1001001	ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	\$1,776,969	\$0	\$876,213	\$0	\$107,183	\$2,760,365
2002001	WATER QUALITY ADMINISTRATION	\$270,232	\$15,000	\$391,349	\$0	\$0	\$676,581
2002020	WATER QUALITY STANDARDS	\$181,213	\$78,800	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$260,013
2002041	GROUNDWATER MONITORING	\$356,670	\$288,744	\$242,608	\$0	\$0	\$888,022
2002042	STREAMS MONITORING	\$290,397	\$456,195	\$800,176	\$0	\$0	\$1,546,768
2002043	LAKES MONITORING	\$343,328	\$373,001	\$348,362	\$0	\$0	\$1,064,691
2002050	USGS COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS	\$113,400	\$301,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$414,700
4004030	CLEAN WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND	\$0	\$2,145,546	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,145,546
4004040	FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	\$0	\$0	\$561,298	\$0	\$0	\$561,298
4004050	DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND	\$0	\$1,034,461	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,034,461
7005010	WATER PERMITTING	\$0	\$0	\$691,427	\$0	\$0	\$691,427
7005030	WELL DRILLERS' PROGRAM	\$121,114	\$0	\$159,059	\$0	\$0	\$280,173
7005040	TECHNICAL STUDIES	\$1,990,000	\$118,000	\$1,693,410	\$0	\$0	\$3,801,410
7007001	WATER RIGHTS ADMINISTRATION	\$94,031	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$94,031
7503090	FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT	\$70,947	\$705,511	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$776,458
7503120	DAM SAFETY PROGRAM	\$59,288	\$714,712	\$25,600	\$0	\$0	\$799,600
7503130	OKLAHOMA COMPREHENSIVE WATER PLAN (OCWP)	\$0	\$0	\$1,323,287	\$0	\$0	\$1,323,287
8800010	ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES IT	\$211,354	\$0	\$908,548	\$0	\$0	\$1,119,902
8800020	WATER QUALITY IT	\$113,552	\$274,610	\$33,418	\$0	\$0	\$421,580
8800040	FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE IT	\$0	\$0	\$230,187	\$0	\$0	\$230,187
8800070	WATER RIGHTS IT	\$2,116	\$17,700	\$60,854	\$0	\$0	\$80,670
8800075	ENGINEERING AND PLANNING IT	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	TOTAL OPERATIONAL:	\$5,994,611	\$6,523,580	\$8,345,796	\$0	\$107,183	\$20,971,170

**FY23 Budget Performance Review**

**Oklahoma Water Resources Board**

Lead Administrator: Julie Cunningham

Lead Financial Officer: Cleve Pierce

CAPITAL ACCOUNTS ASSOCIATED WITH FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE BOND, LOAN AND GRANT PROGRAMS								\$0
9404030	CLEAN WATER SRF LOANS	\$0		\$210,080,000	\$0	\$0	\$210,080,000	
9404040	DRINKING WATER SRF LOANS	\$0	\$250,000,000	\$700,000	\$0	\$0	\$250,700,000	
9404050	FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM GRANTS	\$0		\$278,499,635	\$0	\$0	\$278,499,635	
9904040	EMERGENCY GRANTS	\$0		\$1,139,225	\$0	\$0	\$1,139,225	
9904910	ASCOG			FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$241,728	\$0	\$241,728	
9904911	COEDD			FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$231,527	\$0	\$231,527	
9904912	EODD			FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$189,359	\$0	\$189,359	
9904913	GGEDA			FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$197,282	\$0	\$197,282	
9904914	KEDO			FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$184,192	\$0	\$184,192	
9904915	NODA			FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$162,246	\$0	\$162,246	
9904916	OEDA			FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$196,230	\$0	\$196,230	
9904917	SODA			FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$217,631	\$0	\$217,631	
9904918	SWODA			FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$168,422	\$0	\$168,422	
9904919	ACOG			FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$121,176	\$0	\$121,176	
9904920	INCOG			FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$120,324	\$0	\$120,324	
TOTAL BOND, LOAN AND GRANT FUNDING:		\$0	\$250,000,000	\$492,448,977	\$0	\$0	\$742,448,977	
							\$0	
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$5,994,611</b>	<b>\$256,523,580</b>	<b>\$500,794,773</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$107,183</b>	<b>\$763,420,147</b>	

1. Please describe source of Local funding not included in other categories:

2. Please describe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding if applicable for each department: 72000 - GOVERNOR'S WATER CONFERENCE FUND

FY'21 Carryover by Funding Source							
Class Fund #	Carryover Class Fund Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local <sup>1</sup>	Other <sup>2</sup>	Total
		\$270,542	\$0	\$2,149,224	\$0	\$41,183	\$2,460,949
21000	Well Drillers Indemity-Restricted			\$50,000			\$50,000
21500	OWRB Revolving			\$9,248,320			\$9,248,320
24500	Well Drillers -Restricted			\$54,479			\$54,479
24000	Fee Revenue			\$291,478			\$291,478
25000	Gross Production Tax - Restricted			\$4,952,230			\$4,952,230
44400	DWSRF-Bond/Loan/Grant Funds - Restricted		\$6,927,929				\$6,927,929
44500	CWSRF/ Bond/Load/Grant Funds - Restricted		\$3,567,795				\$3,567,795
72000	Governor's Water Conference Fund					\$41,183	\$41,183

1. Please describe source of Local funding not included in other categories:

2. Please describe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding if applicable:

72000 - GOVERNOR'S WATER CONFERENCE FUND

**What changes did the agency make between FY'21 and FY'22?**

1.) Are there any services no longer provided because of budget cuts?

N/A

2.) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user?

None for FY21

3.) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate?

None for FY21

4.) Did the agency provide any pay raises that were not legislatively/statutorily required?

YES

**FY'23 Requested Funding By Department and Source**

Dept. #	Department Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Other <sup>1</sup>	Total	% Change
1001001	ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	\$1,776,969	\$0	\$876,213	\$107,183	\$2,760,365	0.00%
2002001	WATER QUALITY ADMINISTRATION	\$270,232	\$15,000	\$391,349	\$0	\$676,581	0.00%
2002020	WATER QUALITY STANDARDS	\$181,213	\$78,800	\$0	\$0	\$260,013	0.00%
2002041	GROUNDWATER MONITORING	\$356,670	\$288,744	\$242,608	\$0	\$888,022	0.00%
2002042	STREAMS MONITORING	\$290,397	\$456,195	\$800,176	\$0	\$1,546,768	0.00%
2002043	LAKES MONITORING	\$343,328	\$373,001	\$348,362	\$0	\$1,064,691	0.00%
2002050	USGS COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS	\$113,400	\$301,300	\$0	\$0	\$414,700	0.00%
4004030	CLEAN WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND	\$0	\$2,145,546	\$0	\$0	\$2,145,546	0.00%
4004040	FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	\$0	\$0	\$561,298	\$0	\$561,298	0.00%
4004050	DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND	\$0	\$1,034,461	\$0	\$0	\$1,034,461	0.00%
7005010	WATER PERMITTING	\$0	\$0	\$691,427	\$0	\$691,427	0.00%
7005030	WELL DRILLERS' PROGRAM	\$121,114	\$0	\$159,059	\$0	\$280,173	0.00%
7005040	TECHNICAL STUDIES	\$1,990,000	\$118,000	\$1,693,410	\$0	\$3,801,410	0.00%
7007001	WATER RIGHTS ADMINISTRATION	\$94,031	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$94,031	0.00%
7503090	FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT	\$70,947	\$705,511	\$0	\$0	\$776,458	0.00%
7503120	DAM SAFETY PROGRAM	\$59,288	\$714,712	\$25,600	\$0	\$799,600	0.00%
7503130	OKLAHOMA COMPREHENSIVE WATER PLAN (OCWP)	\$0	\$0	\$1,323,287	\$0	\$1,323,287	0.00%
8800010	ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES IT	\$211,354	\$0	\$908,548	\$0	\$1,119,902	0.00%
8800020	WATER QUALITY IT	\$113,552	\$274,610	\$33,418	\$0	\$421,580	0.00%
8800040	FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE IT	\$0	\$0	\$230,187	\$0	\$230,187	0.00%
8800070	WATER RIGHTS IT	\$2,116	\$17,700	\$60,854	\$0	\$80,670	0.00%
8800075	ENGINEERING AND PLANNING IT	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	#DIV/0!
TOTAL OPERATIONAL:		\$5,994,611	\$6,523,580	\$8,345,796	\$107,183	\$20,971,170	0.00%

## FY23 Budget Performance Review

### Oklahoma Water Resources Board

Lead Administrator: Julie Cunningham

Lead Financial Officer: Cleve Pierce

CAPITAL ACCOUNTS ASSOCIATED WITH FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE BOND, LOAN AND GRANT PROGRAMS							\$0
9404030	CLEAN WATER SRF LOANS	\$0	\$0	\$210,080,000	\$0	\$210,080,000	0.00%
9404040	DRINKING WATER SRF LOANS	\$0	\$250,000,000	\$700,000	\$0	\$250,700,000	0.00%
9404050	FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM GRANTS	\$0	\$0	\$278,499,635	\$0	\$278,499,635	0.00%
9904040	EMERGENCY GRANTS	\$0	\$0	\$1,139,225	\$0	\$1,139,225	0.00%
9904910	ASCOG	FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$0	\$241,728	\$0	\$241,728	0.00%
9904911	COEDD	FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$0	\$231,527	\$0	\$231,527	0.00%
9904912	EODD	FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$0	\$189,359	\$0	\$189,359	0.00%
9904913	GGEDA	FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$0	\$197,282	\$0	\$197,282	0.00%
9904914	KEDO	FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$0	\$184,192	\$0	\$184,192	0.00%
9904915	NODA	FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$0	\$162,246	\$0	\$162,246	0.00%
9904916	OEDA	FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$0	\$196,230	\$0	\$196,230	0.00%
9904917	SODA	FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$0	\$217,631	\$0	\$217,631	0.00%
9904918	SWODA	FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$0	\$168,422	\$0	\$168,422	0.00%
9904919	ACOG	FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$0	\$121,176	\$0	\$121,176	0.00%
9904920	INCOG	FUND TRANSFER FROM GR	\$0	\$120,324	\$0	\$120,324	0.00%
<b>TOTAL BOND, LOAN AND GRANT FUNDING:</b>		\$0	\$250,000,000	\$492,448,977	\$0	\$742,448,977	0.00%
<b>Total</b>		\$5,994,611	\$256,523,580	\$500,794,773	\$107,183	\$763,420,147	0.00%

1. Please describe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding for each department:

72000 - GOVERNOR'S WATER CONFERENCE FUND

#### FY'23 Top Five Operational Appropriation Funding Requests

Request by Priority	Request Description	Appropriation Request Amount (\$)
	The agency is reviewing current revenues and costs of administering the water rights permitting and administration programs and will be proposing an updated fee structure that will match modern costs of delivery. The agency will propose rules for implementation of existing statutory authority for an intent to drill system to address increasing instances of unauthorized water well drilling and water use and ensure fairness and economic stability for existing and future water users.	
<b>Top Five Request Subtotal:</b>		<b>\$0</b>
<b>Total Increase above FY-22 Budget (including all requests)</b>		<b>\$ -</b>
Difference between Top Five requests and total requests:		\$0

#### Does the agency have any costs associated with the Pathfinder retirement system and federal employees?

Our employees work on projects funded with the assistance federal reimbursements, yes.

#### How would the agency be affected by receiving the same appropriation for FY '23 as was received in FY '22? (Flat/ 0% change)

For FY22, the agency can no longer delay the rehiring critical positions that assist us in the delivery of services and field investigations. The agency continues to take on additional work and personnel costs continue to rise while recruiting qualified staff has become more difficult. In addition, FY 20 legislation and other initiatives required the agency to create a State Flood Plan and engage in specific scientific studies and rulemaking processes with no additional funding. The agency is in the process of identifying potential alternate funding to cover costs.

#### How would the agency handle a 2% appropriation reduction in FY '23?

The agency would first review its non-spend from the previous year and determine if the scope of work had changed or efficiency improvements had been implemented such that budgeted dollars could be lowered for the next year in order to offset the percentage reduction of 2%. Next, the agency would look to categories where the budget might be lowered. This would include assessing the under-filling of vacant positions and reducing travel expenditures further. Results of cuts would include slower permit and license issuance, reduction in water quality and quantity monitoring data sets, reduced water infrastructure grant dollars to small communities, slowed completion of hydrologic studies, reduced customer assistance, reduced dam and well inspections, and public education regarding dam and water well maintenance and floodplain and drought management.

#### Is the agency seeking any fee increases for FY '23?

	Fee Increase Request (\$)	Statutory change required? (Yes/No)
Increase 1 Water right application fee	\$250 - \$450	No
Increase 2 Water right administration fee-groundwater	\$25	Yes
Increase 3 New enhance oil recovery permit fee	\$4,050 - \$4,250	No
Increase 4 Intent-to-drill authorization fee	\$25 to \$250	No

#### What are the agency's top 2-3 capital or technology (one-time) requests, if applicable?

Description of request in order of priority	Appropriated Amount (\$)	Submitted to LRCP? (Yes/No)
N/A		

#### Federal Funds

CFDA	Federal Program Name	Agency Dept. #	FY 22 budgeted	FY 21	FY 20	FY 19	FY 18
15.504	Title XVI Water Reclamation and Reuse	7503130	\$0	\$0	\$13,927	\$37,507	\$146,201
15.980	National Groundwater Monitoring Network	2002041	\$126,464	\$90,000	\$8,944	\$86,733	\$62,498
15.981	Water Use and Data Research	7005010	\$0	\$0	\$51,237	\$24,313	\$75,361
66.419	Water Pollution Control State and Interstate	2002042 & 2002043	\$691,196	\$563,000	\$479,263	\$582,645	\$569,629
66.454	Water Quality Management Planning	2002020	\$78,800	\$70,000	\$70,016	\$58,654	\$83,403
66.458	Capitalization Grants - Clean Water State Revolving Fun	4004030		\$14,194,908	\$15,707,765	\$20,428,691	\$5,959,067
66.468	Capitalization Grants - Drinking Water State Revolving F	4004050	\$180,000	\$180,000	\$180,000	\$180,000	\$180,000
66.461	Wetland Grants	2002043	\$264,000	\$110,000	\$106,810	\$77,666	\$73,275
66.608	Environmental Information Exchange Network	2002001	\$277,890	\$330,000	\$396,310	\$34,554	\$39,866
97.023	Community Assistance Program State Support	7503090	\$283,782	\$388,000	\$368,663	\$396,195	\$426,064
97.041	National Dam Safety	7007120	\$331,986	\$525,000	\$352,506	\$349,785	\$346,839
97.045	Cooperating Technical Partners	7503090	\$444,155	\$435,000	\$476,135	\$414,856	\$505,291
66.204	Multipurpose Grants to States and Tribes	2002043	\$48,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

## FY23 Budget Performance Review

### Oklahoma Water Resources Board

Lead Administrator: Julie Cunningham

Lead Financial Officer: Cleve Pierce

#### Federal Government Impact

#### 1.) How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government?

Water Quality - We receive \$64,000 federal dollars to explicitly be used for development of water quality standards and implementation. Total cost for meeting that mandated work is approximately \$265,000 plus legal staff expense for research and development of standards and implementation and promulgating rules.

Engineering and Planning - The agency receives approximately \$1,000,000 through FEMA to support the National Dam Safety Act and \$650,000 from the National Flood Insurance Program for Community Assistance Visits and the Cooperating Technical Partners Program.

#### 2.) Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate?

No

#### 3.) What would the consequences be of ending all of the federal funded programs for your agency?

Water Quality- Without available federal funds (\$64,000), the Oklahoma Water Quality Standards (OWQS) program would function at a reduced level. To keep the OWQS program functional, cuts to other programs would need to occur (including eliminating FTE positions). Federal water monitoring monies are also used by the OWRB to support agency monitoring priorities. These monies in the current fiscal year total approximately \$1.3 million, and include direct funding to the OWRB, as well as contractual monies from other agencies (i.e. ODEQ and ODAFF) that come from federal grants to those agencies. Without these moneys, cuts in our monitoring program approximating that total would need to occur. Additionally, the OWRB receives approximately \$350,000-450,000 from grants that are contracted through to other entities but enhance OWRB water management tools such as databases and remote sensing.

Financial Assistance - If the CWSRF and DWSRF programs were eliminated from our agency, OWRB would potentially have to pay back all the capitalization grants we have received to date. This would mean returning \$376.5 million and \$347.85 million for the respective programs. It would also mean fewer programs for our communities to utilize for water and wastewater infrastructure financing which would result in higher interest rates to the community and delays in critical infrastructure rehabilitation and expansion.

Water Rights & Engineering and Planning -To continue to make progress on the Water For 2060 Act initiatives, 50-year Oklahoma Comprehensive Water Plan, and statutorily mandated hydrologic and water planning and drought studies, we would have to identify state funding and other project partners that could contribute matching dollars for federal dollars available through the US Army Corp of Engineers, US Geological Survey, Department of Interior Bureau of Reclamation and Federal Emergency Management Administration.

#### 4.) How will your agency be affected by federal budget cuts in the coming fiscal year?

Water Quality - Any cuts to federal funding that come in conjunction with additional cuts in state funding require large cuts to the division's monitoring and OWQS programs. Program cuts would include FTE and seasonal personnel, laboratory services, and replacement of aging infrastructure, such as trucks, boats, and monitoring equipment.

#### 5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases?

Water Quality - The Water Quality Division continues to support an increase in federal funding for the United States Geological Survey's (USGS) Cooperative Program. This program is a cooperative effort between USGS and Oklahoma (OWRB) to collect water quality and quantity data across the state to aid in flood forecasting, collect needed data for the Comprehensive Water Plan and for water planning by municipalities, water districts, and conservancy districts.

Water Rights & Engineering and Planning-To continue to make progress on the Water For 2060 Act initiatives and statutorily mandated hydrologic and water planning and drought studies, we have identified additional federal and state funding and other project partners that could contribute matching dollars for federal dollars available through the US Army Corp of Engineers, US Geological Survey, Department of Interior Bureau of Reclamation and Federal Emergency Management Administration.

FY'22 Budgeted FTE							
Division #	Division Name	Supervisors	Classified	Unclassified	\$0 - \$35 K	\$35 K - \$70 K	\$70 K - \$\$\$
10	Administration	6	13	8	0	17	4
20	Water Quality	14	14	15.5	1	23	6
40	Financial Assistance	6	4	18	0	14	8
70	Water Rights Administrations	6	12.2	7	1	18	1
75	Engineering & Planning	3	4	5	0	6	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>35</b>	<b>47.2</b>	<b>53.5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>22</b>

FTE History						
Division #	Division Name	2022 Budgeted	2021	2020	2018	2013
10	Administration	21.0	20.3	20.3	20.0	16.5
20	Water Quality	29.5	30.0	29.6	28.8	31.4
40	Financial Assistance	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0
70	Water Rights Administration	19.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
75	Engineering & Planning	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
*70	Planning & Management	0.0	30.0	32.0	31.0	34.5
<b>Total</b>		<b>100.7</b>	<b>102.3</b>	<b>103.9</b>	<b>101.8</b>	<b>104.4</b>

\* 70 - Planning & Management split into 70 -Water Rights Administration and 75- Engineering & Planning in 2022 Budget

**FY23 Budget Performance Review**

**Oklahoma Water Resources Board**

Lead Administrator: Julie Cunningham

Lead Financial Officer: Cleve Pierce

Performance Measure Review					
	FY 21	FY 20	FY 19	FY 18	FY 17
<b>Oklahoma Water Quality Standards</b>					
Review and update Oklahoma's Water Quality Standards and Anti-degradation policy at least once every 3 years as required by the Environmental Protection Agency. Number of informal and formal public meetings held to solicit input from stakeholders. Technical support documents will be researched and prepared as needed.	3	3	3	3	Base Year for KPM
<b>Water Quality - Monitoring and Technical Studies</b>					
Assess the number of site visits to waterbodies made annually as part of the OWRB Monitoring and Technical Studies programs and make changes as staffing and money allow.	50,000	72,500	71,500	70,000	70,000
<b>Water Quality Data Management and Dissemination</b>					
Provide monitoring data collected and reports generated from that data on the web in an easily-readable format that can be quickly accessed by the public. Number of unique data points.	3,000,000	3,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	1,000,000
Update current or create new databases for managing information. Update current or create	3	3	2	Base Year for KPM	
<b>Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF)</b>					
Continue an effort to address Oklahoma's projected \$82 billion water and wastewater infrastructure needs. The goal is to disburse more than federal funds received which may require additional leveraging of the program as demand for the program grows.	334%	331%	313%	388%	372%
Increase disbursements as a % of executed loans compared to the national average (national average 90% for FY 20)	80%	80%	79%	89%	84%
Decrease ratio of undisbursed funds to disbursements compared to national average (National average 2.86 years in FY 20)	3.00 yrs	1.61 yrs	3.83 yrs	4.33 yrs	4.87 yrs
Increase the Total Net as compared to national average (national average \$58.5M in FY 2019)	18 Million	43.8 Million	16.2 Million	38.38 Million	Base Year for KPM
Increase the Net Interest Margin as compared to national average (national average 0.70% in FY 20)	0.40%	0.55%	0.38%	0.10%	Base Year for KPM
<b>Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF)</b>					
To exceed the National average on the return on federal investment for infrastructure financing dollars loaned (National average was 238.3% for FY 2020)	416.00%	416.00%	409.70%	388.00%	372.00%
Increase disbursements as a % of Assistance Provided compared to national average (National average 88.7% for FY 20)	85.00%	79.60%			
<b>Financial Assistance Program (FAP)</b>					
Maintain AAA rating by Standard and Poor's	100.40%	100.40%	100.40%	100.40%	100.00%
<b>Water Rights Administration (Permitting)</b>					
Identify and contract with a database performance management firm to further enhance and update the water rights database. This will build upon the WISR project that expanded the collection and storage of data on the annual water use reporting forms.	1	Base Year for KPM			
Complete 6 Maximum Annual Yield Orders by FY 2024.	0	0	Base Year for KPM		
Ensure the best accuracy in administering water right applications for out-of-basin transfers through close examination and necessary changes to data and calculation methodologies in the next revision of the OCWP, as well as future enhancements to water rights available water calculations.	0	Base Year for KPM			
<b>Hydrologic Studies (Technical Studies)</b>					
Have digital datasets from published hydrologic investigations online in aquifer specific web viewers	6	6	6	Base Year for KPM	
Publish 13 groundwater hydrologic basin yield investigations between FY 2018-25	2	0	0	Base Year for KPM	
<b>Well Drillers &amp; Pump Installer Licensing</b>					
Provide several statewide continuing education classes for well drillers, increase the awareness of the importance of the license renewal, increase field inspections, and improve the efficiency of the well drillers program to address the OWRB's rules and regulations violations. (# of	88	10	8	Base Year for KPM	
Work to rebuild the Well Drillers license and well record database. The current database has served the program well for almost twenty years, but it is becoming increasingly difficult to	0	Base Year for KPM			
<b>Oklahoma Comprehensive Water Plan</b>					
Update previous OCWP (2012) of all data, maps, and projections for 2025 OCWP (Measure - % of completion)	10.00%	Base Year for KPM			
<b>Dam Safety</b>					
Identify existing and new high hazard dams in the state. Assist the dam owners to develop EAP by providing breach inundation maps, EAP template, and guidelines. (% Identified)	98.00%	97.00%	96.00%	Base Year for KPM	
<b>Floodplain Management</b>					
Provide participating and non-participating communities in Oklahoma with NFIP information including local flood risk information, flood risk reduction options, flood insurance regulations and effective local floodplain management methods.	5	5	5	Base Year for KPM	

**FY23 Budget Performance Review  
Oklahoma Water Resources Board**

Lead Administrator: Julie Cunningham

Lead Financial Officer: Cleve Pierce

**Revolving Funds (200 Series Funds)**

<i>Please provide fund number, fund name, description, and revenue source</i>	<b>FY'19-21 Avg. Revenues</b>	<b>FY'19-21 Avg. Expenditures</b>	<b>June '21 Balance</b>
<b>21000: Drillers and Installers Indemnity Fund</b> Use: Emergency Plugging of Water Wells. Fund is not used unless there is a well emergency Revenue Source: Fees from Well Drilling Operators	\$17,458	\$0	\$31,500
<b>21500: OWRB Revolving Fund</b> Use: General Operation and to cover indirect costs related to projects  Revenue Source: Payments from other agencies, local governments, indirect cost reimbursements, copies, and, (in late FY2019) a reimbursement payment of \$12.5 million from Oklahoma City to the OWRB, as directed by the water settlement between the State of Oklahoma, Chickasaw and Choctaw Nations, Oklahoma City and the United States, through Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act, enacted by US Congress and President December 2016	*\$6,545,142	**\$3,422,384	\$9,379,578
<b>22500: REAP Fund</b> Use: REAP Grant Awards  Revenue Source: Transfers from the agency's general appropriations and reimbursements from unused REAP Grant monies.	\$1,211,995	\$1,107,810	\$2,140,106
<b>24000: OWRB Revolving Fee Fund</b> Use: Operational funding for the Water Rights Administration, Dam Safety, and Water Well Drillers Programs  Revenue Source: Water Use and Well Drillers Fees	\$721,688	\$734,374	\$308,302
<b>24500: Well Drillers and Installers Regulatory Funds</b> Use: Education and Training of Well Driller Program  Revenue Source: Transfer from the Drillers and Installers Indemnity Fund for any amount over \$50,000	\$23,625	\$24,850	\$54,479
<b>25000: Community Water Infrastructure Development Fund</b> Use: Used for direct and indirect costs associated with the Planning and Management Division of the OWRB for recommendations in Oklahoma's Comprehensive Water Plan in answer to the Water for 2060 Act.  Revenue Source: Transfers from 3 tax percentage categories from the State's Gross Production Tax	\$3,002,747	\$6,825,213	\$5,173,517
* In FY2019 the agency received a settlement agreement in the amount of \$12.5 million. This was a one time payment and is not part of the agency's normal revenues for this fund. Without this payment normal revenues would have averaged approximately \$2.3 million.			
** In FY2020, as a result of the settlement payment from FY2019, the agency had to reimburse the agency's loan fund as payments had been made from this fund to pay the US Army Corps of Engineers for expenses related to the Sardis Reservoir. Without this one-time reimbursement, the 3-year expenditures average for normal operations would have been around \$2.4 million.			