292 Department of Environmental Quality

Lead Administrator: Scott Thompson

Lead Financial Officer: Kathy Aebischer

Agency Mission

To protect and improve public health and our environment

Division and Program Descriptions

Note: Please define any acronyms used in program descriptions.

Administrative Services Division (ASD) - 11

General agency policy, outreach, and administration. DEQ administrative staff, Executive Director's Office, Office of General Counsel, Office of Business and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Communications and Education, and Office of Continuous Improvement oversee implementation of agency programs and administrative functions, and interact with multiple federal and state agencies, organizations, individuals, etc., to make Oklahoma DEQ a voice and a leader within the U.S.

State Environmental Laboratory Services Division (SELSD) - 21

SELSD's organic and inorganic chemistry laboratories provide analytical support to the various programs within DEQ, to other state agencies, and to public water supply systems of the state. The Laboratory Accreditation Unit operates an accreditation program for in-state and out-of-state environmental laboratories.

Environmental Complaints and Local Services Division (ECLSD) - 30

ECLSD is responsible for receiving and resolving environmental complaints from citizens of Oklahoma. Complaints are received in the 22 field offices and by the 24/7 environmental complaints hotline. ECLSD also responds to environmental emergencies, such as spills of hazardous materials and natural disasters. Other responsibilities include response to citizen requests for private well inspections, percolation and soil profile tests, and inspections of individual sewage disposal systems; licensing and regulation of septic tank installers and septic tank cleaners; and multi-media inspections of facilities across the state, including water supplies, water pollution control facilities, solid waste landfills, industrial waste facilities, and facilities with air quality permits.

Air Quality Division (AQD) - 50

AQD implements the requirements of the state and federal Clean Air Acts. This includes compliance, enforcement, emissions inventory, quality assurance, monitoring, analysis, and permitting.

Water Quality Division (WQD) - 55

WQD operates programs for public water supplies, source water protection, sludge disposal, and municipal and industrial water pollution control. These programs include review of plans and specs, permitting, tracking, compliance, enforcement, water quality planning, training and certification, and complaint resolution activities. WQD provides licensing and regulation of municipal water and wastewater operators.

Land Protection Division (LPD) - 61

LPD implements programs for solid waste and hazardous waste planning, management, permitting, and enforcement. It coordinates the federal chemical spill and reporting program and peer reviews risk assessment decisions for the agency. LPD is also responsible for regulatory activities for the use of atomic energy and other sources of ionizing and non-ionizing radiation and for state activities associated with the federal Superfund Program.

Information Technology (IT) - 88

In FY2013, due to implementing HB 1304, agencies had to set their budget structures with separate divisions for Information Technology (IT) functions. IT consists of the agency's postal meters, telephone charges, IT agreements with OMES and anything else that reasonably falls within the guidelines set forth in HB1304.

Sec of Energy and Environment (OSEE) - 70

The Office of the Secretary of Energy and Environment (OSEE) coordinates and makes application on behalf of various state environmental agencies for U.S. EPA Clean Water Act funds, and disburses such funds to state environmental agencies based upon statutory duties and responsibilities. In FY 15, at the request of OSEE, DEQ assumed responsibility for certain administrative support functions related to these OSEE responsibilities.

| | FY'22 Budgeted Department Funding By Source | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| Dept. # | Department Name | Appropriations | Federal | Revolving | Local ¹ | Other ² | Total | | | |
| 11 | Administrative Services | - | 4,263,887 | 6,764,272 | | 67,765 | \$11,095,924 | | | |
| 21 | State Environmental Lab Services | 3,054,048 | 1,340,334 | 2,974,882 | | - | \$7,369,264 | | | |
| 30 | Environmental Complaints and Local Services | 3,292,016 | 1,149,771 | 3,469,695 | | - | \$7,911,482 | | | |
| 50 | Air Quality | - | 2,066,073 | 9,468,920 | | 4,212,654 | \$15,747,647 | | | |
| 55 | Water Quality | 2,681,282 | 6,478,768 | 5,967,153 | | - | \$15,127,203 | | | |
| 61 | Land Protection | - | 14,857,901 | 10,199,075 | | - | \$25,056,976 | | | |
| 88 | Information Technology | - | 1,945,167 | 4,510,742 | | 20,000 | \$6,475,909 | | | |
| 70 | Sec of Energy and Environment * | - | 7,503,285 | - | | - | \$7,503,285 | | | |
| Total | | \$9,027,346 | \$39,605,186 | \$43,354,739 | \$0 | \$4,300,419 | \$96,287,690 | | | |
| * OSE&E's l | Federal Water Quality Management Fund was moved from | n OWRB's budget to DEQ | 's budget for FY2 | 015 and remains w | rith DEQ. | | | | | |

2 Other funding listed is the amount expected for DEQ for the Volkswagen Emissions Settlement for Oklahoma

| | FY'21 Carryover by Funding Source | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|----------------|---------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--|
| Class Fund # | Carryover Class Fund Name | Appropriations | Federal | Revolving | Local ¹ | Other ² | Total | |
| 19012 | SELS Lab Equipment | \$33,130 | | | | | \$33,130 | |
| 19111 | Rural Water Sustainable Infrastructure/TA | \$250,362 | | | | | \$250,362 | |
| 1. Please desc | 1. Please describe source of Local funding not included in other categories: | | | | | | | |
| 2. Please desc | ribe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding if applicat | le: | | | | | | |

What changes did the agency make between FY'21 and FY'22?

1.) Are there any services no longer provided because of budget cuts?

No programs or major services were entirely eliminated from FY 21 to FY 22.

2.) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user?

DEQ has been approved by Governmental Technology Applications Review Board (GTARB) to pass merchant fees onto DEQ customers paying fees to DEQ by credit cards or electronic check. DEQ is working to have online systems upgraded and implemented by FY2022.

3.) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate?

Response times for local services (e.g. complaint response) has increased over the past few years. Also, there have been at times delays in reporting test results and providing technical assistance, investigating complaints, performing inspections during the pandemic due to COVID protocols and restricted facility access. IT Resources to the agency continue to decline while costs increase or remain the same. IT related projects take longer to get IT resources assigned so IT projects linger which affect all aspects of the agency.

4.) Did the agency provide any pay raises that were not legislatively/statutorily required?

The only pay raise, other than those incidental to promotions and career progressions, was a salary increase for the Executive Director that was authorized and directed by the Environmental Quality Board.

292 Department of Environmental Quality

| Lead Admini | d Administrator: Scott Thompson Lead Financial Officer: Kathy Aebischer | | | | | | cher |
|---------------|---|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|----------|
| | | FY'23 Requested Fu | nding By Depart | ment and Source | e | | |
| Dept. # | Department Name | Appropriations | Federal | Revolving | Other ¹ | Total | % Change |
| 11 | Administrative Services | \$0 | \$4,263,887 | \$6,583,434 | \$67,765 | \$10,915,086 | -1.63% |
| 21 | State Environmental Lab Services | \$3,342,099 | \$1,340,334 | \$2,995,191 | \$0 | \$7,677,624 | 4.18% |
| 30 | Environmental Complaints and Local Services | \$3,587,313 | \$954,771 | \$3,469,695 | \$0 | \$8,011,779 | 1.27% |
| 50 | Air Quality | \$0 | \$1,774,164 | \$9,583,048 | \$4,212,654 | \$15,569,866 | -1.13% |
| 55 | Water Quality | \$2,681,282 | \$6,478,768 | \$5,988,173 | \$0 | \$15,148,223 | 0.14% |
| 61 | Land Protection | \$0 | \$7,807,142 | \$10,224,455 | \$0 | \$18,031,597 | -28.04% |
| 88 | Information Technology | \$0 | \$1,945,167 | \$4,510,742 | \$20,000 | \$6,475,909 | 0.00% |
| 70 | Sec of Energy and Environment * | \$0 | \$7,503,285 | \$0 | \$0 | \$7,503,285 | 0.00% |
| Total | | \$9,610,694 | \$32,067,518 | \$43,354,738 | \$4,300,419 | \$89,333,369 | -7.22% |
| 1. Please des | 1. Please describe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding for each department: | | | | | | |
| * OSE&E's | Federal Water Quality Management Fund was moved from | om OWRB's budget to DEQ's | s budget for FY20 | 15 and remains wi | th DEQ. | | |

1 Other funding listed is the amount expected for DEQ for the Volkswagen Emissions Settlement for Oklahoma.

| | FY'23 Top Five Operational Appropriation Funding Requests | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Request by Priority | Request Description | Appropriation Request Amount (\$) | | | | | |
| Request 1: | Environmental Complaints & Local Services - Additional Staffing | \$295,297 | | | | | |
| Request 2: | SELS -Additional FTE within the Heavy Metals Unit of the ODEQ State Environmental Laboratory Services Division | \$96,017 | | | | | |
| Request 3: | SELS - Additional FTE within the Field Laboratory Customer Assistance Section of the ODEQ State Environmental Laboratory Services Division | \$96,017 | | | | | |
| Request 4: | SELS - Additional FTE within the Statewide Sample and Data Management Section (SSDM) of the ODEQ State Environmental Laboratory Services Division | \$96,017 | | | | | |
| | Top Five Request Subtotal: | \$583,348 | | | | | |
| Total Increase | e above FY-22 Budget (including all requests) | \$ 583,348 | | | | | |
| Difference be | tween Top Five requests and total operating requests: | \$0 | | | | | |

Does the agency have any costs associated with the Pathfinder retirement system and federal employees?

Yes, but it does not only affect federally funded employees; pathfinder has the ability to jeopardize DEQ's funding sources. DEQ receives Federal grants and has an Indirect Cost Proposal that states that DEQ will, according to federal guidelines, account for similar types of costs in a consistent manner which means that the costs are unallowable for all billable sources for DEQ and not just unallowable for federal awards. DEQ believes that all employees should be treated equally and retirement funds set aside should follow the applicable employee.

How would the agency be affected by receiving the same appropriation for FY '23 as was received in FY '22? (Flat/ 0% change)

If there are no changes to the FY-2021 General Appropriation (GR), the agency would still have to make programmatic changes to fund the increases that occur in doing business from year to year, which would include but not be limited to PathFinder contributions, legislative increase to benefit allowance, and the continued increased costs for Information Technology support in the ever growing technological environment that is needed for the agency to receive, transmit and adequately be able to report as needed. Additional changes might be an increase in response time to environmental complaints, as the agency adjusts to the increasing cost of travel. Small communities struggling to meet federal mandates could receive reduced levels of assistance from DEQ.

How would the agency handle a 2% appropriation reduction in FY '23?

A reduction of 2% to the FY-2022 General Appropriation (GR) would equal \$180,547. DEQ's state-appropriated money principally funds personnel, specifically in these areas: drinking water program, wastewater program, environmental complaints and local services program, and state environmental laboratory program. A cut in general revenue of 2% translates into a reduction of positions. A reduction in personnel means that there is a decreased capacity for responsiveness and assistance, especially to municipalities and individual citizens, who are the ones that most directly benefit from the programs and personnel that are funded with state dollars. This diminished capacity will affect assistance provided to communities with identifying the source of and potential measures to address drinking water issues, including lead in drinking water, and fewer and/or less intensive inspections of drinking water and wastewater facilities, with an associated increase in the likelihood of operational issues or problems.

| | Is the agency seeking any fee increases for FY '23? | |
|------------|---|------------|
| | Fee Increase Statutory change required | 2 (Ves/No) |
| | Request (\$) | (103/100) |
| Increase 1 | | |

| | What are the agency's top 2-3 capital or technology (one-time) requests, if applicable? | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Description of re | request in order of priority | Appropriated Amount (\$) | Submitted to LRCPC? (Yes/No) | | | |
| Priority 1 DE | EQ - Parking Garage | \$20,000,000 | Yes | | | |

292DEQ - FY2023 Budget Request

292 Department of Environmental Quality

| Lead Admi | ead Administrator: Scott Thompson Lead Financial Officer: Kathy Aebischer | | | | | | | |
|-----------|--|----------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | | | Federal Funds | | | | | |
| CFDA | Federal Program Name | Agency Dept. # | FY 22 budgeted | FY 21 | FY 20 | FY 19 | FY 18 | |
| 12.113 | Reimb of Tech Svcs-St Memo | 11/61/88 | 110,419 | 70,917 | 113,028 | 158,256 | 168,200 | |
| 15.616 | Clean Vessel Act | 11/88 | 312,352 | 221,898 | 281,297 | - | 51,023 | |
| 66.034 | Clean Air Act Surveys, etc | 11/50/88 | 500,777 | 576,452 | 542,732 | 381,130 | 422,711 | |
| 66.040 | State Clean Diesel | 11/50/88 | 516,695 | 451,438 | 404,781 | 367,644 | 15,053 | |
| 66.204 | Multipurpose Grant | 11/50 | 91,909 | 104,005 | 1,882 | - | - | |
| 66.419 | Water Poll Control-St. Int Fed\$ | 11/30/55/88 | 2,533,000 | 2,736,346 | 2,438,578 | 1,754,564 | 1,501,566 | |
| 66.444 | Lead Testing in Schools & Child Care Facilities | 11/21/30/55/88 | 884,253 | 68,101 | 81,579 | | | |
| 66.468 | Cap Grts, Drinking Water - Fed\$ | 11/21/30/55/88 | 5,532,286 | 4,460,406 | 4,087,752 | 3,838,034 | 4,292,019 | |
| 66.605 | Perform Partnership Grts-Fed \$ Supplemental funding for state delegated Lead Based | 11/21/30/50/55/61/88 | 4,330,528 | 4,290,504 | 3,412,218 | 6,134,042 | 4,857,949 | |
| 66.707 | Paint programs | 11/50 | 200,000 | 68,560 | - | - | - | |
| 66.708 | Pollution Prevention | 11/61/88 | - | - | - | 70,000 | 39,759 | |
| 66.802 | Superfund St Site Spec - Fed \$ | 11/61/88 | 12,050,759 | 5,798,521 | 7,194,640 | 7,212,035 | 3,905,403 | |
| 66.817 | Brownfields-State/Tribal Resp | 11/61/88 | 462,841 | 506,833 | 513,213 | 357,758 | 403,386 | |
| 66.818 | Brownfields Assess & Cleanup | 11/61/88 | 703,923 | 495,386 | 18,103 | 1,168 | 311,003 | |
| 66.608 | Exchange | 11/30/88 | 195,000 | 3,728 | 1,485 | - | - | |
| 66.442 | Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act Assistance for Small and Disadvantaged Communities | 11/21/30/55/88 | 209,619 | - | - | - | - | |
| 66.442 | Drinking Water Grant | 11/21/30/55/88 | 500,000 | 182,178 | - | - | - | |
| 66.961 | Superfund Consolidated | 61/88 | 538,025 | 334,404 | - | - | - | |
| 66.460 | OSEE - Nonpoint Source Implem - Fed \$ | 70 | 3,190,000 | 3,436,445 | 2,531,909 | 2,838,890 | 2,041,275 | |
| 66.461 | OSEE Wetlands Grants | 70 | 570,000 | 173,394 | 270,008 | 173,440 | 138,342 | |
| 66.419 | OSEE Water Poll Control-St/Int Fed\$ | 70 | 3,404,000 | 2,594,135 | 2,838,923 | 2,407,116 | 2,103,572 | |
| 66.454 | OSEE Water Quality Management Plan | 70 | 239,285 | 90,620 | 123,841 | 89,212 | 113,128 | |

Federal Government Impact

1.) How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government?

Most of our federal money is tied to federally delegated programs. While federal law does not mandate that DEQ administer them, the regulated community prefers that DEQ run those programs in lieu of the federal government.

2.) Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate?

See above. Federal mandates primarily affect local governments and businesses that pay fees to make up the difference between federal funding and the cost to implement federal programs in lieu of the federal government. When the U.S. EPA implements programs directly there are typically problems created which unnecessarily increase costs, create confusion, and create inefficiencies.

3.) What would the consequences be of ending all of the federal funded programs for your agency?

EPA would become the environmental regulator for the State of Oklahoma, resulting in higher costs to citizens and businesses.

4.) How will your agency be affected by federal budget cuts in the coming fiscal year?

DEQ is not anticipating federal budget cuts but each federal year brings changes, especially during an election time. Federal awards for DEQ are not guaranteed but historically have continued at stabilized amounts. The reduction in federal funds is due to one-time grants for specific projects that are expected to end by FY2023.

5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases?

SELSD has requested and received an additional \$225,000 to help with the purchase of instrumentation required to perform PFAS testing in drinking water. Another, \$75,000 has been requested and received to purchase the preparation equipment necessary for this type of analysis but these funds may just be one-time. As opportunities come up DEQ does apply for federal funding that may be available for projects. For example, if the federal government offers infrastructure or redevelopment funding in programs that fall under DEQ jurisdiction we will apply for such funding. In some cases we may help local governments or tribes apply for such funding directly.

| | FY'22 Budgeted FTE | | | | | | | |
|------------|---|-------------|------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Division # | Division Name | Supervisors | Classified | Unclassified | \$0 - \$35 K | \$35 K - \$70 K | \$70 K - \$\$\$ | |
| 11 Adm | ninistrative Services | 24 | 44 | 25 | 10 | 32 | 27 | |
| 21 State | e Environmental Lab Services | 13 | 48 | 10 | 0 | 47 | 11 | |
| 30 Envi | ronmental Complaints and Local Services | 12 | 75 | 11 | 0 | 74 | 12 | |
| 50 Air C | Quality | 20 | 96 | 17 | 0 | 83 | 30 | |
| 55 Wate | er Quality | 20 | 98 | 18 | 0 | 83 | 33 | |
| 61 Land | d Protection | 18 | 72 | 12 | 0 | 63 | 21 | |
| 88 Infor | rmation Technology | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 70 Sec 0 | of Energy and Environment * | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| Total | | 107 | 433 | 94 | 10 | 383 | 134 | |

| | | FTE History | | | | |
|------------|---|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Division # | Division Name | 2022 Budgeted | 2021 | 2020 | 2018 | 2013* |
| 11 | Administrative Services | 69.0 | 72.0 | 74.1 | 71.5 | 67.0 |
| 21 | State Environmental Lab Services | 58.0 | 57.0 | 55.1 | 57.0 | 69.0 |
| 30 | Environmental Complaints and Local Services | 86.0 | 85.0 | 83.7 | 84.0 | 93.0 |
| 50 | Air Quality | 113.0 | 116.0 | 116.7 | 123.0 | 125.0 |
| 55 | Water Quality | 116.0 | 115.0 | 113.7 | 114.0 | 126.9 |
| 61 | Land Protection | 84.0 | 84.0 | 84.6 | 85.5 | 85.0 |
| 88 | Information Technology | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 70 | Sec of Energy and Environment * | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | | 527.0 | 530.0 | 529.0 | 536.0 | 565.9 |

*IT staff were converted to OMES staff during FY2013

292 Department of Environmental Quality

| 292 Departme | nt of Environn | nental Qualit | ty | | | |
|--|------------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| ead Administrator: Scott Thompson | | | Lead Financial Offi | cer: Kathy Aeb | ischer | |
| Perforr | mance Measure R | | | | | |
| Administrative Services | FY 21 | FY 20 | FY 19 | FY 18 | FY 17 | |
| Percent of Claims Paid Within 45 Working Days | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | | 100 |
| | 100% | 100% 90% | 90% | 100% | | 100 |
| Percent of Initial Response To Requests for Record Searches, Copying and Reviews Within One Day | 100% | 90% | 90% | 100% | | 10 |
| Air Quality | | | | | | |
| Amount Saved by OK Major Sources (\$ in millions; based on OK Title V program compared to a Fed Title V program & fees) | \$2.0 | \$2.0 | \$1.8 | \$2.1 | | \$ |
| Reductions in Tons of Emissions From Enforcement Actions | 46 | 3,635 | 6,178 | 457 | | 1 |
| State Environmental Laboratory Services | | | | | | |
| Provide Analytical Collection Materials and Instruction for Customer Requests | 100% | 99% | 99% | 98% | | 9: |
| Percent of laboratory samples processed within appropriate turnaround time targets | 90% | 94% | 91% | 90% | | 9 |
| Environmental Complaints & Local Services | | | | | | |
| Percent of Complainants Contacted Within Two Days | 87% | 87% | 87% | 89% | | 6 |
| Number of Complaints | 3,694 | 3,838 | 4,030 | 3,656 | | 3,3 |
| Percent of Complaints Resolved Within 90 Days or approved extension | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | | 10 |
| Gallons of Sewage eliminated from the Environment (Millions) | 9.6 | 13.9 | 12 | 12 | | 10 |
| Water Quality | | | | | | |
| Amount of Low Interest Loans Provided to Public Water Supply Systems to Help Compliance With Safe Water Drinking Act (Millions) | \$262.7 | \$171.0 | \$85.6 | \$95.1 | | \$10 |
| Percent of Public Water Supply Facilities In Compliance With Drinking Water Requirements | 86% | 81% | 80.8% | 80.8% | | 7 |
| Land Protection | | | | | | |
| Number of Acres for Which Remediation Was Completed at Highly Contaminated Sites | 231 | 315 | 142 | 83 | | 2 |
| Number of Abandoned Tires Remediated From Illegal Dumps | 51,067 | 77,535 | 29,681 | 32,524 | | 123,8 |
| | , | | | , | | , |
| Revolving | Funds (200 Serie | s Funds) | | | | |
| ase provide fund number, fund name, description, and revenue source | FY'19-21 Avg. | | FY'19-21 Avg. E | xpenditures | June '21 Balance (a | s of 8/31/2021) |
| 200: DEQ Revolving Fund | Ŭ | | | • | @6/30 | 9,656,9 |
| - Operating the following programs: Air Quality Title V, Air Quality Non-Title V, Lab | | | | | % | 1,691,9 |
| Certification, Laboratory Analysis, Drinking Water, Wastewater, Stormwater, Hazardous | \$41,369 | 9,158 | \$38,852 | .420 | | 706,2 |
| Waste, Solid Waste, Radiation, Private Water and Sewage, SARA Title III, Tire, Lead, | | - | | - | FY21 remaining encumb | 1,782,3 |
| oluntary Clean-Up, Highway Remediation, Soil Profiler and Southeast Commerce Passive/ Treatment | | | | | Remaining | 5,476,4 |
| 210: Environmental Education Fund | | | | | 0 | 20,4 |
| - Pass-through money to schools for environmental education – Funds come to DEQ from | | | | | - | - |
| To via the specialized license tags. DEQ uses those funds to grant environmental projects to | \$9,792 | 2.00 | \$7,382 | | | - |
| schools/day cares for environmental education. | | | | | C | |
| 215: Environmental Trust Fund | | | | | × | 20,4 |
| 215. Environmental Trust Fund | | | | | | - |
| This fund is no longer used. The balance is less than \$1.00 | \$0 | | \$0 | | - | - |
| - This fund is no longer used. The balance is less than \$1.00. | | | | | FY21 remaining encumb | - |
| 220. Hogendaug Wagte Develter From 1 | | | | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | |
| 220: Hazardous Waste Penalty Fund | | | | | | 69,6 |
| - Match for Superfund program and hazardous waste cleanups, and funding to assist with | | | | | - | - |
| ocal hazardous waste emergency response training and equipment. DEQ may or may not ave any hazardous waste penalty funds. Depends on what penalties are assessed. DEQ is | \$20,6 | 28 | \$22,27 | | FY21 remaining encumb | - |
| not anticipating any additional funding at this time. DEQ has been working to establish | | | | | - | |
| funding for needs for Local Emergency Planning Committees with the funds available. | | | | | Remaining | 69,65 |

funding for needs for Local Emergency Planning Committees with the funds available. Remaining 225: Certificate Fund @6/30 401,111 - The Certificate Fund is expended for training programs, including itinerant training Misc Exp after 6/30 36,826 13,188 programs, meetings, personnel, expenses, and purchase of personal property, to carry out \$743,873 \$628,019 Payroll after 6/30 FY21 remaining encumb 47,961 the purposes of the Waterworks and Wastewater Works Operator Certification Act. Only 303,137 revenue for this fund is OpCert fees. Remaining

4

292DEQ - FY2023 Budget Request