## **Department of Commerce - 160**

Head of Agency: Deby Snodgrass

Lead Financial Officer: Stacie Willis

FY'18 Projected Division/Program Funding By Source						
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local	Other*	Total
Community Development	\$708,577	\$1,975,861	\$32,181			\$2,716,619
Business Services	\$2,457,804		\$498,057			\$2,955,861
Contracts and Comm. & Economic Dev.	\$6,040,794	\$60,742,745	\$2,825,049			\$69,608,588
Operational Support	\$4,766,200		\$2,881,199			\$7,647,399
Main Street Program	\$556,780		\$33,010			\$589,790
REAP**			\$9,255,368			\$9,255,368
NACEA	\$5,967,827		\$12,167,225			\$18,135,052
ISD Data Processing	\$320,015		\$265,302			\$585,317
						\$0
Total	\$20,817,997	\$62,718,606	\$27,957,391	\$0	\$0	\$111,493,994

<sup>\*</sup>Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

## FY-18 BWP as of Revision 4. Revolving includes state appropriated carryover and indirect

\*\* REAP budget includes refund of FY 17 revenue failure. Amount requested below is level funding compared to FY 18 appropriation.

FY'17 Carryover by Funding Source						
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local	Other*	Total
FY'17 Carryover	\$2,615,176					\$2,615,176
*Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each						

Appropriated carryover includes returned revenue failure funds.

## What Changes did the Agency Make between FY'17 and FY'18?

- 1.) Are there any services no longer provided because of budget cuts? None
- 2.) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user? N/A
- 3.) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate? Visits to Site Selectors, business leaders looking to relocate or expand, and fewer trips
- 4.) Did the agency provide any pay raises that were not legislatively/statutorily required? If so, please provide a detailed description in a separate document. Please see attached document

FY'19 Requested Division/Program Funding By Source							
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Other	Total	% Change	
Community Development	\$708,577	\$1,975,861			\$2,684,438	-1.18%	
Business Services	\$2,457,804		\$149,920		\$2,607,724	-11.78%	
Contracts and Comm. & Economic Dev.	\$6,040,794	\$60,742,745	\$525,049		\$67,308,588	-3.30%	
Operational Support	\$4,766,200		\$297,661		\$5,063,861	-33.78%	
Main Street Program	\$556,780		\$1,453		\$558,233	-5.35%	
REAP	\$0		\$9,187,761		\$9,187,761	-0.73%	
NACEA	\$5,967,827		\$10,000,000		\$15,967,827	-11.95%	
ISD Data Processing	\$320,015		\$211,565		\$531,580	-9.18%	
Total	\$20,817,997	\$62,718,606	\$20,373,409	\$0	\$103,910,012	-6.80%	

\*Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

FY'19 Top Five Appropriation Funding Requests	
F1 19 Top Five Appropriation Funding Requests	\$ Amount
ommerce is requesting a flat budget.	ψ / Amount
ommerce is requesting a rim outget.	
otal Increase above FY-18 Request	\$
How would the agency handle a 2% appropriation reduction in FY'1	02
2% reduction would prompt increased cuts to pass-through funding. Pass through entities make up 65% of the Agency's a	
270 reduction would prompt increased cuts to pass among randing. I ass among renducts make up 6570 of the regency's a	ppropriated budget.
How would the agency handle a 4% appropriation reduction in FY'1	
4% reduction would prompt increased cuts to pass-through funding. Pass through entities make up 65% of the Agency's a	ppropriated budget.
How would the agency handle a 6% appropriation reduction in FY'1	9?
6% reduction would prompt increased cuts to pass-through funding. Pass through entities make up 65% of the Agency's a	
Is the agency seeking any fee increases for FY'18?	
	\$ Amount
praesa 1	
crease 1 crease 2	
crease 3	
Acuse 5	<u>'</u>
What are the agency's top 2-3 capital or technology (one-time) requests, if ap	oplicable?
Federal Government Impact	
Teuerar Government impact	
How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government? e majority of federal funds consist of formula money directly allocated to the State of Oklahoma. Only a small portion are	greats that the Agency proportively applied
and received.	grants that the Agency proactively applied
and received.	
Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate?	
es. Each of the Federal programs has an administrative percentage allowance for staff, as well as staff charges to the indire	ect rate. However
te appropriated funds are required to be used to supplement several of the programs since the allowable administrative per	
ways sufficient to cover all salary and fringe costs.	reemage is not
. a.j. salitetetti to conor un suun minge costs.	
What would the consequences be of ending all of the federal funded programs for your agency?	
would essentially eliminate the majority of Commerce's Community Development Division. There would additionally be s	
mination of funding used by local governments to improve streets and water treatment facilities and funds used by commu	imity action agencies to feed and educate

low-income children and weatherize homes of low-income citizens.

applicable to current awards.

4.) How will your agency be affected by federal budget cuts in the coming fiscal year?

5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases?

Presently, Commerce will continue to draw on "older" money so the impact will not be severe unless a Federal shutdown or cut occurs over a long period of time because all draw processes stay functional during the shutdown. At this time, significant cuts are not expected to be

Not at this time.

Division and Program Descriptions					
	Community Development fosters economic development by helping communities understand and implement infrastructure projects and comprehensive planning in order to maximize their resources.				
Business Services	The purpose of this program is threefold: to provide for the creation and retention of jobs, to recruit business investment to Oklahoma, and to contribute to economic development through export trade and the attraction of foreign investment.				
Contracts for Community and Eco	Contracts for Community and Economic Development are contracts to local governments and community action agencies,				
	which include ones that the Oklahoma Department of Commerce has been statutorily mandated to administer via annual appropriations.				
	These services include Executive Leadership, Finance, Human Resources, Communications, Purchasing, General Counsel, and Research and Economic Analysis, which all provide the tools and support services necessary for the agency to operate.				
Main Street Program					
	The Main Street Program provides specific services and training to participating towns or neighborhoods as they begin the process of revitalizing their districts. It also offers education to non-Main Street communities on the benefits of historic preservation and community commercial development.				
•	To promote the history and culture of the Native Americans for the mutual benefit of the State of Oklahoma and its Indian and non-Indian citizens.				

FY'19 Budgeted FTE							
	Supervisors	Classified	Unclassified	\$0 - \$35 K	\$35 K - \$70 K	\$70 K - \$\$\$	
Community Development	4		26		24	2	
Business Services	3		22		15	7	
Contracts for Community & Economic Dev.	-		0				
Operational Support	14		41		34	7	
Main Street Program	1		6		6		
NACEA	-		1		1		
Total	22	0	96	0	80	16	

SALARIES ONLY FTE History 2018 Budgeted Community Development Business Services Contracts for Community & Economic Dev. Operational Support Main Street Program NACEA Total

Performance Measure Review							
	FY'17	FY'16	FY'15	FY'14	FY'13		
Increase direct new jobs, new capital investment, and average							
annual wage of new jobs year over year to grow and diversify the							
Oklahoma economy.							
New Jobs	4,948	4,539	7,136	7,859	7,473		
New Investment*	\$2,475,000,000	\$2,413,186,000	\$2,185,397,775	\$1,481,843,108	\$3,338,893,261		
Private Investment in Main Street	\$50,980,780	\$78,090,476	\$55,388,731	\$323,433,939	\$115,511,064		
Average Wage of New Jobs	\$47,220	\$62,463	\$53,959	\$52,229	\$68,241		
Workforce Training	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	14,432		
Community Improvement Projects	207	225	108	214	241		

<sup>\*</sup> In FY11-13, New Investment was reported for Business Development only; in FY14-16, it was reported for the agency as a whole.

Revolving Funds (200 Series Funds)						
	FY'15-17 Avg. Revenues	FY'15-17 Avg. Expenditures	June '17 Balance			
OK Department of Commerce Revolving Fund - 205						
Continuing fund and subject to the administrative direction						
of the Oklahoma Department of Commerce.	\$603,082	\$556,804	\$909,529			
90% of revenue is reimbursement of grant expenditures previously						
disbursed from Fund 205. The grant was extended to March 2019.						
Per Legislation transferred 1.6 million from Fund 205 to 255 in Nov 2015.						
Native American Cultural & Educ. Revolving Fund- 206						
Continuing fund for the Native American Cultural	\$0	\$273,843	\$49,290			
and Educational Authority.						
AICCM Completion Revolving Fund- 207						
Continuing fund for the completion of the American Indian	\$4,000,000	\$0	\$12,000,000			
Cultural Center and Museum.						
Rural Economic Action Plan Revolving Fund - 225						
Funds are for the purpose of economic development in	\$10,453,451	\$10,293,078	\$1,943,025			
rural areas with populations of less than 7,000.						
Quick Action Closing Revolving Fund - 255						
Funds are to be expended by the governor						
for economic development and related infrastructure						
development in instances in which expenditure of such	\$533,333	\$1,833,333	\$2,825,049			
funds would likely be a determining factor in locating a						
high-impact business project or facility in Oklahoma						
or in retaining such a project or facility within the state.						
Per Legislation transferred 1.6 million from Fund 205 to 255 in Nov 2015.						
There is one active project in the program.						
Total All Funds	\$15,589,866	\$12,957,058	\$17,726,893			