State Election Board (270)

Lead Administrator: Paul Ziriax, Secretary

Lead Financial Officer: Pam Slater, Assistant Secretary

FY'17 Projected Division/Program Funding By Source							
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local	Other*	Total	
Administration/Data Proce	\$1,888,899		\$44,105		\$200,000	\$2,133,004	
Election Management	\$2,654,396				\$2,200,000	\$4,854,396	
Voter Outreach			\$304,168			\$304,168	
Voter Registration	\$495,000					\$495,000	
HAVA Title III		\$10,000				\$10,000	
Data Processing	\$354,973	\$2,256,905			\$100,000	\$2,711,878	
Total	\$5,393,268	\$2,266,905	\$348,273	\$0	\$2,500,000	\$10,508,446	

^{*}Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

Special Cash

FY'16 Carryover and Refund by Funding Source							
Appropriations Federal Revolving Local Other* Total							
FY'16 Carryover	1,047,540.00				154,350.00	1,201,890.00	
FY'16 GR Refund**	132,618.87					132,618.87	

^{*}Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.

**Indicate how the FY'16 General Revenue refund was budgeted

Administration: \$58,004.55 in payroll codes; \$10,000 in equipment;

Voter Registration: \$40,000 in printing; IT: \$15,000 in services, \$9,614.32 in equipment

What Changes did the Agency Make between FY'16 and FY'17?

1.) Are there any services no longer provided because of budget cuts?

No services have been eliminated. Travel has been limited to only necessary maintenance and training. Printing and postage costs have been greatly reduced through use of email and agency website. Some staff positions have been left vacant or not filled right away. Requests for extra precinct officials in elections other than the 2016 General Election were granted only in very large precincts where turnout was anticipated to be very high.

2.) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user?

No fees are charged for voter registration or voting. Candidate filing fee amounts have not increased.

3.) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate?

Response rates have not changed.

4.) Did the agency provide any pay raises that were not legislatively/statutorily required? If so, please provide a detailed description in a separate document. No salary increases were granted except for staff who were promoted to existing positions which were vacant due to employees retiring or leaving for other employment.

FY'18 Requested Division/Program Funding By Source							
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Other	Total	% Change	
Administration/Data Proce	\$1,888,899		\$44,105	\$200,000	\$2,133,004	0.00%	
Election Management	\$3,570,896			\$2,200,000	\$5,770,896	18.88%	
Voter Outreach			\$304,168		\$304,168	0.00%	
Voter Registration	\$495,000				\$495,000	0.00%	
HAVA Title III		\$10,000			\$10,000	0.00%	
Data Processing	\$354,973	\$2,256,905		\$100,000	\$2,711,878	0.00%	
Total	\$6,309,768	\$2,266,905	\$348,273	\$2,500,000	\$11,424,946	18.88%	
*Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each. Special Cash							

FY'18 Top Five Appropriation Funding Requests				
	\$ Amount			
Accommodate second language requirement from Dept. of Justice	\$77,500			
County Election Board Salary Increase	\$589,000			
Precinct Offical Compensation Increase	\$250,000			
	!			
Total Increase above FY-18 Request	\$916,500			

How would the agency handle a 5% appropriation reduction in FY'18?

A reduction of 5% would be approximately \$394,663. A cut of this size could affect our ability to adequately staff polling places in the 2018 Primary Election and our ability to provide adequate statutory training to County Election Board staff as well as Precinct Officials in advance of the 2018 elections.

How would the agency handle a 7.5% appropriation reduction in FY A reduction of 7.5% would be approximately \$592,000. With a cut of this size, the agency would be unable to function at current staff capacity. A cut of 7.5% would likely impact the agency's abillity to fulfill statutory duties. How would the agency handle a 10% appropriation reduction in FY'185 A reduction of 10% would be approximately \$881,000. With a cut of this size, the agency would be unable to function at current staff capacity. A cut of 10% would likely impact the agency's abillity to fulfill statutory duties. Is the agency seeking any fee increases for FY'16? \$ Amount \$0 N/A Increase 1 \$0 Increase 2 N/A Increase 3 N/A \$0 What are the agency's top 2-3 capital or technology (one-time) requests, if applicable? N/A **Federal Government Impact** 1.) How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government? All federal money must be used to comply with the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA). Most of the funding has been used to purchase and implement a statewide voting system. Federal funds were also used to implement a new online ballot delivery system to comply with the federal MOVE Act, which requires certain absentee ballot services for Uniformed and Overseas Citizens and their dependents. 2.) Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate? The federal funds we have received are adequate for the initial purchase of our current voting system. Ongoing maintenance and operaton of the system will be a state responsibility. 3.) What would the consequences be of ending all of the federal funded programs for your agency? We do not anticipate receiving any new federal funds. 4.) How will your agency be affected by federal budget cuts in the coming fiscal year? We do not anticipate receiving any new federal funds. 5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases? No. **Division and Program Descriptions** Administration/Data Processing This program respresents the administrative function of the State Election Board and provides funding for the administration of election laws in 77 counties. **Election Management** This program respresents the function of administering and conducting all statewide elections mandated by law. Voter Registration This program represents the administration of the National Voter Registration Act, and the corresponding state law on registering persons to vote.

This program represents the administration of the federal Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA) and the modernization of the Oklahoma election system.

This program essentially resperesents the administration of the State Election Board's Revolving Fund.

Help America Vote Act

Voter Outreach

FY'18 Budgeted FTE							
	Supervisors	Classified	Unclassified	\$0 - \$35 K	\$35 K - \$70 K	\$70 K - \$\$\$	
Administration/Data Proce	7	16.5	7	6	14	3	
Election Management							
Voter Outreach							
Voter Registration							
HAVA Title III							
Total	7	16.5	7	6	14	3	

FTE History						
	2017 Budgeted	2016	2013	2010	2006	
Administration/Data Proecessing	24	24	22	22	23	
Election Management						
Voter Outreach						
Voter Registration						
HAVA title III						
Total	24	24	22	22	23	

Performance Measure Review						
	FY'16	FY'15	FY'14	FY'13	FY'12	
Measure I	PPP 2016	General 2014		General 2012		
Total Voter Registration	2,008,895	2,022,456	N/A	2,114,489	N/A	
Voter Turnout	795,765	824,831	N/A	1,343,380	N/A	
Measure II						
Total Ballots Delivered Electronically to USOV	1,274	2,061	N/A	N/A	N/A	
voters (OMOBO system implemented April 2014)	PPP 2016	Primary 2014				
Measure III						

Revolving Funds (200 Series Funds)							
	FY'14-16 Avg. Revenues	FY'14-16 Avg. Expenditures	June '16 Balance				
Revolving Fund I (State 200) State Revolving Fund consistes of candidate filing fees.	\$156,818	\$97,850	\$535,136				
Revolving Fund II (Federal 205)	\$8,847	\$72,746	\$230,643				
Revolving Fund III (Federal 210)	\$159,746	\$1,499,265	\$6,708,725				
Federal Revolving Funds can only be used to							
Implement the Help America Vote Act							