#### FY22 Budget Performance Review

#### Office of Educational Quality and Accountability

Lead Administrator: Daniel Craig

Lead Financial Officer: Lecrecia Schmidt

	Agency Mission
To lead quality evidence-based educator preparation, improve P-2	20 school efficiency and effectiveness, and deliver comprehensive statistical information for all stakeholders in the pursuit of
	optimum student performance
	Division and Program Descriptions
Note: Please define any acronyms used in program descriptions.	
Division or Program Number and Name	
Division or Program Description	
1000001 - Administration/OEQA	
Administration Services: Executive Director, Business/HR Manager, a	and Assessment Specialist salaries plus misc. expenses.
OEQA: Director, Assistant Director, Senior Coordinator, Coordinate	or, and Program Manager salaries.
Programs: Carrying out duties of statutory programs.	
8800001 - ISD Data Processing	
8800001 - ISD Data Frocessing	
Mainly services provided by OMES for our applications, hardware, a	nd software.

FY'21 Budgeted Department Funding By Source								
Dept. #	Department Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local <sup>1</sup>	Other <sup>2</sup>	Total	
1000001	Administration/OEQA	1,890,661	18,000,000	1,582,000			\$21,472,661	
8800001	ISD Data Processing	8,781	-	83,145			\$91,926	
							\$0	
							\$0	
Total		\$1,899,442	\$18,000,000	\$1,665,145	\$0	\$0	\$21,564,587	
1. Please describe source of Local funding not included in other categories:								
2. Please de	escribe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding if applied	cable for each departmen						

FY'20 Carryover by Funding Source Appropriations Local<sup>1</sup> Other<sup>2</sup> Federal Total Revolving \$0 Carryover \$570,000 \$0 \$1,315,504 \$0 \$1,885,504 \$0 \$0 1. Please describe source of Local funding not included in other categories: 2. Please describe source(s) and % of total of "Other" funding if applicable:

Office of Educational Quality and Accountability								
Lead Administrator: Daniel Craig Lead Financial Officer: Lecrecia Schmidt								
FY'22 Requested Funding By Department and Source								
Dept. # Department Name	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	<b>Other</b> <sup>1</sup>	Total	% Change		
000001 Administration	\$1,820,661	\$0	\$1,652,000	\$0	\$3,472,661	-83.83%		
300001 ISD Data Processing	\$8,781	\$0	\$83,145	\$0	\$91,926	0.00%		
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
otal	\$1,829,442	\$0	\$1,735,145	\$0	\$3,564,587	-83.47%		
Please describe source(s) and % of total of "Other" fur	nding for each department:							

	FY'22 Top Five Operational Appropriation Funding Requests	
Request by Priority	Request Description	Appropriation Request Amount (\$)
-	Recoup Southern Regional Education Board Subscription (over \$200,000) and Education Commission of the States subscription (almost \$70,000) used by several agencies included the Governor's Office and received as "Special Cash" loaded upfront. ELO Revolving Fund - this would come from our current appropriation amount and would not be new money. Teacher Certification Scholarship Revolving Fund - this would come from our current appropriation amount and would not be new money.	\$300,000 \$180,000 \$60,000
-	Top Five Request Subtotal:	\$540,000
Total Incre	ease above FY-21 Budget (including all requests)	\$ -
Difference ł	between Top Five requests and total requests: Requests are not in addition to FY21 Appropriations	-\$540,000
	Does the agency have any costs associated with the Pathfinder retirement system and federal employees?	

No

How would the agency be affected by receiving the same appropriation for FY '22 as was received in FY '21? (Flat/ 0% change)

The agency would not be negatively impacted by a flat appropriations, especially if a portion of the appropriations (as shown above) were deposited into the Special Cash, ELO, and teacher certification revolving funds.

How would the agency handle a 2% appropriation reduction in FY '22?

The agency would conduct one less school performance review.

Is the agency seeking any fee increases for FY '22?						
	Fee Increase	Statutory change required?				
	Request (\$)	(Yes/No)				
Increase 1						
Increase 2						
Increase 3						

What are the agency's top 2-3 capital or technology (one-time) requests, if applicable?							
Appropriated Submitted to LRCPC?							
Description of request in order of priority	Amount (\$)	(Yes/No)					
Priority 1							
Priority 2							
Priority 3							

		Federal Funds								
CFDA	Federal Program Name	Agency Dept. #	FY 21 budgeted	FY 20	FY 19	FY 18	FY 17			
21.019	CARES Act Fund	1000001	18,000,000	0	0	0	0			
1.) How m	1.) How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government?									
none										
2.) Are an NA	2.) Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate? NA									
3.) What v	3.) What would the consequences be of ending all of the federal funded programs for your agency?									
none										
4.) How w	ill your agency be affected by federal budget cuts	s in the coming fiscal year?								
none										

## FY22 Budget Performance Review

## Office of Educational Quality and Accountability

# Lead Administrator: Daniel Craig 5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases?

Lead Financial Officer: Lecrecia Schmidt

none

	FY'21 Budgeted FTE							
Division #	Division Name	Supervisors	Classified	Unclassified	\$0 - \$35 K	\$35 K - \$70 K	\$70 K - \$\$\$	
1000001 Administration	n	3		15		11	4	
Total		3	0	15	0	11	4	

FTE History								
Division #	Division Name	2021 Budgeted	2020	2019	2017	2012		
1000001 Administr	ation	15.0	8.0	8.0	3.0	EQA did not exist; OCTP & OA		
1000002 OEQA								
ED Accou	Intability				5.0	5.0		
ED Qualit	у				4.0	10.0		
	-							
Total		15.0	8.0	8.0	12.0	15.0		

Performance Measure Review						
	FY 20	FY 19	FY 18	FY 17	FY 16	
Program Name						
Educator Assessment						
Educator Preparation Program Accreditation						
Educational Indicators						
OSPR						
ELO						
Measure I - Educator Assessments						
Number of candidates taking PPAT	319	127	62	90	-	
Number of Scholarships provided through revolving fund	0	0	0	-	-	
Measure II - Program Review						
Number of programs recognized through state process	42	0	0	-	-	
Measure III - Profiles						
Number of profile downloads per year	2000000	2000000	2000000	2000000	2000000	
Measure IV - Performance Reviews						
Number of reviews per year	2	2	2	4	6	
Measure V - Education Leadership Oklahoma						
Number of NBCT scholarships granted	50	44	28	38	36	

FY22 Budget Performance Review								
Office of Educational Quality and Accountability								
Lead Administrator: Daniel Craig		Lead Financial Office	r: Lecrecia Schmidt					
	inds (200 Series Funds)	<u>.</u>						
Please provide fund number, fund name, description, and revenue source	FY'18-20 Avg. Revenues	FY'18-20 Avg. Expenditures	June '20 Balance					
Fund number: Fund name								
Describe fund purpose and revenue source								
20000: OEQA Revolving Fund								
Data analysis and performance reviews performed for Statewide Virtual Charter School Board: was used for OSPR and related salaries; now paying for first year of JAG-OK	\$152,639	\$19,651	\$722,691					
20500: Edu Leadership Okla Rev Fund								
Appropriation of \$180,000 used for ELO scholarships and related services.	\$2,450	\$27,500	\$364,713					
21000: Donations Funds								
Was a settlement received.	\$184	\$0	\$29,515					
22000: Teachers' Comp Exam Rev Fund								
\$10 per certification test given; used for test redevelopment; contract with psychometricians; Was used to pay some salaries when OEQA had more staff.	\$163,311	\$76,499	\$649,650					
23000: Teaching Cert Scholor Rev Fund								
Appropriation of \$60,000 used for teacher certification scholarships.	\$60,000	\$30,000	\$30,000					